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## PREFACE

The text adopted in this volume is that of the Hitzig-Bluemner edition of Pausanias (Berlin, 1896), with certain changes in typography and punctuation to conform to the style of the series in which it appears. The Hitzig text presents a careful revision of the Schubart text and critical apparatus (which had not been corrected for forty years) in the light of new studies and discoveries up to 1896. The later text of Spiro (Teubner, 1903) differs from that of Hitzig only in minor details. As the present work is primarily archaeological in its purpose, textual criticism is avoided, and the reader is referred to the Hitrig-Bluemner edition for details in these matters.

In the preparation of the Introduction, Notes, and Excursuses, the editor is conscious of his indebtedness to the Hitzig-Bluemner commentary and to the monumental work of Frazer (Pausanias's Description of Greece, translated with a Commentary, in six volumes. London, 1898). So thoroughly have these scholars done their work that in the preparation of a college edition they may be relied on for an accurate summary of the literature on the Attica up to the time of the publication of their works, and the chief task of the editor is to appraise the matter they present in the light of later contributions, to bring the discussions up to date, and to select what is essential to meet the needs of students.

On account of the size and cost of these two important works, they are not readily accessible to any but specialists. This emphasizes the need of a more compact edition of the Attica - the most important of the ten books of Pausanias's Description of Greece - one which gives the text and presents concisely in the way of
commentary the results of modern scholarship concerning Athenian and Attic topography. Owing to the nature of the subject-matter, the commentary is mainly archaeological, but grammatical and stylistic peculiarities have not been neglected. The more important topics, which could not be adequately treated in the Notes, are considered at some length in a series of Excursuses. The Topical Outline of the Attica enables the student to follow the somewhat tortuous course of the author. The Select Bibliography in the Appendix presents the more important titles under appropriate heads.

Up to this time Pausanias has been seldom read in our colleges and universities, on the theory that strictly classical authors are better suited to the class-room. The increasing interest, however, in archaeological studies, - much of it being due, in America, to the work of the American School at Athens - has encouraged the feeling that Greek students should have some knowledge of the topography and monuments of ancient Athens. This knowledge is most readily acquired by the study of the Attica of Pausanias, and it is hoped that this book may quicken the student's interest in the intellectual and artistic aspects of Greek life.

In conclusion, the editor desires to express his hearty acknowledgments to the beloved and lamented Professor Seymour, who read a part of the proofs in the closing months of his fruitful life and made many important suggestions; to Professor Dörpfeld for introducing him to the study of Athenian topography and for permission to use the plans here reproduced; to Professor D'Ooge, Professor Bates, and Dr. Newcomer for reading portions of the subject-matter in proof, with many pertinent observations; and, above all, to Professor Gulick, whose editorial acumen and sympathetic criticism have contributed largely to the preparation of the work.

Mitchell Carroll
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## INTRODUCTION

## PAUSANIAS THE PERIEGETE

1. Scope and character of Pausanias's work. - Aldus Manutius begins his preface to the editio princeps of Pausanias's Description of Greece, which appeared in 1516, by characterizing it as an " opus antiquae raraeque eruditionis thesauros continens." And invaluable it is because of its subject-matter, since it reveals to us numerous details, not only concerning "the city of the violet crown," but also about the other most celebrated sites of ancient Greece, when its monuments still retained some of the freshness and splendor of the odder time.
 The work is a detailed account of the sites ordinarily visited and the objects ordinarily seen by the traveler in making an extensive tour of Greece. As the writer is supposed to be coming from over the Aegean Sea to the Greek mainland, his account begins with Sunium, the promontory of Attica. Thence he proceeds to Athens. Book I is devoted to the description of Athens and Attica. From Attica the traveler journeys southward by way of Megaris (also treated in Book I) and the Isthmus to Corinth and the Argolid (described in Book II). His Peloponnesian tour follows much the same route which travelers of our day usually take, embracing Laconia (Book III), Messenia (Book IV), Elis (Books V, VI), Achaea (Book VII) and Arcadia (Book VIII). Then follows a second tour to the principal cities of Central Greece, starting from Athens in the same manner as modern travelers would journey. Here the writer's chief attention is absorbed by Thebes in Boeotia (Book IX) and by Delphi in the district of Phocis (Book X). The regions of Western and Northern Greece, which had played no prominent part in the art and civilization of Hellas, Pausanias leaves out of consideration.

The territory chiefly described gives its name to the various books. Thus the first book has the title 'Aтгıкá and includes Megaris; the second the title Kopıvөıaкá, and embraces, in addition to Corinth, Argos, Mycenae, Tiryns, and Epidaurus; the third $\Lambda a \kappa \omega n \kappa \alpha ́, ~ t h e ~$ fourth Me $\sigma \sigma \eta \nu \ldots \kappa \alpha ́$, the fifth and sixth (which describe Olympia) 'Нлсака́, the seventh 'Aхаїка́, the eighth 'Аркабька́. The description of Central Greece is contained in the ninth book called Boı $\quad$ тьк⿱㇒日, and in the tenth, the $\Phi \omega \kappa \iota \kappa$ á, which is devoted almost exclusively to Delphi. Topographical directions are not always exact; yet, by mentioning in order the names of demes, of places, and of monuments, Pausanias throws much light on the geography and topography of ancient Greece.
2. Date of the Periegesis. - Pausanias made his sojourn in Greece in the second century of our era, in the days of Hadrian and the Antonines. His date is fixed by $5,1,2$, where he states that 217 years have elapsed since the restoration of Corinth. As this wellknown event occurred in 43 b.c., the passage shows that the author was writing Book V in 174 a.d. Other intimations as to his date harmonize with this evidence. Thus, for example, in $5,21,15$ images set up in 125 a.d. are spoken of as specimens of the art of his day ; and $1,5,5$ and $8,9,7$ indicate that the writer was a contemporary of the emperor Hadrian. The latest historical event mentioned by him as occurring in his time $(10,34,5)$ is the incursion of the Costobocs into Greece, which took place probably between 166 and 180 A.D. ${ }^{1}$

Every discussion about the date of the separate books, especially of the Attica, must take as its starting-point 174 A.D., just mentioned as the only fixed date and the date of Book V. Pausanias (7, 20, 6) tells us that Book I was finished before Herodes Atticus built the Odeum at Athens, erected in honor of his wife Regilla, who appears to have died in 160 or 161 a.d. The Odeum was doubtless built not long after Regilla's death, and therefore 160-161 A.d. constitutes the terminus ante quem of Book I. A reference to Herodes Atticus probably gives us also the terminus post quem, for according to $1,19,6$, the stadium of Athens had already been rebuilt by him
${ }^{1}$ See below, pp. 3-4.
before 143 a.d. or a little earlier. Book I has, therefore, as its limits $143-160$ A.d.

There are numerous indications that the Attica was written and published before the rest of the work. For instance, we have the writer's statement $(7,20,6)$ that the Odeum is not mentioned in his work on Attica, because his description of Athens was finished before Herodes began to build. Further, in 8, 5, 1 he corrects a view which he had adopted in Book I (c. 41, 2) regarding the kingship of Achaea at the time of the attempted return of the Heraclidae to Peloponnesus. A third argument is that in subsequent books he makes additions to certain statements in Book I. Compare, for example, $5,11,6$ with $1,15,3$, accounts of the painting of the Battle of Marathon. ${ }^{1}$ In one case he supersedes the account of the Gallic invasion in $1,3,5 \mathrm{ff}$. by the fuller narrative in $10,19,5 \mathrm{ff}$., as if the first had proved inadequate. There also occur remarks in the later books which seem to have been occasioned by current criticisms of the Attica already published, as, for example, in $3,11,1$ in reference to the plan of the book; in $4,24,3$ in regard to digressions; cf. $8,7,4-8 ; 9,30,3 ; / 8,24,3$.

We must, accordingly, presuppose an interval of a few years between the publication of Book I and that of later books. Book II was probably written after 165 A.D., as the statement is made that the temple of Asclepius at Smyrna had already been founded (2, $26,9)$, which according to other testimony was still unfinished in 165 a.d. A study of references which the author makes to various parts of his work shows that the books were written in the order in which they stand. ${ }^{2}$ We have already a fixed date for Book V, 174 A.d. Hence Books II-IV must date between 165 and 174 A.d. Book VIII, which refers to the German victories of Marcus Aurelius $(8,43,6)$, must have been written after 166, when the war broke out, and may have been written in or after 176, when the emperor celebrated his triumph. Book X, with the allusion to the Costoboc invasion, was

[^1]written between 166 and 180, probably after 176. Thus Books VI-X may date between 174 and 180 A.D. The composition of the Description of Greece, therefore, extended over a period of not less than fourteen years (160-174 A.D.) and probably occupied a much longer period.
3. Pausanias, his life and work. - Though the work itself is so voluminous, our knowledge of the author is limited almost to his mere name. The book gives us his date, and some insight into his personality, but as to the author's family, birthplace, citizenship, and pursuits in life we are left in almost total ignorance. An occasional allusion, however, conveys some intimation. If we inquire, for example, whence he came, he gives us a hint in $5,13,7$, $\Pi$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ otos
 $\kappa \tau \lambda .$, where it is suggested that his native land was the territory about Mount Sipylus in Lydia, and mention is made in what follows of natural features and monuments pertaining to this region. This statement is strengthened by many passages in which he recurs to the scenery and legends of Lydia. ${ }^{1}$ We conclude, therefore, that he was a Lydian by birth; but whether he was a native of Magnesia, the important city at the northern foot of Mount Sipylus, or of Thyatira, or of some less known town, is not to be ascertained.

Late Greek writers mention two other authors of the same name, with whom our Pausanias is sometimes confused. Philostratus (Vit. Soph. 11, 13) speaks of a sophist named Pausanias, much esteemed in his time, who was a pupil of Herodes Atticus and teacher of Aspasius. So far as his date is concerned, we might readily identify him with the author of the Description of Greece. But the sophist came from Caesarea in Cappadocia, not from Lydia, and Suidas mentions Problemata by him, and a book on syntax, but no Periegesis. One can hardly conceive of our author with his crabbed style occupying the lectureship of eloquence at Athens. Hence the identity of the traveler and the sophist is altogether improbable.

Nor can he with any greater degree of probability be identified with the historian Pausanias, who wrote, among other works, a history of Antioch, and who is mentioned as ó бoфஸ́taros xpovoyó́фos. The

[^2]historian was born at Antioch in Syria, not in Lydia. Stephanus of Byzantium cites the works of the two men, the Krícss'Avtoxecias and
 nothing more than that in the fifth century the two writers of this name were not readily distinguished. We must therefore rest content with the knowledge that our author lived and traveled in the second century, and was born near Mount Sipylus in Asia Minor.
4. Aim and method of the Periegesis. - That Pausanias has given to the world a work of unique value is manifest to any one who notes its contents. We have here a book rich in antiquarian, mythological, historical, and artistic lore, and the very nature of the subject-matter arouses the question what was the author's aim in preparing his work. The answer is nowhere clearly given by him. He begins his book without a preface; he concludes without an epilogue. Probably his work was left unfinished and no opportunity was given to revise it; probably, while it served its purpose, the author felt there was no need of explanatory remarks. Hence the answer to our question is largely a matter of inference; but we can, at any rate, gather from utterances here and there what was the author's general purpose, and how his method developed as his grasp of the subject increased.

Thus, he tells us in 1, 26, 4 that it is his purpose to describe the whole of Greece, as he had the Acropolis, $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$ àdıкє́ $\sigma \theta a u$ тov̀ $\lambda o ́-$
 concluded his account of Athens and Attica he adds (1, 39, 3): rot-

 фウ̀v ávj́кovta. Later, as a preface to his description of Sparta, he refers to this statement, and outlines his aim and method more defi-







$$
{ }^{1} \text { See also } 2,13,3 ; 6,1,2 ; 10,32,1 .
$$

From these passages and from a study of the contents of the work it becomes clear that "Pausanias intended to describe all the most notable objects and to narrate all the most memorable traditions which he found existing or current in the Greece of his own time." ${ }^{1}$

This was a vast undertaking, especially so in the case of Attica, the first country he undertook to describe. Here he was bewildered by an embarrassment of riches before he had definitely decided on a method of treating the data he had at hand. Hence the author's method is not so clearly defined in the first as in the later books. Beginning with Book II, he regularly prefaces his account of every important city with a historical sketch and follows strictly the topographical order of description. But in the case of the Attica there is no historical introduction whatever; though the topographical order is in the main observed in describing Athens, it is not followed in his treatment of the rest of Attica. At times the course of description is confused, as when he interrupts his account of the Attic demes to describe the mountains of Attica (cf. 1, 32, 1 and 1, 35, 1 ff .). Again, he mentions fewer notable objects in proportion to the total number in Athens than he does in any other important centre of Greece, and his accounts of notable monuments in Athens are shorter than those in the remaining books. Contrast, for example, his description of Athens with that of Olympia, the former embracing only thirty chapters of one book, or seventy Teubner pages, while to the latter is devoted the larger part of two books, being forty chapters or one hundred and ten Teubner pages. Temples and statues in the whole of Athens, however, were far more numerous and imposing than in Olympia. The explanation of the defects of the Attica is, of course, that the author was finding himself in his new work, and had not altogether arrived at a definite plan.

The topographical method already adopted in the description of Athens reveals the author's purpose in preparing the work. Thus, he begins by describing the harbors of Athens, and the objects of interest on the roads leading from the harbors to the city. He next enters the principal gate and proceeds by a broad avenue to the Agora, which he treats in great detail. Thence he traverses the
${ }^{1}$ Frazer, I, Introduction, xxiii.
territory east of the Acropolis, known as the City of Hadrian. A description of the southern slope of the Acropolis finally brings him to its principal entrance, and, having entered, he devotes to the objects of interest in the sacred precinct the maximum of attention. He concludes his account of Athens by describing the suburbs of the city. Let us compare this description with the description of Athens in Baedeker's Greece. The writer of this work gives first a historical sketch of the city. He then describes it in several sections : a, From the Royal Palace round the south side of the Acropolis; 3 , The Acropolis; c, From the Palace through the Town to the Theseum - the Hill of the Nymphs, Pnyx, and Museum ; d, Modern Quarters of the Town; e, Walks near Athens.

Similarity of treatment shows that we have in Pausanias the prototype of Baedeker and Murray. The second century was an age of travel, like our own, and many needed systematic direction to help them on the way. The public-house system of the country was poor, but private hospitality, as in the earlier days, made some amends. Accordingly, the description of inns and other accommodations which Dionysus in the Frogs feels to be such a desideratum and which our Murray or Baedeker offers in great detail, is*wanting; but in other respects the likeness between the ancient and the modern cicerone holds. Book I was meant primarily to be a guide-book for the Greek visitor to Athens and Attica, just as the whole volume was a guide-book for the generally frequented parts of Hellas, with special reference to works of art, like the modern Burckhardt. To gratify the intellectual curiosity of his readers, Pausanias fills his volume with mythical, antiquarian, and historical lore, and he doubtless felt that his work would be serviceable to the historian as well as the traveler. Yet his main purpose was, without doubt, to provide a guide-book for visitors to the historic sites of Greece.
5. Style of Pausanias. - The literary style displayed in the book before us is due partly to the nature of the subject-matter, partly to the character of the author as reflected in his work. Pausanias is revealed as an unimaginative man, but one deeply interested in antiquarian lore, who set out on his travels with the purpose of "doing" Greece and of giving others the benefit of his reading and
observation, and who kept at it with heroic persistence. He permitted no curious legend to escape him, and gathered information from every source. He carefully studied his predecessors in historical prose, especially Thucydides and Herodotus, and laboriously sought to cultivate a good style. But he falls hopelessly short of the vigorous expression of the former, and the sweetness and lucidity of the latter. There is a sense of strain about his style. As Frazer so well puts it, "The sentences are devoid of rhythm and harmony. They do not march, but hobble and shamble and shuffle along. At the end of one of them the reader is not let down easily by a graceful cadence, a dying fall; he is tripped up suddenly and left sprawling, till he can pull himself together, take breath, and grapple with the next." ${ }^{1}$

Frazer thinks that these defects in Pausanias's style may perhaps be best explained by Boeckh's ${ }^{2}$ hypothesis that Pausanias modeled his style on that of his countryman Hegesias of Magnesia, a leader of the Asian school of rhetoric. Hegesias aimed at variety of phrase, which often avoided monotony at the cost of simplicity and clearness, and led him into a jerky yet mincing style. Pausanias's indirect mode of statement often leads him in like manner to ambiguity, the chief defect of his style.
6. Pausanias's use of previous writers. - It is not essential to our purpose to enter fully into the discussion of Pausanias's trustworthiness and his use of previous writers, as Frazer has treated the subject most exhaustively and happily and has satisfactorily met all the more serious criticisms.

Scaliger characterized Pausanias as being "omnium Graeculorum mendacissimum." In recent times his trustworthiness and literary independence have been energetically called in question by von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf (Hermes, XII, 346 ff .), but Pausanias found a vigorous champion against Wilamowitz in R. Schoell (Hermes, XIII, 432 ff.). Wilamowitz's charges, however, were followed up and exhaustively extended by A. Kalkmann (Pausanias der Perieget,

[^3]Berlin 1886), who argued that Pausanias had traveled and seen very little in Greece, but had compiled the bulk of his work from the manuals of earlier writers and had added only a few hasty jottings of his own to give his descriptions a convincing atmosphere. He found his chief source, according to Kalkmann and Wilamowitz, in Polemon of Ilium, who lived in the second century b.c. The charges of Kalkmann, which were a severe impeachment of Pausanias's moral character, as well as his literary ability, were successfully refuted by W. Gurlitt (Ueber Pausanias, Graz 1890) and R. Heberdey (Die Reisen des Pausanias, Wien 1894). ${ }^{1}$ Kalkmann himself substantially retracts his earlier views by admitting that Pausanias saw with his own eyes all the objects that especially interested him (Arch. Anz. 1895, 12). Frazer, finally, disposes conclusively of the theory that Pausanias stole everything from Polemon. His inquiry, in which he draws the important distinction between the historical and the descriptive portions in Pausanias's work, is here summarized.

In regard to the historical passages he shows that Pausanias drew his accounts of the mythical and heroic ages largely from the poets; that Herodotus is the historian most frequently cited by him; that, notwithstanding there is only one direct reference to Thucydides $(6,19,5)$ and one to Xenophon $(1,3,4)$, he probably used these authors in several places where he does not mention their names. He also refers to numerous other historians, and cites several local histories, notably the histories of Attica by Androtion (6, 7, 6; $10,8,1)$ and by Clitodemus $(10,15,5)$. He also made extensive use of inscriptions, consulted writers on art, and got information from local guides.

Regarding next the descriptive or topographical passages, Frazer considers whether Pausanias derived his knowledge from observation, from books, or from both. The author himself gives no full or direct answer to these questions. He neither professes to have seen everything he describes, nor does he acknowledge having borrowed any of his descriptions from previous writers, whom he barely alludes to
${ }^{1}$ With Gurlitt cf. Lolling, Gött. Gel. Anz. 1890, 627 ff., Weil, Berl. Philol. Woch. 1890, 1101 ff., and Wachsmuth in Pauly-Wissowa, Suppl. I, 200 ff .
and never mentions by name. Yet he affirms that he saw personally certain things he describes; and to have seen certain things implies that he saw others. There are descriptions which Pausanias may have taken from books, but there is no description extant so like in form and substance to what Pausanias has written that one can say he copied from it. Frazer considers in detail a number of passages which, others have thought, bear traces of having been derived either wholly or in part from written documents rather than from personal observation, and concludes that in none are the indications so clear as to amount to a proof of borrowing.

Frazer discusses in considerable detail the predecessors whom Pausanias ought to have consulted, namely Pseudo-Dicaearchus the Messenian, Diodorus of Athens, Heliodorus, and Polemon, whose writings are known through extant fragments. Of Polemon we have more than one hundred fragments. These Frazer takes up one by one and draws a minute comparison with Pausanias. He concludes that not one fragment supports the theory that Pausanias copied from Polemon, nor do they justify us even in supposing that he was acquainted with the writings of his learned predecessor. Even more true is this of his relation to the other antiquarians.

Another theory of Kalkmann's that obtained some vogue was that our author did not describe Greece as it was in his own time, but as it was a century or two earlier, when his alleged sources were composed. This theory is more susceptible of verification, namely by proving that certain things Pausanias speaks of as existing had ceased to exist before his time. Kalkmann, for example, thus attacks the description of the Piraeus. It had been burnt in 86 s.c. and was in a ruined condition when seen by Strabo; how then could Pausanias's account of its temples and colonnades apply to his own time? Frazer, in reply, shows what great changes were possible in two hundred years, and how the Piraeus had regained prosperity under beneficent Roman emperors. He also gives numerous proofs, from existing monuments and otherwise, that Pausanias described Greece as it was in his own age.

We may say, then, that at present a conservatively just view has succeeded the bitter outcry against our author's alleged untrustworthiness. Pausanias cannot be regarded as an independent creative
spirit, originating a great work for the benefit of mankind. He is rather a true child of his time, a plodding collector, somewhat superficial and credulous, with a propensity for the archaic and the mystical, but withal an intelligent and inquisitive traveler who rambled through land and city and carefully noted what to him appeared worth seeing and recording. The extant monuments prove that his description of Athens is founded primarily on personal observation. He did not neglect his predecessors and got together historical and mythological material out of handbooks. He also consulted, as did Herodotus, local priests and guides in his eager search for information. As a result, he has handed down to modern times a readable and instructive description of travel, that presents a fairly coherent picture of ancient Athens, and a work indispensable to the traveler and investigator.

## TOPOGRAPHICAL OUTLINE

## I. Maritime Athens (1 1-2 3).

1 1. a. Coast from Sunium to Piraeus.
Sunium.
Laurium.
Isle of Patroclus.
12-3.b. Piraeus.
Precinct of Athena and Zeus.
Shipsheds.
Tomb of Themistocles.
Long Colonnade - Statues of Zeus and Demus.
Agora : upper and lower.
Shrine of Aphrodite.
14. c. Munychia.

Temple of Munychian Artemis.
d. Phalerum.

Shrine of Demeter.
Temple of Athena Sciras.
Temples of Zeus.
Altars of gods called Unknown.
Altars of heroes.
Altars of children of Theseus.
Altar of Phalerus.
Altar of Androgeos.
15. e. Cape Colias.

Image of Coliad Aphrodite.
Images of Genetyllides.
2 1. $f$. Road from Phalerum to Athens.
Temple and Imäge of Hera.
Tombs of Antiope and Molpadia - within the city.
g. Road from the Piraeus to Athens.

Long Walls.
Tombs: Menander, Euripides.
Monument : warrior beside a horse.
II. The Agora and its Neighborhood (2 4-18 3).
a. From the Dipylum to the Market-Place.
$24 . \quad$ The Pompeium.
Temple of Demeter.
Group of Poseidon and Polybotes.
Colonnades bordering the Dromos.
Bronze statues ( $\pi \rho{ }^{\circ}$ aủr $\omega \hat{v}$ ).
$25 . \quad$ Shrines of gods, gymnasium of Hermes, and house of Pu lytion, sacred to Dionysus.
Dedication of Eubulides -images of Athena Paeonia, Zeus, and others.

b. The Market-Place: from Rcyal Colonnade to Enneacrunus.
$31 . \quad$ Royal Colonnade ( $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ èv $\delta \in \xi \in \dot{L}$ ).
$32 . \quad$ Statues: Conon, Timotheus, Evagoras ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma^{i}{ }^{2}$ ).
Zeus Eleutherius (ė่vẫ $\theta a$ ).

$34 . \quad$ Euphranor's painting.
Temple of Apollo the Paternal.
Statue of the god, by Euphranor ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu \in \tau \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu a \hat{\varphi}$ ).
Statues of Apollo by Leóchares and Calamis ( $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ v \epsilon \omega ́) . ~$
3 5. Metroum (M $\quad$ rojòs $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ícoóv).
Image by Phidias.
Buleuterium of the 500 ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v)$.
モ̇v aủtư $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Zeus Bulaeus, a 乡̣óavov. } \\ \text { Apollo of Pisias. } \\ \text { Demus of Lyson. } \\ \text { Thesmothetae of Protogenes. } \\ \text { Callippus of Olbiades. }\end{array}\right.$
4. Digression on the Galatae.

5 1-5. Tholus (rov̂ $\beta$ ov ${ }^{2}$ evrnpiov $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
Statues of Eponymi (dं $\omega \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \omega)$.
[5 5-8 1. Digression on Attalus and Ptolemy.

Amphiaraus.
Eirene and Plutus.
Lycurgus.
Callias.
Demosthenes.

8 4-5. Shrine of Ares ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\jmath}$ тov̂ $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma$ évovs cikóvos $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
$\not \approx v a\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Two images of Aphrodite. } \\ \text { Image of Ares by Alcamenes. } \\ \text { Image of Athena by Locrus of Paros. } \\ \text { Image of Enyo by sons of Praxiteles. }\end{array}\right.$
Heracles, Theseus, Apollo, Calades, Pindar ( $\pi \in \rho \grave{i}$ tòv vaóv).

8 6. Theatre, called Odeum.
Statues of Egyptian kings ( $\pi \rho \stackrel{\text { ò }}{\text { Tins }}$ écódov).
191-3. Digression on the Ptolemies.
$94 . \quad$ Philip, Alexander, and Lysimachus statues ( $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ סè rov̀s Aipurtiovs).
[95-10. Digression on Lysimachus.
11 1. Statue of Pyrrhus.
11-13. Digression on Pyrrhus.
 'Suciov).
Enneacrunus ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
c. The Market-Place : from Enneacrunus to Prytaneum.

141-4. Temple of Demeter and Kore, and temple of Triptolemus (úzغ̀p т $\grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$ ).
Image of Triptolemus.
$\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Bronze bull. } \\ \text { Enimenides }\end{array}\right.$

14 6. Temple of Hephaestus (ínèp tòv Kepaucıкòv кaì бтoàv. . . ßaci入ciov).
Statues of Athena and Hephaestus.
14 7. Temple of Aphrodite Urania ( $\pi \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i}$ iov).
15 1-4. Painted Colonnade.
 そoūıv).
Market gate ( $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi v ́ \lambda \eta \eta \pi \eta \sigma i ́ o v) . ~$
Paintings $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Battle of Theseus and Amazons. } \\ \text { Capture of Troy. } \\ \text { Battle of Marathon. }\end{array}\right.$

[16 1-3. Digression on Seleucus.

17 1. An Agora, containing, besides other notable objects,

17 2. Gymnasium of Ptolemy ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} s$ ảyopâs ámé $\chi o v \tau \iota ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi o \lambda u ́) . ~$
Stone Hermae.
Bronze statue of Ptolemy.
Statue of Juba.
Statue of Chrysippus.

Paintings $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Fight of Athenians and Amazons. } \\ \text { Fight of Centayrs and Lapithae. } \\ \text { Theseus and Amphitrite. }\end{array}\right.$
18 1. Shrine of the Dioscuri.

3. Prytaneum ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).

Laws of Solon.
Image of Peace.
Image of Hestia.
Statues: Autolycus, Miltiades, Themistocles.
III. The City of Hadrian (184-196).

Pact of Theseus and Pirithous (ov $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega)$ ).
5. Temple of Ilithyia ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
6. тepíßodos of Olympian Zeus.

Temple of Olympian Zeus.
Chryselephantine statue of Zeus within the temple.
Four statues of Hadrian, in front of the temple.
A bronze statue of each of the colonies.
A statue of Hadrian sent by each of the colonies.
Colossus of Hadrian, at the back of the temple.
7. An ancient bronze Zeus.

Temple of Cronus and Rhea.
Temenus of Ge Olympia.
Statue of Isocrates on a pillar.
Statues of Persians holding a brazen tripod.
8. The tomb of Deucalion.
9. Digression : Other buildings of Hadrian in Athens.

Another sanctuary of Apollo Delphinius.
2. The Gardens (K $\hat{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{r})$.

Temple of Aphrodite (in the Gardens).
Statue of Aphrodite ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} \alpha o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
3. Sanctuary of Heracles, called Cynosarges. Altars of Heracles and of Hebe. Altar of Alcmene and Iolaus.
4. Lyceum.

5. Rivers of Athens.

Ilissus.
Eridanus.

Temple of Artemis Agrotera.
Stadium.
IV. The Street of Tripods and Southern Slope of Acropolis (20 1 223 ).

20 1. a. The Street of Tripods (ódòs ánò tov̂ Прutaveiov кa入ovpévך Tpímodes).
2. Temples of gods, one supporting Satyr of Praxiteles.

3. b. Oldest sanctuary of Dionysus, containing two temples ( $\pi \rho \circ \mathbf{s} \tau \underset{\sim}{\hat{Q}}$
$\theta є \alpha ́ \tau \rho \psi)$.
Statues of Dionysus in the temples, one called Eleuthereus, the other made by Alcamenes.
Paintings in one of the temples.
4. c. Odeum of Pericles ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ i ́ f \rho o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Delta l o v i ́ \sigma o v ~ к a i ̀ ~ t o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon a ́-~$ троv катабкєи́аб $\mu$ а).
D20 4-7. Digression : Sulla's Capture of Athens.
21 1-2. d. The Theatre of Dionysus.
Statues of tragic and comic poets.
3. e. Gilded head of Medusa (ėmi . . . tov̂ Noríov ка入ov

$f$. Cave (Monument of Thrasyllus) (ỉv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ корvф $\hat{i}$ тov̂ $\theta \in a ́ \tau \rho o v) . ~$

4-9. h. Sanctuary of Asclepius.
22 1-2. i. Temple of Themis (Merà . . . tò ífpòv rov̂ 'A $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota o \hat{v}$ ).
Tomb of Hippolytus ( $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ a v ̉ r o ̂) . ~$.
3. j. Aphrodite Pandemus and Peitho : images.
$k$. Sanctuary of Ge Kourotrophos.
$l$. Sanctuary of Demeter Chloe.
V. The Acropolis (22 4-28 3).

b. The Propylaea.
Figures of horsemen.
5. c. Temple of Nike Apteros.
Heroun of Aegeus.

Rape of Palladium by Diomedes.
Odysseus with bow of Philoctetes.
Slaying of Aegisthus by Orestes.
Sacrifice of Polyxena.
Achilles in Skyros (?)
Odysseus and Nausicaa (?)
Alcibiades.
Perseus with head of Medusa.
Boy-carrying water-pots.
Wrestler, by Timaenetus.
Portrait of Musaeus.
$e$. Hermes Propylaeus and the Graces, attributed to Socrates.
23 1-7: f. Between Propylaea and sanctuary of Artemis Brauronia.
Bronze statue of Leaena.
Image of Aphrodite, by Calamis.
Bronze statue of Diitrephes.
Image of Hygieia.
Image of Athena Hygieia.
Stone of Silenus.Bronze boy with lustral basin, by Lycius.Perseus, slaying Medusa, by Myron.
g. Sanctuary of Artemis Brauronia.
Image of Artemis Brauronia, by Praxiteles.
h. Between sanctuary of Artemis Brauronia and eastern end of
Parthenon.
Bronze copy of Wooden Horse.
Statues of Epicharinus, of Oenobius, of Hermolycus, of Phor-mio ( $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau \grave{o} v i i \pi \pi o v$ ).

24 1-2. Athena striking Marsyas (èravioa).
Theseus and the Minotaur ( $\tau$ oút $\omega v$ rt́fav).
Phrixus and the ram.
Heracles strangling the snakes (and other statues).
Athena springing from the head of Zeus.
Votive bull of the Areopagus.
24 3. i. A temple, possibly of Athena Ergane, containing a $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta a i \omega v$ $\delta a i \mu \omega v$.
24 3-4. A statue by Cleoetas.
Statues of Conon and Timotheus.
Procne and Itys, an offering of Alcamenes.
Athena and Poseidon.
Image of Zeus, by Leochares.
Image of Zeus Polieus.
24 5-7. j. The Parthenon.
The pediments - birth of Athena - contest of Athena and Poseidon.
Chryselephantine image of Athena.
Statue of Emperor Hadrian (èvrav̂日a . . . $\mu$ óvov).
Statue of Iphicrates (kãà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ écoodov).

voríu).
Bronze Apollo Parnopius, by Phidias ( $\tau o v ̂ ~ v a o v ̂ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi e ́ \rho a v) . ~ . ~$
Statues of Pericles and Xanthippus (on opposite sides, été $\rho \omega \theta_{l}$ ).
Statue of Anacreon.
Statues of Io and Callisto, by Dinomenes.


25 2-26 3. Digression : Olympiodorus.
26 4. . m. Between the South Wall and the Erechtheum.
Statue of Olympiodorus.
Bronze image of Artemis Leucophryene (rîs . . . cikóvos $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \tau \eta \hat{s}$ 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \tau o \delta \omega ́ \rho o v)$.
Seated image of Athena, by Endoeus.

Altar of Zeus Hypatus ( $\pi \rho o ̀ . .$. r $\hat{s} \mathrm{~s}$ ćróóov).
Altars of Poseidon with Erechtheus, of Butes, of Hephaestus ( $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \theta \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \iota)$.

| 6. |  The old Athena image. <br> The lamp of Callimachus. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 271. | o. Athena Polias Temple (ėv rệ vậ̣ rîs Mo入ıáסos). <br> A wooden Hermes, offering of Cecrops. <br> A chariot, the work of Daedalus. <br> The breastplate of Masistius. <br> The dagger of Mardonius. |
| $2 .$ $3 .$ |  <br> q. Dwelling of Arrephoric Maidens. |
| 274. | $r$. Between the Erechtheum and the Propylaea (274-28 2). Small figure of Lysimache ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau ஸ ̣ ̂ ~ v a ̣ ̂ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ ' A \theta \eta v a ̂ s) . ~$ |
| 5. | Statues of Erechtheus and Eumolpus. |
| 6. | Statues of Tolmides and his prophet. Oid images of Athena injured by fire. |
| 7. | A representation of a boar-hunt. Fight of Heracles and Cycnus. |
| 9. | Bronze statue of Theseus lifting the stone. Theseus and the Marathonian bull. |
| 281. | Bronze statue of Cylon. |
| 2. | Bronze image of Athena, from the spoils at Marathon. Bronze chariot, from the spoils of Boeotians and Chalcidians. Statue of Pericles. <br> Statue of Athena Lemnia. |
| 283. | s. The Acropolis Wall. |

VI. Western Slope of the Acropolis, and the Areopagus (28 4-291).


b. Sanctuary of Apollo in a cave ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i^{\circ} v$ ).
c. Cave of Pan.
5. d. The Areopagus.

Altar of Athena Areia.
6. Stones of Insolence and Shamelessness.

Sanctuary of Semnae ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
Statues of Pluto, Hermes, and Ge.
7. Monument of Oedipus.

28 8-11. Digression: The Athenian law courts.

VII. Road from Athens to the Academy Suburb (292-304) (é $\dot{\xi} \omega$ $\pi$ о́лє $\omega$ ).
$292 . \quad a$. Sanctuaries of gods.
Precinct of Artemis, with wooden images of Ariste and Kalliste.
A small temple of Dionysus Eleuthereus.
3. $\quad b$. The street of Tombs.

Thrasybulus.
Pericles, Chabrias, Phormio.
4-14. Monuments to Athenians who fell in battle.
15. Conon and Timotheus.

Zeno and Chrysippus.
Nicias, the animal-painter.
Harmodius and Aristogiton.
Ephialtes.
16. Lycurgus.

30 1. c. The Academy.

2. Altar of Prometheus ('Ev 'Aкабпиі́a).

Altar of Hermes ('Ev 'Aкабпрі́q).
Altar of Athene and Heracles ('Ev 'Aкаб $\quad$ mía ).
Sacred olive trees.
3. Tomb of Plato (ov̉ $\pi$ óp $\rho \omega$ ).
4. Tower of Timon.
d. Colonus Hippius.

Altar of Poseidon Hịppius and Athena Hippia.
Hero-chapel of Theseus and Pirithous.
Hero-chapel of Oedipus and Adrastus.
VIII. The Demes of Attica (31-33).

31 1. a. Halimus.
Sanctuary of Thesmophorian Demeter, and Kore.
At Zoster on the sea, an altar of Athena.
b. Apollo, Artemis, and Leto.
c. Prospalta.

Sanctuary of Kore and Demeter.
d. Anagyrus.

Sanctuary of the Mother of the Gods.
e. Cephale.

The Dioscuri.
31 2. f. Prasiae.
Temple of Apollo.
Monument of Erisichthon.
31 3. g. Lamptrae.
Monument of Cranaus.
h. Potamoi.

Tomb of Ion, son of Xuthes.
31 4. i. Phlya.
Altars of Apollo, Artemis, Dionysus, the Ismenid Nymphs, and Ge.
In another temple, altars of Demeter, Zeus, Athena, Kore, and the Semnae.
$j$. Myrrhinus.
Wooden image of Colaenis.

## $315 . k$. Athmonia. <br> Sanctuary of Artemis.

31 6. l. Acharnae.
Sanctuaries of Apollo Agyieus and Heracles.
Altar of Athena IIygieia.
32 3-7.m. Marathon.
Tumulus of Athenians.
Tumulus of Plataeans and slaves.
Monument of Miltiades.
Trophy of white stone.
Fountain Macaria.
Marsh.
Mountain of Pan.
33 1. n. Brauron.
Ancient wooden image of Artemis.
33 2-8. o. Rhamnus.
Sanctuary of Nemesis, with image made by Phidias.
$\times$ IX. Oropus (34).
34 1. a. The deme of Oropus.
2-5. b. The Sanctuary of Amphiaraus.
Temple, with image.
Altar, dedicated to various deities.
Fountain of Amphiaraus.

## X. The Mountains and Islands of Attica (32 1-2, $35-362$ ).

32 1-2. a. Mountains.
Pentelicus, with image of Athena.
Hymettus, with image of Zeus and altars of Zeus and Apollo.
Parnes, with bronze image and altars of Zeus.
Anchesmus, with image of Zeus.
35 1-36 2. b. Islands.
Patroclus.
Helene.
Salamis, with temple of Ajax and sanctuaries of Artemis and of Cychreus.
Psyttalia, with wooden images of Pan.
XI. The Sacred Way from Athens to Eleusis (36 3-387).

36 3-6. Monument of Anthemocritus.
Tomb of Molottus.
Monument of Cephisodorus.
37 1-7. Grave of Heliodorus Halis.
Grave of Themistocles, son of Poliarchus.
Graves of family of Acestius.
Temenos of the hero Lacius and the deme Laciadae.
Monument of Nicocles, the lyre-player.
Altar of Zephyrus.
Sanctuary of Demeter and Kore.
Tomb of Phytalus.

Statues of Mnesimache.

Graves of Theodectes and Mnesitheus.
Small temple of Cyamites.
Monument of a Rhodian.
Monument to Pythionice by IIarpalus.
Sanctuary with images of Demeter and Kore, Athena and Apollo.
Temple of Aphrodite.
38 1-5. The Rheitoi.
Heroum of Hippothoon.
Heroum of Zarex.
XII. Eleusis and its Neighborhood (38 6-9).

> 38 6-7. a. Eleusis : the Sacred Precinct.
> Temple of Triptolemus.
> Temple of Artemis Propylaea.
> Temple of Poseidon Pater.
> Altar of Triptolemus.
> 38 8-9. b. Road from Eleusis to Eleutherae.
> Temple and image of Dionysus.
> Cave of Antiope.
> . $\quad$ Walls of Eleutherae.

39 1-3. c. Road from Eleusis to Megara.
Well, called Anthium
Sanctuary of Metanira.
Graves of Seven against Thebes.
Monuinent of Alope.
Palaestra of Cercyon.

- XIII. Megara (39 4-44).

39 4-6. Mythical History of Megara.
40 1. Fountain of Theagenes ( ̇̇v Tî $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon t$ ).

Bronze images of Artemis Soteira.
Statues of Roman emperors.
Images of the Twelve Gods.
4-5. Temenos of Zeus.
Temple called Olympieum.
Gold and ivory image of Zeus, not completed.
Bronze beak of a trireme.
6. The Acropolis, called Caria.

Temple of Dionysus Nyctelius.
Sanctuary of Artemis Epistrophia.
Oracle of Nyx.
Temple of Zeus Conius.
Inages of Asclepius and Hygieia.
The Megaron of Demeter.

$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ O \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota \epsilon i ́ o v) . ~$
Rhous.
Monument of Hyllus ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov).

Temple of Apollo and Artemis ( $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aủróv).
3-6. Digression : Who killed the lion of Cithaeron?
7. The IIeroum of Pandion (ėk тoúrov . . . тov̂ iepov̂ кaтıố兀).

Monument of Hippolyte ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
8-9. Grave of Tereus (ov̉ $\pi$ óppow).


 icv $\delta \in \xi(\underset{q}{\text { an }})$.
Hearth of gods called Prodomeis.
2-3. Stone of Apollo ( $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$. . . ér $\sigma \tau_{i a s}$ érvís). .
4. Buleuterium.

Gold-and-ivory image of Athena.
Sanctuary of Athena Nike.
Sanctuary of Aiantis.
42 5. Old Temple of Apollo.
6. Sanctuary of Demeter Thesmophoros.

7. Monument of Ino (кaтà . . . Tク̀r és tò $\pi \rho u \tau a v e i o v o ~ o ́ o o ́ v) . ~$

43 1. Heroum of Iphigenia.
2. The Prytaneum.

Graves of Euippus and Ischepolis ('Ev . . т тب̣̂ Прuтaveíu).
The rock Anaclethra ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).
3. Graves in the city of Megara.

Of those killed in Persian Wars.
A tomb of heroes, called Aesymnium.
4. The Heroum of Alcathous.

Tomb of Pyrgo, his first wife.
Tomb of Iphinoe, his daughter.
5. The Sanctuary of Dionysus.
 $\Delta$ ovíocov).
A wooden image of Dionysus.
A Satyr by Praxiteles.
Image of Dionysus, dedicated by Euchenor.
43 6. Temple of Aphrodite (Mєтà . . . тồ Dıovv́vov tò ícoóv).
Ivory image of Aphrodite, surnamed Praxis.

Peitho and Paregoros, works of Praxiteles.
Eros, Himeros, and Pothos of Scopas.
Sanctuary of Tyche ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i^{\circ} \nu$ ).
Image, by Praxiteles.
Temple adjacent, containing
Muses and a bronze Zeus, by Lysippus.
43 7-8. The Grave of Coroebus (èv $\boldsymbol{r} \hat{\eta}$ Mevapé $\omega v$ á $\gamma o \rho \hat{̣}$ ).
Figure of Coroebus killing Poine.
44 1. The Grave of Orsippus ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ ).


Image of Apollo.
Images of Artemis, Latona, and others, the Latona and her children by Praxiteles.
The Old Gymnasium ( $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma^{i} o \nu \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu i v \omega \nu ~ N \nu \mu \phi a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ).
Stone of Apollo Carinus.
Sanctuary of the Ilithyiae.
44 3. The Port called Nisaea.
Sanctuary of Malophorian Demeter.
The Acropolis of Nisaea.
The tomb of Lelex, beside the sea.
The Island of Minoa.
44 4-5. The mountainous district of Megara.
Pagae.
Rock shot at by the Medes.
Bronze image of Artemis Soteira.
Heroum of Aegialeus.
Aegosthena.
Sanctuary of Melampus.
Small figure of a man on a stele.
Erenea.
Tomb of Autonoe.
44 6-14. Road from Megara to Corinth.
Graves, among others, of Telephanes.
Tomb of Car.
The Molurian Rock.

Images of Aphrodite, A pollo, and Pan.
Tomb of Eurystheus.
Boundaries of Megaris and Corinth.

## ATTIKA



1. Sunium - Laurium - The Island of Patroclus - The Piraeus - Muny-chia-Phalerum.
2. ăxpa Eovivıov: Cape Sunium, the southeast promontory of Attica, is a rugged headland of crystalline rock, rising two hundred feet above the sea. The earliest mention of Sunium is in Od. $\gamma, 278$, where it is said that the pilot of Menelaus was struck down by Apollo's shafts as the ship was passing the sacred headland of Sunium. The woods mentioned by Soph. Aj. 1217 ff . as covering the promontory have disappeared. The ancient fortification wall (cf. Thuc. 8, 4), with a circuit of over half a mile, may still be traced. -3. тарапллєv́бavtt: dative of reference with verbs of motion, a favorite construction with the historians.

 2, 96 ; Hdt. 1,51 ; 1, 181 ; 6, 33 ; Xen. Anab. 3, 2, 22 ; 6, 4, 1; Cyr. 8, 26 ; etc. -4. vaòs'AӨŋᅱâs Zouviáסos: upon the highest point of the promontory stand the ruins of a Doric peripteral temple, with six columns to front
and rear and thirteen on the sides; nine columns on the southern side and two on the northern are still standing. The stylobate measures $102 \times 44$ feet. The date, according to Dr. Dörpfeld, is somewhat later than the so-called Theseum. This temple has been usually identified as the temple of Athena. But Poseidon also was worshiped at Sunium (Eouvdápate, Ar. Eq. 560, cf. Av. 868); and an inscription found in the temple a few years ago, containing a psephisma to be set up in the temple of Poseidon, proves that this temple really belongs to Poseidon. The foundations of the Athena temple have been identified. See A.M. XXIV (1890), 349 ; Berl. Philol. Woch. XIX (1899), 1087. - 5. ムaúptov . . . apyúpov $\mu$ êrad入a : the hills of Laurium cover practically the whole of that part of the Attic peninsula south of a line drawn from Thoricus to Anaphlystus, a district extending about eleven miles north and south and five miles east and west. The exact period in which the art of mining was introduced into Attica is unknown. Xen. de Vect. 4, 2 implies


 $\pi \tau i ́ a \iota s, ~ a ̀ s ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o s ~ o ̀ ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Lambda a ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho є i ̂ \nu ~$






 aủró $\theta \epsilon \nu$ тaîs $\nu a v \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ T \rho o i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ r o v ~ \pi \rho o ́-~$

that it was very remote, while at his time the mines were less productive than formerly (Mem. 3, 6, 12). In Striabo's time they were almost exhausted ( $9, ~ p .399$ ), and Diodorus $(5,37)$ says that in his day great sums were expended in mining here, but without adequate return. Pausanias speaks as if operations had ceased entirely in the second century. More than two thousand of the ancient shafts have been located. At present a French company and a Greek company are seeking to resmelt the old slag and extract lead from the ore. Very little silver remains. -6. vipnos . . . חarpók ${ }^{\text {rv }}$ : this island lies three miles west of Cape Sunium, and is now known as Gaidaronisi or Ass's Island. A wall of rough stone, possibly that built by Patroclus, occupies the northeast corner ; the ancient designation, as the palisade ( $\chi \alpha \rho a \xi$, Strabo, $9, \mathrm{p} .398$ ) of Patroclus, has given to the coast territory adjacent the name of Charakt. The incident mentioned took
place in the so-called Chremonidean War (268-263 в.c.). Cf. 1, 7, 3; 3, 6, 4. See Wachsmuth, Stadt Ashen, I, 627.
3. Пetpalev̀s $\delta \hat{\not \uparrow \mu} 0 s, \kappa \tau \lambda$.: the $\mathrm{Pi}-$ raeus consists of a rocky peninsula extending seaward from the Attic mainland two and one third miles from northeast to southwest, composed of two masses each about one and onefourth miles wide united by a low and narrow isthmus. The southwest mass, known as Acte in ancient times, is 187 feet in height; the northeast mass, 280 feet at its highest point, is the hill of Munychia. In 493-492 bic. Themistocles began the fortification of Piraeus; about 448 the Long Walls were completed; in 440 the seaport town was laid out on a uniform plan by Hippodamus of Miletus; in 404 the Lacedaemonians destroyed the Long Walls and the Piraeus fortifications; during 394391 the fortifications were restored, chiefly under Conon; in 86 Sulla razed the fortifications, which were never

Ch. 1, 3











rebuilt. - 22. weiss . . . oikot : the shipsheds were regarded as one of the glories of Athens. Isocr. 7, 66 says they were built at a cost of 1000 talents, and were sold by the Thirty for three talents. Dem. 22, 76 cites them along with the Propylaea and the Parthenon. Lysias deplores the destruction of the dockyards $(12,99)$ and of the shipsheds $(30,22)$ at the hands of the tyrants. From 347 в.c. to 322 b.c. the Athenians engaged in rebuilding docks and shipsheds (C.I.A. II, 270), and erected an arsenal, largely through the efforts of Lycurgus. Considerable remains of the ancient shipsheds are still to be seen in the harbors of Zea and Munychia. - 23. tádos $\Theta_{4}$ -
 quotes Diodorus the Periegete's description of Themistocles's grave from his work $\Pi e \rho l \mu \nu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. Tradition places the site of the tomb on the shore of the Acte peninsula near the modern lighthouse, to the south of the approach to the Great Harbor. Thuc. 1, 138 says there was a monument of Themistocles in the agora of Magnesia, but that his
relatives maintained that his bones had been conveyed to Attic soil. - фaбl: note change of construction and especially use of opt. after фa l. Cf. Madvig, Advers. Crit. I, 704. On this frequent variation note the use of $\phi a \sigma l$ and other expressions ( $a$ ) with inf. and following wis or $8 \tau \iota+$ opt., as e.g. $1,2,3 ; 10,3$; (b) with inf. and following ws or $8 \mathrm{t} \iota+$ ind. of hist. tense, $1,19,4 ; 34,2 ;(c)$ also in $1,20,3$, where after $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ s + opt. there is first inf., then loss of dependence on

28. 'A日ŋvâs . . . kal $\Delta$ tòs $\tau \in \mu \epsilon v o s:$ this joint precinct of Zeus and Athena is mentioned in other ancient writers. See S.Q. CXI, 78. It is likely that the two deities were worshiped in common and that there were two statues with one sacred precinct. Cf. Lyc. c.

 the principal sanctuary of the Piraeus. In the precinct were colonnades with paintings and statues in the open air (Strabo, 9, p. 396). The site has not been definitely determined. - 29. áyád$\mu a \tau a$ : the two bronze statues of Athena
 עos Maкє






and Zeus were renowned. That of Athena was the work of Cephisodotus or Cephisodorus. See Pliny, 34, 74, Cephisodorus Minervam mirabilem in portu Atheniensium. Furtwängler defends the Mss. reading Cephisodorus which is adopted in the Teubner and Jex-Blake editions. There is no known Greek sculptor of this name, while there were two by name Cephisodotus. The date usually assigned is $394-391$ b.c.; if the latter name is accepted, the work must be attributed to the elder Cephisodotus; so Overbeck, Murray, Milchhoefer, and Wachsmuth. - 35. тfीs $\sigma$ тoâs тगीs $\mu a-$ kpâs: the Long Colonnade was probably one of the five mentioned Schol. Ar. Pac. 145, to the effect that in the harbor of Cantharus were " the docks, then the sanctuary of Aphrodite, then five colonnades round about the harbor" (S.Q. CXII). An inscription of Roman date quoted 'E $\phi$. 'A $\rho \chi$. 1884, p. 170 , mentions these in the same order. This stoa is doubtless identical with that described Thuc. 8, 90 as the largest colonnade, and as immediately adjoining the promontory of Eetionia. If so, it stood on the north
side of the harbor, extending westward to where the town wall of Piraeus crossed the shallow bight over to Eetionia. It is probably identical with the often mentioned $\sigma \tau 0 \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi \iota \tau \delta \pi \omega \lambda / s$ (S.Q. CXVII, 55). The four other colonnades doubtless ran southward in a line along the eastern shore of the harbor, together forming the public mart or emporium. - 36. dapopd. . . á $\pi \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\ell} \mathrm{p} \omega$ то仑̂ $\lambda \ell_{\mu} \mu v o s:$ this was the agora of Hippodamus, which occupied a spacious square, large enough for troops to muster in (Andoc. 1, 45). The road from Athens led into this square, and another wide avenue led from it up to the shrine of Artemis on Munychia (Xen. Hell. 2,4,11ff.). The house of the admiral Timotheus was near (Ps.-Dem. 49, 22). The site was probably the level ground to the east of the great harbor, where is located the modern Karaiskaki Square. Named after the architect who laid out the city, it constituted in ancient times the principal market of Piraeus. - 38. Zè̀s kal $\Delta \hat{\eta}-$ ноs, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \epsilon \omega$ Xápovs épyov: for other works of Leochares, cf. 1, 3, 4; 24, 4; 5, 20, 10. Leochares (c. 350 в.c.) was one of the sculptors engaged with Scopas in
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 $\tau \eta \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, ~ \kappa a i ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ i \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$. $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$
 $\eta_{n} \nu \mathrm{~K} \nu \iota \delta i ́ a \nu$ oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ́, ~ K \nu i ́ \delta \iota o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ \kappa a \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ E v ̈ \pi \lambda o ı a \nu . ~$



embellishing the mausoleum of Malicarnassus (Pliny, 36, 30); he is the author of the original of the Vatican group of Ganymede and the eagle (Pliny, 34, 79). The personification of the Demus was a popular motif in Greek art. Pausanias mentions in Athens a statue of Demus by Lysin ( $1,3,5$ ), and a painting of Demus by Euphranor (1, 3,3 ). Parrhasius painted a celebrated picture of the Athenians, portraying their fickle character (Pliny, 35, 69). Other cities likewise were personified in art, as e.g. the Antioch of the Vati-can.-39. 'Aфpodirns iepóv: the demple of Aphrodite, erected by Conon after his naval victory off Cnidos (394 в.c.), lay somewhere between the docks and the colonnades (cf. Schol. Ar. Pac. 145). This would place it to the southeast of the harbor, most probably on the promontory where the customhouse now stands. Another shrine of Aphrodite, dedicated by Themistocles, was probably situated at the northern extremity of Eetionia (see S.Q. CVI).41. 'Aфpo8i $\uparrow \eta v:$ Aphrodite is called $\Delta \omega$ pics as the goddess of fruitfulness in veg-
 Furtwängler in Roscher, I, 398); 'Aкраіа
as Goddess of the Height (cf. 2, 32,6 ), also a surname of Athena, Hera, and Artemis (Hesych. s.v. 'Aкрia); Еiкло九a, in that she grants prosperous voyages to mariners. This latter was probably her surname at Piraeus (C.I.A. II, 1206).
 various harbors of Athens, see Excursus I. - 46. Movvuxlas vas 'Apré$\mu$ LEos: the temple was situated on the top of the hill above the Hippodamian agora (Yen. Hell. 2, 4, 11) but its exact site is not determined. Here Artemis was worshiped as a moon and barbor goddess. On the cult see PrellerRobert, Gr. Myth. I, 302 ff., S.Q. CVII, 22. Pausanias fails to mention two Greek theatres on the peninsula of Piraeus, one on the western slope of the hill of Munychia, about half way up the hill; the other to the west of the harbor of Kea. The former is the older and is mentioned Thus. 8, 93, 1; Leys. 13, 32 ; and Yen. Hell. 2, 4, 32. The latter was built during the second century в.c. (C.I.A. II, 984). It was excavated by the Greek Archaeological Society in 1880. Parts of the stagebuilding, orchestra, and auditorium are in good condition. - 47. $\Delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho o s$




 $\gamma \epsilon \omega$ סè ồv (i...

 Lepov: this shrine is one of the aol $\eta \mu-$ кavion mentioned ( $10,35,2$ ) as burnt by the Persians and left in ruins for all time by the Greeks as perpetual memorials of their hatred of the barbarians. See Lyc. c. Leocr. 81 and W. N. Bates, Harv. Stud. Cl. Phil. XII, 320 ff. - 48 . Ekıpá6os'A A $\eta$ vars vaós: this temple wassaid to have been founded by a soothsayer from Dodona named Scirus ( $1,36,4$ ). See Mich. S.Q. CXIX, 50. In A.M. I, 126, Lolling derives the surname from $\sigma \times 4 \rho \rho$ s, and connects it with the rocks and their white color. See also Preller-Robert, Griech. Myth. I, 204, and Robert, Hermes, XX, 349.-
 leaves it uncertain whether there was one altar to Unknown Gods or several, and whether, if several, each was dedilated to Unknown God or Gods. At Olympia was an ' $\mathrm{A} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{\beta} \mu \mathrm{b}$ s $(5,14,8)$. The apostle Paul mentions an altar at Athens with the inscription ar N $\Omega 2 \mathrm{~T} \Omega$ em $\Omega$ (Acts 17, 23). Oecumenus (quoted in Lomeier, de veterum gentilium lustrationibus, p . 32) says the full inscription seen by Paul was: "To the gods of Asia and Europe and Libya, to the Unknown and Strange God." Tertullian (ad nations, 2,9 ) mentions
an altar at Athens dedicated to Unknown Gods. Philostratus (Vit. Apoclon. $6,3,5$ ) speaks of altars of Unknown Gods at Athens. Lucian makes one of his characters swear by the Unknown God at Athens (Philop. 9). Dig. Laert. $1,10,110$, gives an explanation of the presence of such altars at Athens. Cf. Rondel Harris, "The Cretans Always Liars," Expositor, October, 1906. $\beta \omega \mu$ gl . . . ทן thous the steersman and Phaeax the lookout man of Theseus' ship on his voyage to Crete. They had shrines at Phalerum beside the sanctuary of Scirus. See Plat. Thes. 17. - 50. \$a入ךpov . . . $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda e v ̂ \sigma a l ~ \mu e r d ~ ' I ́ ́ \sigma o v o s : ~ P h a l e r u s ~}$ is also mentioned (Apoll. Rood. 1, 96) as a participator in the Argonautic expedition.
52. 'A vбро́үєш $\beta$ мидо́s: see $1,27,10$. -54. ăкрa Kwitas: on the probable site of Cape Colias, see Excursus I. -
 on Coliad Aphrodite and her cult, see Roscher, Lex. s.v. Kolias, and Schol. Ar. Nub. 52, where a temple of the goddess is mentioned and various explantations are given of the term Coliad. The priest of this deity had a seat in the theatre of Dionysus (C.I.A. III, 339).
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 the Genetyllides are to be distinguished from Aphrodite as birth-goddesses in her service, Aphrodite herself having at times this title. According to Hesych. s.v. Гevervadts, Genetyllis resembled Hecate, and dogs were sacrificed to her. See S.Q. s.v.; Usener, Götternamen,
 in Фа入ทpov: : Pausanias first traverses the route from Phalerum to Athens and describes monuments at the entrance of the city $(1,2,1)$; he then traverses the route from Piraeus to Athens (1,2, 2-3), and enters finally from this approach. -60. vacs "Hpas: mentioned $(10,35,2)$ as one of the vail $\dot{\eta} \mu$ lкavto. Pausanias leaves the reader his choice of one of two inferences :.(1) if the injury to the temple was inflicted by the Persians, the image was not the work of Alcamenes; (2) if the image was made by Alcamenes, the injury to the shrine was not inflicted by the Persians. The author manifestly inclines to the former inference.
2. The Amazon Antiope-Walls of Greater Athens - Court Poets - The Dipylum - Temples, Colonnades, and Statues from Gate to Agora-Attic Kings.
 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ aforos: the statement implies that this tomb was just within the city wall of Athens. Plut. Thess. 27 defines the site more exactly, rand rd $\Gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ' $0 \lambda \nu \mu \pi i a s$ i $\epsilon \rho \sigma$. This sanctuary lay (Taus. 1, 18, 7) in the region of the Olympieum, but outside the periboluswall. Hence the gate through which the Phalerum road led into Athens was doubtless not far from the Olympieum. Now the tomb of Antiope was in all probability identical with the tomb of the Amazon mentioned by Ps.Plato (Axioch. $364 \mathrm{~d}-365 \mathrm{~A}$ ) as being near the Itonian gate. Hence it follows that the gate approached by the Phalerum road was the Itonian, and this lay near the Olympieum. See Plat. This. 26 ff . on the relations between Theseus and Antiope.
 $\pi a \rho a \delta o \hat{\nu} q \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ o \nu . ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ ' H \gamma i ́ a s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu . ~ ' A \theta \eta$ -











 20 тоîs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ \pi о \iota \eta \tau а i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu ~ є ̈ т \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ П о \lambda \nu к р а ́ т є \iota ~$


11. Epeitia rêv felix Walls, see Excursus I. - 15. Táфot . . . Mevávסpov . . . каl $\mu \nu \uparrow ̂ \mu a$ Eủpıríiou ce$v o v$ : the epitaph on Menander's tomb is preserved Anthol. Pal. 7, 370:
 $\pi \epsilon$ (Bows,
并 $\chi \omega$,
 Mévàdoò

The inscription on Euripides' cenotaph is said to have been composed by Thucydides or by Timotheus the musician. It is found Anthol. Pal. 7, 45 :

$\pi a \tau \rho i s \delta^{\prime}$ 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ s,{ }^{\prime} A \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l \cdot \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{-}$ eTa $\delta \dot{\text { et Moúraıs }}$

The story goes that while being hospitable entertained by King Archelaus of Macedon, Euripides was accidentally torn in pieces by his hunting dogs. See Did. 13, 103 ; Biog. Gr., pp. 136, 140. Cf. Sotades ap. Stob. Flor. 98, Anth. 7, 51, and Allinson, Lucian, p. xiv. This story bears all the ear-marks of myth. On tombs as an embellishment of roads in ancient times, see Curtius, Ges. Abl.
 a similar formula occurs 8, 38, 7. Cf. HIt. 1, 140 ; 2, 28.
 ias here mentions a number of popular instances of poets who sojourned
 каi 'А $1 \nu \tau \iota \gamma o ́ \nu \omega$ Макє
 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ท̉ $\tau v ́ \chi \eta \sigma a \nu$ ท̂ каi є̇ко́ขтєs $\omega ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$, ó $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$








at the courts of kings and tyrants. It is strange that he passes over Pindar and Bacchylides. On Anacreon's sojourn with Polycrates, see Mdt. 3, 121 ; Strabo, 14, p. 638. Aeschylus was at the court of Hero between 471 and 469 ; see Vita Aesch., and Christ, Ber. d. bayr. Ak. 1888, 371 ff . On Simonides' sojourn with Micro, see Yen. Hero, Ps.-Plato, Ep. 2, $311_{\mathrm{A}}$, etc. On the sojourn of Antagoras and Aratus with Antigonus Gonatas in 276 b.c., see Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, pp. 53-61; Athens. 8, p. 340; Susemihl, Alex. Lit. I, 380. On Demodocus see Od. $\theta, 44$;
 has been conjectured that this monument of a rider is identical with the shrine of the hero Chalcodon mentioned by Plutarch (Thes. 26) as being near the Piraeus gate at Athens. - oi ríppe тติv $\pi v \lambda \omega \hat{v}$ : it is a much-disputed question by what gate Pausanias enters the city. There were four gates to the north and northwest of Athens available for travelers from the Piraeus: two within the

Long Walls, - one in the saddle between the Museum and Pnyx hills, the other between the Pyx and Nymphaeum hills; a third, called the Piraeus gate, just beyond the Nymphaeum hill; and the fourth the great gate of the city further north, known as the Dipylam. Just as the roads leading from the first two gates converged within the walls, so the roads leading from the last two converged at a short distance from the city. We have noticed that Pausanias was approaching the city by the road to the north of the Long Walls; hence he could have entared by the Piraeus gate or the Dipylam. It is generally accepted that Pausanias chose the latter, since the Dipylum was the principal gate of Athens (cf. Livy 31, 24); and the road to the Dipylum was a regular means of approach from the Piraeus to the agora; though somewhat longer, it was more level and more convenient than the lower road, and led through the principal avenue to the chief part of






the city (cf. Polyb. 16, 25; Lucian, Navig. 17, 46 ; Dial. Meretr. 4, 2, etc.); and finally because the monuments Pausanias proceeds to describe doubtless were along the avenue from the Dipylum to the agora. For a descripLion of the extant remains of the Dipylam, see Excursus I.
 $\pi \circ \mu \pi \not ิ v:$ this was doubtless the building elsewhere designated Поитeion (Dem. 34, 39 ; C.I.A. II, 834 c, 2, $a$; Poll. 0 , 45; Dig. Laert.6, 22), used as a depository of the properties for the various processions, especially the Panathenaic, that started from this point. The building was embellished with paintings and statues, including a portrait of Isocratoes (Dit. x Or. 4, p. 839 E), and a bronze statue of Socrates by Lysippus (Dig. Laert. 2, 5, 43). The site has been recognized in the foundations of a large quadrangular building, divided into three aisles, situated southwest of the Dipylum, inside the city wall.37. vacs ... $\Delta$ thu ${ }^{2}$ pos : this temple is most probably identical with the 'Ias$\chi$ kiev, in the neighborhood of which a grandson of the great Aristides (Plat. Aristid. 27) made his living by interpreting dreams, and where the dreaminterpreters regularly resorted (Alciphr. 3, 59). Its location near the Dipylum accords with the fact that through this gate passed the sacred processions to Eleusis. Cf. Schol. Ar. Ran. 402;
 ... Mpakireतovs: these statues of Demeter, Persephone, and lacchus are mentioned by Clem. Alex. Protrept. 4, 62, p. 52 , ed. Ritter, and the Iacchus by Cicero (Var. 4, 60), though neither mentions Praxiteles. The statement that the inscription on the wall was in "Attic characters" signifies that they were inscribed in the Attic alphabet of the fifth century before the archonship of Eucleides (403-402 в.c.) when the old Attic alphabet was officially abolished in favor of the Ionic alphabet of twenty-four letters. Two explanatons have been given of the statement that an inscription referring to the works of Praxiteles, whose acme could hardly have been prior to 365 , should be in characters abolished in 403 в.c.: one being the hypothesis of the Elder Praxiteles, advocated chiefly by Futwängler, the other that of Köhler, who finds the solution in the fact that the inscription was carved, not as usual on the base of the statue but on the wall, and was accordingly not inscribed by the artist. The old Attic alphabet, Köhler says, was revived in Hadrian's time and was used particularly for inscriptions and the like. He thinks that these statues may well be those dedicated by the physician Mnesitheus (Pays. 1, 37, 4), who was contemporary with the comic poet Alexis, a younger contemporary of Praxiteles.
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Cf. A.M. IX (1884), p. 78 ff. - 40. Io-
 dent of the Battle of the Gods and Giants, related by Strabo (10, p. 489) and by Apollodorus $(1,6,2)$ to the effect that Poseidon with his trident had rent a piece from the island of Cos , and hurled it at the giant Polybotes, burying him under it and forming the island of Nisyrus off Cos. The combat is frequently represented on vasepaintings and other minor works of art. Pausanias mentions that the inscription had been altered. This was a common practice under the Empire, so that what had been the image ( ${ }^{2} \gamma_{a} \lambda \mu a$ ) of a god or hero might become the portrait statue ( $\epsilon l \kappa \omega \nu$ ) of a man. -44. бтoal . . . ámd тติv $\pi \cup \lambda \omega ิ \nu:$ Himerius $(3,12)$ describes the procession of the Sacred Ship in the Panathenaic festival as follows: "ApXetal $\mu \notin \nu$






 oi doumol. I understand Himerius'statement that the street "makes a straight
and gentle descent from the higher ground " as referring to the slope from the Agora to the gate. This removes Frazer's difficulty in identifying the street described by Himerius as being the one described by Pausanias. From the two authors alike we learn that the entire avenue was lined with colonnades; Himerius refers to the buildings used formerchandise and the like; Pausanias, only to those devoted to sacred purposes, which were doubtless outnumbered by the secular buildings. - 45. el-
 кal $\alpha v \delta \rho \notin \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: Gurlitt (p. 265) argues that the custom of lining a street before the colonnades with statues of illustrious men and women belongs to the Hellenistic Age, but Curtius (Stadtgesch. p. 178) shows that the custom arose in early times and flourished chiefly under Pericles. Such monuments to the benefactors of the state were placed in prominent places, especially on this parade street, that the visitor on the very threshold of the city might recognize that Athens was the centre of the higher life of Hellas.
48. Hovגutiovos olkia: the Athenians of "certain note" who profaned the mysteries in the house of Pulytion
, , , , , Ch. 2,5



 Пaw ${ }^{\prime}$ ias каì $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ M \nu \eta \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta s ~ к а i ~ M o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ ' А \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~$




were doubtless Alcibiades and his companions. Andoc. 1, 12, 14, and Isoc. 16,6 , also assert that the impious ceremonies took place in the house of Pulytion. Plato (Eryx. $394 \mathrm{c}, 400$ в) speaks of the magnificence of the mansion. Thuc. 6, 28 states that the accused parodied the Eleusinian mysteries. The house was confiscated by the state and dedicated to Dionysus Melpomenus. -
 sus the Minstrel is referred to in various inscriptions (see S.Q. XXVIII, 1). It is thought that this $\tau$ tuevos is identical with the $\tau \epsilon \mu e \operatorname{eos} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \partial \nu \Delta t-$ $\nu^{\nu}$ p. 212 , the principal sanctuary of the company of theatrical artists, from whose number the priest of this deity was chosen (C.I. A. III, 274, 278). The other priest of this Dionysus was chosen from the family of the Eunidae. Each had a reserved seat inscribed for him in the theatre of
 vias . . Épyov Eijoovidoov: the text leaves it uncertain whether Pausanias means to say that the whole group of statues or only the statue of Apollo was made and dedicated by Eubulides. In

1837 there was made in this territory an important find of a pedestal of great blocks of poros; also of a colossal marble head of a woman, a torso of a female statue, two male portrait heads of Roman date, and a large block of Hymettus marble with this

 1874 a colossal female head of Pentelic marble, probably an Athena, was discovered in the same place. Authorities are divided on the question of regarding this find as the monument mentioned by Pausanias, but we must incline to the opinion that these fragments are parts of a great composite statue, made and dedicated by Eubu-
 "Aкparos: Acratus, one of the attendant sprites of Dionysus, was the daemon of unmixed wine. To this daemon Dicaeopolis (Ar. Ach. 12:9) gulped down the "Anystis," - "the deep, long, breathless draught."-56. ті
 as identical with the house of Pulytion, mentioned as dedicated to Dionysus.
 Curtius (Ges. Abh. I, 40) recognizes
















in the group of Amphictyon a portrayal of the admission of Dionysus into the community of Attic deities. The winegod was introduced from Eleutherae into Athens by Pegasus the priest (Schol. Ar. Asch. 243). Amphictyon is said to have learned from Dionysus the art of mixing water with wine (Athens. 2, p. 38c). -60. $2 \pi l^{\prime}$ 'Iкар!ov: cf. $1,33,8$, and note.
 ross: with the rest of chapter cf. Apollodorus 3,14 . The only difference between the two accounts is that Apollodorus makes Cecrops, not Actaeus, the first king of Attica, who married Aglaurus, daughter of Actaeus. According to Steph. Byz. s.v.'Aкт $\dot{\eta}$, Attica was originally called 'Asci' after Actaeus; so too Strabo, 9, p. 397, where the king is called Actaeon. - 65. "Epos

каl "A ү $\lambda a v p o s$ cal Пárбporos: see 1,18, 2 , and note. "A $\begin{gathered}\text { 人aupos is in inscriptions }\end{gathered}$ the original and better attested form. See C.I.G. 7716, 7718; C.I.A. III, 372. "Arpaudos is found in the text of Eur., Apollod., and Staph. Byz. See Pret-ler-Robert I, 200, note 2 ; Usener, Götternamen, 136. - 66. 'Epvoโx ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\theta} \omega v}$ : see $1,18,5 ; 1,31,12$. - 69. кal ax $\lambda \lambda$ as cal Atel8a : according to Apollos. 3, 14, 5, the other daughters were Cranae and Cranaechme. After the third daughter Atthis, Cranaus named the land Atthis or Attike. See Strabo, 9, 397: 'Актькخे


 . . . $\mathbf{k \kappa \pi}$ ( $\pi \tau \epsilon t$ : according to Isocr. Panath. 126 the childless Cecrops surrendered to Erichthonius the kingdom of Attica.-73. ovvetavaotávtav: this


 Kєрá $\mu о v, \Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ к а i ̀ ' A \rho ı a ́ \delta \nu \eta s ~ к а i ̂ ~ \tau о v ́ т о v ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o-~$




and diveerejyecav (4, 7, 7) are the only instances in Pausanias of a verb compounded with three prepositions. See Aug. Grosspietsch, Brest. Philol. Abl. VII, 5, pp. 11, 39, 68.
3. The Ceramicus - Stoa Basileius -Stoa Eleutherius-Statues and Painting - Temple of Paternal Apollo-Metroum-Buleuteriun.
 Pausanias here styles Ceramicus was not the whole deme bearing that name but only the spot ( $\chi$ wp lop) Ceramicus, i.e. the Agora. The deme Ceramicus

 (Harpocr. s.v. Kt $\rho a \mu$ es; cf. Suidas and Photius s.v. Kep $\mu$ (s). It consisted of two parts: (a) the Outer Ceramicus extending from the Dipylum toward the Academy and including the state cemetery called Ceramicus kat ${ }^{2} \xi=x \neq$ (see Ar. Aves, 395, Thuc. 6, 57, Plato Farm. 127 B, etc.), and (b) the Inner Ceramicus, which probably extended from the Dipylum to the Acropolis and embraced the whole of the Agora (see S.Q. LIXX, 42; Wacks. I, 152; II, 258). It is impossible to determine absolutely the limits of the deme, or to define precisely the use of the term
at different periods. With this passage begins Pausanias's itinerary of the market-place. See Excursus II. 2. Kepápov: the prevalence of Dionesiac worship led to the invention of an eponymous hero for the Ceramicus Ceramus, son of Dionysus and Mriadne. - 3. नтоd $\beta$ afliclos: as Pausanias is entering the market-place from the northwest, the Royal Colonnade in all probability stood on the west side of the market-place at the foot of the Theseum hill. The building served as the office of the archon king, and at times as the meeting-place of the Coincl of the Areopagus (Dem. in Aristog., 776). Dr. Dörpfeld writes me under date of Jan. 19, 1908, that the excavations of the Greeks on the east slope of the'Theseum hill have laid bare a building with an apse, possibly the Royal Colonnade. The building formerly identified by Dörpfeld (A.M. XXI, 102 ff. ; XXII, 225 ff .) as the Royal Colonnade he now thinks did not belong to the market, but was the last building before the "Ceramicus" of Pausanias. Only thus can the new building be the "first to the right." - 6. dyad-
 pwva $\kappa \tau \lambda$. : similar groups of terra cotta
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have been found elsewhere, and they seem to have been the usual ornament for the apexes of gables. This suggests that the colonnade terminated in gables, and that the two groups, one of Theseus hurling Sciron into the sea, the other of Hemera with Cephalus, occupied the apexes. On the subject of the first group, see $1,44,8$. The death of Sciron, the mythical robber, was depicted on vases, and is the subject of one of the metopes of the so-called Theseum at Athens. -7. 'H ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 'pa Ktфadov: the story of the fair youth Cephalus, ravished by the goddess of day (Hemera) or of the morning (Eos, Aurora) is frequently touched on in classical authors. Apollodorus (3, 14, 3) and Ovid (Met. 7, 700 ff .) give the story at length; Hesiod (Theog. 986 ff .) and Hyginus (Fab. 189) more briefly. The subject is frequently depicted on vases, representing the goddess pursuing her favorite or carrying him in her arms. The latter was probably the attitude portrayed on the roof of the colonnade, as well as in the relief on the Amyclaean throne ( $3,11,2$ ). 8. ot maîסa revtofal Фat〇ovta: the usual legend makes Helios the father of Phaethon; but Pausanias follows Hesiod (Theog. 986 ff .) in naming Cephalus as his father. The former version is followed by Eur. Frag. 775 (Poet. Scen. Gr., ed. Dindorf), Plato (Tim. 22 c ), Lucian (Dial. deor. 25),

Ovid (Met. 1, 751 ff .), etc., and by Pausanias himself elsewhere ( $1,4,1 ; 2$, 3,2 ). In most of these authors the mother of Phaethon is not Hemera, but Clymene, a daughter of Oceanus. Phaethon, "the shining one," is usually interpreted as the morning star, or the sun itself. - 10. 'Holosos . . . iv ëreot tois ds tas puvaikas: this poem of Hesiod's, which is not extant, is referred to by Pausanias in $1,43,1$; $3,24,10 ; 9,31,5$. In the last passage Pausanias mentions a doubt as to the authenticity of the poem. It is not certain what is the relation of this. poem to the Great Eoeae $(2,2,3)$ or to the Catalogue of Women, works ascribed to Hesiod. See Christ, Gr. Litt. ${ }^{4}$ p. 101; Rh. Mus., N.F., XXXIX (1884), 561-565.
11. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov $\delta$ è $\tau$ fीs $\sigma$ Toâs Kobvøv: Isocr. 9, 57 says that statues of Conon and Evagoras were set up beside the image of Zeus Soter. Pausanias' statement accords with this, for Zeus Soter is the same as Zeus Eleutherius. See Harpocr., Hesych., and Suid., s.v. 'Eגevetplos Zeés. These statues are also referred to in Dem. 20, 70; Aesch. 3, 243; Nepos, Timoth. 2; etc. Conon, Timotheus, and Evagoras are very properly grouped as being the three heroes of the melancholy struggle of Athens with Sparta at the close of the fifth and the beginning of the fourth centuries. See Isocr. Evag. 35 ff.;











Antidosis, 101, 139. It is probable that the statues of Conon and Evagoras were set up soon after the battle of Cnidus (394 в.c.) and that of Timotheus was added soon after the peace of Callias in 371. - 14. rd deveraerv: cf. $2,18,7$; 37,$3 ; 3,2,2 ; 25,10$; $4,3,4$ and $6 ; 5,25,12$. So Hdt. 1, - 170 ; тd d $\mathfrak{\nu} \downarrow \kappa \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu, 6,35 ; 7,221$; without article, 5,65 , etc. $\alpha \nu \varepsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu$ in relations of time, as seen above, is used regularly of ancestry or origin. Sometimes $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$

 ¢о $\quad$ кvos'Eגevéptos: we learn from Isocr. 9, 57, and Hesych. s.v. 'Eגevéforos Zeús that this image was also called Zeus Soter. According to Harpocr. s.v. 'Eגev$\theta \epsilon \rho \operatorname{los} \mathrm{Z} \in \dot{\prime}$ s, the orator Hyperides derived the name from the inference that the colonnade in the rear had been built by freedmen, but Didymus gave the much more satisfactory reason that both statue and colonnade were founded to commemorate the deliverance from the Persians. -17. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda_{\text {ciss' }}$ Adplavós . . . $\eta \mathrm{j} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Ev}} \mathrm{v}$ : Hadrian received countless honors at the hands of the Athenians, as we shall see later. He was wor-
shiped at Athens under the title of Eleutherius (Liberator), and probably this worship was performed at an altar before this statue. The juxtaposition of Hadrian Eleutherius and Zeus Eleutherius is noteworthy, as they also divided honors in the Olympieum and elsewhere. In the theatre a seat was reserved for the priest of Hadrian the Liberator (C.I.A. III, 253).
 though Pausanias does not here mention its name, the colonnade wasknown as the Stoa Eleutherius, or Colonnade of Zeus of Freedom, from the image of the god (see 10, 21, 6; Xen. Oecon. 7, 1 ; Plat. Eryx. 392 a; id. Theages, 121 A , etc.). Pausanias indicates that the two colonnades, the Basileius and the Eleutherius, were adjacent, and other writers speak of them as beside each other, $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \eta$ خ $\lambda a s$. See Harpocr. and Suid. s.v. $\beta a \sigma$ Itelos $\sigma \tau o d$. This is doubtless the colonnade referred to, Ar. Eccl. 686, as being beside the Royal Colonnade, and we should locate it, therefore, west of the Agora and to the south of the Royal Colonnade. 20. रpaфàs " "xouva $\theta$ eoùs toùs $\delta \omega \delta_{6 \in \kappa a}$ кa入ov $\mu \dot{\text { ívous }}$ : Pausanias later mentions
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two other paintings in this colonnade - the Cavalry Battle and the Theseus -and adds: raútas tàs roapds Evi$\phi \rho \alpha \nu \omega \rho$ モ̌ $\gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon \nu$ 'A $A \eta \nu a l o s s$ (4). We infer from Pausanias's account that the Twelve Gods and the Theseus adorned the side walls, the Cavalry Battle the long back wall, of the colonnade. Pliny, N.H. 35, 129, mentions together these three works of Euphranor. These paintings were justly celebrated. Plutarch (de glor. Ath. 2) says that the Cavalry Battle was painted with much energy and fire ; Lucian (Imag. 7) admired Hera's hair, and Valerius Maximus $(8,11)$ preferred the Poseidon to the Zeus, in the painting of the Twelve Gods. Euphranor was at his acme about 360 в.c. He attained great reputation as painter, sculptor, and writer on art (see Pliny, N. H. 34, 50 ; $35,128 \mathrm{ff}$., etc.). 21 . Oŋनev́s . . . kal $\Delta \eta \mu o к p a r i a ~ t e ~ x a l ~ \Delta \eta ̂ \mu o s: ~ a s ~ t o ~ r e p r e-~$ sentations in art of the Demus, "the John Bull of Athens" (Frere), see note on $1,1,3$. Pliny ( 25,69 and 137 ) mentions personifications of the Demus
in paintings by Parrhasius and by Aristolaus. An Athene Demokratia is cited C.I.A.III, 165; and according to C.I.A. II, $470,1.62$, there was a statue of Demokratia at Athens, at which public decrees were sometimes exposed.
 סıtepetvav apxovtes: Theseus is represented as the founder of democracy also by Isocr. 12, 129, Ps.-Dem. 59, 75, Plut. Thes. 25, etc. Aristotle (Resp. Ath. 41), on the contrary, states that the monarchical form of government under Theseus declined but little ( $\mu$ L-
 fact, the political synoikismos was the only practical result of Theseus's reforms (Thuc. 2, 15). 'Theseus was supplanted by Menestheus, but after the latter was slain at Troy the sons of Theseus regained the kingdom of Athens (1, 17, 5 ; Plut. Thes. 31-35) and held it for three generations, Theseus' son Demophon, his grandson Oxyntes, and his great-grandson Thymoetes, being successively kings. See Plut. Thes. 28, Diod. 4, 62, Paus. 2, 18,














 $\sigma$ avtas ts $_{5}$ Refforov: Pausanias qualifies this statement in $4,5,10$, by remarking that "at first the people only stripped the descendants of Melanthus, the Medontids, as they were called, of most of their power, and transformed them from kings into responsible magistrates; but afterwards they also fixed on ten years as the term of their magistracy." The Theseid was followed by a new foreign dynasty, inaugurated by Melanthus, a Messenian king, who was forced to retire from Messenia after the Dorian migration, according to tradition, and, coming to Attica, displaced Thymoetes, the last of the Theseids (see 2, 18, 9 ; Hdt. 5, 65, etc.).

 account of the painting is inconsistent with the statements of others in regard to the battle of Mantinea, and either he or the painter is at fault. Diodorus $(15,87)$ states that Epaminondas
received his death-wound while fighting among the infantry, whereas Grylus was a member of the cavalry (Diog. Laert. 2, 6, 54), and Pausanias describes a cavalry engagement. Then there is much dispute as to the slayer of Epaminondas. Pausanias ( $8,11,5$ ) says the Mantineans maintain that he was slain by Machaerion, a Mantinean, the Spartans by Machaerion, a Spartan; but Plutarch (Ages. 35) asserts it was a Laconian, Anticrates, who struck the blow. Pausanias $(8,11,6)$, however, argues for the Athenian tradition and says that the Mantineans gave Grylus a public burial and set up a monument to him on the spot, while the name of Machaerion has never received any special marks of honor from either Spartans or Mantineans. - 44. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov
 Пaтp५̂ov: the site of the temple of Apollo was doubtless on the west side of the market-place just to the south of the Stoa Eleutherius. The $\beta \omega \mu \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s}$ rov
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 Or. p. 843 в) stood presumably before this temple. Apollo was probably styled Paternal ( $\Pi a \tau \rho \hat{\psi} o s)$ as being the mythical father of Ion, the founder of the Ionian race. Apollo Patroös was identical with the Pythian Apollo (Dem. 18, 141, p. 274 ; Aristid. Or. 13, vol. I, 181, ed. Dindorf). His priest is mentioned in inscriptions (C.I.A. III, 687, $720 a$, p. 501) and had a seat reserved in the Dionysiac theatre (C.I.A. III, 279). Nothing definite is known as to the type of Euphranor's Apollo
 Xápis: Winter (A. Jb. VII, 104), and other archaeologists, derive the A pollo Belvedere from this image made by Leochares. Yet there are in all three Apollo statues of Leochares known, so that any relation of the Belvedere to this A pollo image, about which we know absolutely nothing, is entirely uncertain. See Overbeck, Kunstmyth.


 Conze (Beitr. z. Gesch. d. gr. Plastik, 19) has conjectured that the so-called "Apollo on the Omphalos," found in the theatre of Dionysus, is a copy of this statue of Apollo made by Calamis. This conjecture has led to much discussion. The statue (to which, however, the Omphalos has been shown not to
belong) seems to date from the fifth century, and to be a copy of a famous statue, as several other copies are extant and the type is preserved on coins. But there is no proof that it is even an Apollo; Waldstein thinks it is a pugilist. For the bibliography of this question, see Frazer, II, 66. Pausanias's associating this statue with the great plague of 430-429 is hardly possible, as it conflicts with the recognized date of Calamis ( $500-460$ в.c.).
 Lepóv, $\mathfrak{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ Фetilas cipyáoaro: the sanctuary of Rhea, the Mother of the Gods, was usually called Metroum (see Pollux, 3, 11; Bekk. Anec. I, 280, 6). Pausanias now proceeds to describe three buildings which he speaks of as near each other, the Metroum, the Buleuterium or Council House, and the Tholus or Rotunda. Hence the site of one, if determined, fixes that of the three. The Metroum appears to have stood to the south of the market about the northwest foot of the slope of the Areopagus. For Pausanias later speaks of the statues of the Eponymi as being higher up ( $\alpha \nu \omega \tau \hat{\ell} \rho \omega$ ) ; and Arrian ( $3,16,8$ ), mentioning the statues of the Tyrannicides, says they were in the Ceramicus on the regular road up to the Acropolis, just opposite the Metroum. Dörpfeld's excavations show that the
$\epsilon i \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \tau o$, каì $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ ка入ov $\mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\text {Ch. } 3,5}$ ßov-




regular road from the Agora to the Acropolis wound round the west shoulder of the Areopagus, proceeded southeast between the Areopagus and the Pnyx, and then ascended the western slope of the Acropolis, thus avoiding the steep ascent to the east of the Areopagus. See A.M. XVI (1891), . 444 ff .; XVII (1892), 90 ff ; Harrison, Ancient Athens, pp. 38 ff . There is no indication that the Metroum was ever a temple. Pausanias calls it a sanctuary (lepb $\nu$ ), Pliny a shrine (delubrum, 36, 17). It was apparently a sacred precinct with an open-air altar, as Aeschines (see Timarch. 84) describes a runaway slave as coming into the Agora and seating himself on the altar of the Mother of the Gods. The Metroum was later the repository of the public archives (Din. 1, 86 ; Lyc. c. Leocr. 66). - 51. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov $\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau a-$
 Buleuterium or Council House of the Five Hundred seems to have been built within the precincts of the Metroum (see Aeschin. 3, 187; Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. p. 842 E ). It probably contained images of both Zeus Bulaeus and Athena Bulaea (see Antiphon, 6, 45). We read of the sacred hearth of the Council House (Aeschin. 2, 45 ; Andoc. 1, 42, etc.), of the platform for the speaker (Antiphon, 6,40 ), of the benches for the presidents (Lys. 13, 37), and of the railing barring off the public from the members
(Ar. Eq. 640 ff.; Xen. Hell. 2, 3, 5). Here too were set up various public documents engraved on stone or metal, as e.g. the laws of Solon (see Harp. and Suid. s.v. $\dot{j} \kappa \dot{a} \tau \omega \theta \in \nu \nu \delta \mu o s)$ and the list of the ephebi (Arist. Resp. Ath. 53 ). - 53 . $\xi \bar{\delta} a v o v:$ derived from $\xi \epsilon \omega$, "to scrape, smooth, polish," may be applied to an image made of either wood, stone, or ivory (see Hesych. s.v. ૬bava; Etymol. Magn. s.v. $\xi 6 a v o \nu, ~ p .611, ~$ 1. 12 ff .). Strabo applies the word to the gold-and-ivory Zeus at Olympia (8, p. 353), to the gold-and-ivory Hera of Polyclitus (8, p. 372), to the marble statue of Nemesis at Rhamnus (9, p. 396 ), etc. Lucian uses the term of images in bronze and silver (Alex. 18; id. de dea Syria, 39). The term is, however, more properly restricted to images of wood (see Clem. Alex. Protrep. 4, 46, p. 40, ed. Potter, and Servius on Verg. Aen. 2, 225; 4, 56); and Pausanias appears to use it always in this restricted sense, and confines it to the wooden image of a deity. -
 ther is known of Pisias. -54. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ Épyov $\Lambda$ úrowvos: see Pliny $(34,91)$ who speaks of Lyson as one of the sculptors who made statues of athletes, armed men, hunters, and persons sacrificing.
 v $\boldsymbol{y}$ s Kavivios: on the Thesmothetae, see Arist. Resp. Ath. 3 and 59-61. They were the six archons ranking below
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the archon chief，the king，and the pol－ emarch．Wachsmuth，II，326，thinks that the allusion is to portraits of illus－ trious individual Thesmothetae．Pro－ togenes，a contemporary of Apelles， was que of the most celebrated artists of antiquity ；he took enormous pains with his work and was remarkable for tech－ nical skill rather than for great expres－ sion．Cf．Pliny，35，81－83；87；101－ 106；Overbeck，S．Q．1907－1936；Bran， Gesch．d．Gr．Künstler，II，233－243．－
 lepus cf． $1,4,2 ; 10,20,5$ ．The date of the irruption of the Gauls into Greece $(10,23,14)$ was 279 в．c．Of Olbiades nothing further is known．
4．Digression：－The Gauls－Their irruption into Greece and retreat into Asia－The Pergamenes．
 the great sea meant is the Atlantic Ocean in the neighborhood of the Arctic Circle（cf．33，4；35，5）．Pau－ sanies seems to have regarded the Eri－ danus（cf．5，14， 3 and 5，12，7）as an actual river that flowed into the northern ocean，but Herodotus $(3,15)$
regards the river as fabulous．The Rhone and the Po were the two rivers from time to time identified with the Eridanus（see Pliny，37，32），but amber is not found at the mouth of either river．On the legend of the daughters of Helios bewailing the fate of their brother Phaethon beside the river Eri－ danaus，cf．Eur．Hep． 735 ff．；Apoll． Rhod．4， 596 ff ；Ovid，Met．2， 340 ff ．， etc．－7．Гa入átas ．．．Kedtol：the people we know as Celts were known to the ancients under three names， viz．Celts（Kentoi，Celtae），Galatians （Га入a wrac），and Gauls（Gall）．Cf． Procop．de aedif．4，5；$\epsilon \boldsymbol{\ell} \boldsymbol{K} \in \lambda \tau o i ̂ s-o t$

入arlav．Also Caesar（de B．G．1，1）， quit ipsorum lingua Celtae，nos－ Era Gallia appellantur．－ $\boldsymbol{z}_{\xi} \in \nu \operatorname{lik\eta }-$ $\sigma \in v$ ：in impersonal sense；already so used in Thus．1，3， 2 ；frequent in Pau－ sanies，e．g． $2,29,3 ; 3,20,6 ; 4,6$ ， $1 ; 34,5 ; 6,22,10 ; 7,17 ; 22,4 ; 8,5$ ， $7 ; 23,3 ; 47,1 ; 9,34,10 ; 10,1,1$－
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. ：Pausanias（10，10－23）narrates at
 каì Maкєठóvas aủtoùs à $\nu a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~$
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greaterlength the irruption of the Gauls into Greece．The fact that he gives two detailed accounts of the same events is an argument that portions of the work were published separately．Cf．7，20，6， and Introduction，p．3．－13．そoúxa－ Gov：frequently used as the antonym of $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \in i \bar{\nu}$ ．Cf．1，13， 1 and 6，25， 3 ； $2,16,5 ; 3,9,2 ; 7,6 ; 4,11,8$ ．Here Pausanias states as the ground of the hesitation of most of the Greeks the exhaustion caused by their wars with the Macedonians；to the contrary，he says in 4，28， 3 ，that the Messenians， and in $8,6,3$ ，that the Arcadians，held back through fear of an incursion by
the Lacedaemonians；and in 7，6，7， it is said of the Peloponnesians ingen－ eral that they did not take part in the expedition to Thermopylae，because they imagined they could keep off the Galatians by building a wall across the Isthmus．－14．$\mu$ eydicos：a noteworthy instance of hyperbaton．Pausanias fancied that he attained a certain ele－ gance of expression by unusual word－ order．Cf．§ 3 vavaly únd re $\delta \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ Bapelais кal dं $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, \S 4 \tau d$ то入i $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$


 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \nu$.

Ch. 4, 5
ar $\mu v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ ̧ ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s \cdot ~ o i ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\mu a \tau o s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \Theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \pi v ́ \lambda a \iota s ~ o ̈ \nu \tau o s . ~ a i ̈ \tau \iota o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o i ̀ ~ \delta o к \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$






 $\pi a ́ \sigma a \iota \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \epsilon i \chi \chi o \nu ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$. каí $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ aủroí $\tau \epsilon . \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о i$
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 $\tau а u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \Gamma a \lambda a ́ \tau a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda a u ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s . ~ o u ̂ \tau o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$
 v lav кa入ov $\mu \hat{\imath} \eta \eta$ : cf. $1,11,2, \Pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \alpha \mu о s$



 apparently regarded Pergamus and Teuthrania as the same town, but we
know from other sources that Teuthrani was entirely distinct. See Yen. Hell. 3, 1, 6 ; Strabo, 12, p. 571 ; 13, p. 615; and Conze, "Teuthrania," A.M. XII (1887), 149-160. - 's's тav́тŋv Fa-
 $1,8,1 ; 1,25,2$. Attalus, prince of Pergames, defeated the Gauls in a great






 $-\sigma \kappa v ̂ \lambda a \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \Gamma a \lambda a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ тò $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha ́-$
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battle at the springs of the Caicus river, and after the victory assumed the title of king, reigning as Attalus I, King of Pergamus. The exact date of the victory is uncertain. Niebuhr gives it as 230-229 b.c.; Droyssen, between 238 and 235 ; Koepp, Rh. Mus., N.F., XL (1885), 114 ff., in 240. - 57. крђиๆ $\mathbf{M}$ (8ov ка入очцќvๆ : cf. Xen. Anab. 1, 2, 13, who locates the fountain of Midas at Thymbrion by the wayside ; the Macedonians, according to IIdt. 3, 38, affirm that Silenus was caught in Macedonia in the gardens of Midas; Bion (Athen. 2, p. 45 c ) places it at Inna, between Paeonia and the land of the Maedi.
 фоv тод $\mu_{\eta \mu \propto \kappa \tau \lambda .: ~ c f . ~ 9, ~ 5, ~ 14 . ~ T h i s ~}^{\text {к }}$ event is described in the epitome of Apollodorus (epitoma Vaticana ex Apollodori Bibliotheca, ed. R: Wagner, Leipzig, 1891, p. 63 ; Apollodorus, ed. R. Wagner, p. 193). On the coming of Telephus into Asia, see Strabo, 12, p. 572 ; 13, p. 615 ; Diod. 4, 33 ; Paus. $8,4,9 ; 48,7 ; 54,6 ; 9,31,2$.
5. The Tholus - The Eponymi Hadrian as a Patron.

1. Tov̂ $\beta$ ov $\lambda_{\text {evt } \eta \mathrm{piov} . \operatorname{~.~} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \text { iov }}$
 originally any round building with a roof of cupola form; here it is used especially of the building where the sacred
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fire was kept burning on the hearth and where the presiding officers of the Council of Five Hundred dined together daily at the public expense, and offered sacrifices and libations (Arist. Resp. Acth. 43 ; Dem. 19, 190). Another name for the building, Skias or "umbrella," is the official designation in inscriptions (C.I. A. III, 1048; 1051, 1.22). The chairman (epistates) of the prytames, who kept the keys of the sanctuaries containing public treasures and records, was compelled to remain in the Tholes during his twenty-four hours of office, along with colleagues, chosen by himself (Arist. Rep. Ath. 44). Socrates here received a commission from the Thirty Tyrants to go to Salamis and arrest one Leon (Plat. Apol. 32 c, $\mathbf{~ d ) ; ~}$ here the standard weights and measures were kept (C.I.A. II, 476, 1. 37 ff.). Cf. Wachsmuth, Stadt Athen, II, 315-
 кaסเv ๆो $\rho \omega \omega v$ : the site of this group of statues was doubtless on the slope of the Areopagus above ( $\dot{\alpha} \omega \omega \tau \hat{\rho} \omega$ ) and not far away from the Buleuterium and Tholus. Aristotle (Resp. Ath. 53) says that the bronze tablet with the list of ephebe was set up "in front of the Council House beside the statues
of the eponymous heroes"; here was posted the list of men drawn for military service (Ar. Pac. 1183). Copies of proposed laws were here posted for public inspection (Dem. 20, $94 ; 24,23$ ). So too the names of men who deserved well of the state (Isoc. 18, 61 ; C.I.A. II, 569), and likewise the names of traitors (Isoc. 5, 38). It was a high distinction to have one's statue erected near the Eponymi (Lucian, Anacb. 17). Cf. Wachsmuth, Stadt Athen,

 cf. Hat. $5,66,69$. In 1, 29, 6 Hausanias names Cleisthenes as the founder of the new tribal division. There were originally four Attic tribes called Geleontes, Hoplites, Aegicoreis, and Argadeis. Cleisthenes abolished these and redivided the population into ten tribes. The date (Arist. Resp. Nth. 21) was in the archonship of Isagoras, 508507 b.c. The ten new tribes, in their official order, were named as follows: Erechtheis, Aegeis, Pandionis, Leonthis, Acamantis, Oeneis, Cecropis, Hippothontis, Aiantis and Antiochis. Cf. Mommsen, Philologus, XLVII (1889), 449-486; W. S. Ferguson, Cornell Studies, VII (1898).
, ${ }^{\text {Ch.5.3 }}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ $\Lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s . ~ \delta o v ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ к о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \tau a ̀ s$





 $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon$ Kє́кро廿, ớs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'Aктаíov $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$








12. $\Lambda$ és $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: the legend is to the effect that once when Athens was afflicted with famine and the Delphic oracle declared a human sacrifice the only remedy, Leos, son of Orpheus, voluntarily surrendered for sacrifice his three daughters, Eubule, Praxithea, and Theope; according to another version the maidens of their own free will offered themselves as victims. The sacrifice was effectual, the famine left the land, and the Athenians ever after worshiped the heroic maidens in a shrine in the Agora, called the Leocorium. This became one of the famous places of Athens (Strabo, 9, p. 396); beside it fell Hipparchus when assassinated (Thuc. 1,$20 ; 6,57$ ). It is frequently mentioned by ancient writers (Milchh. S.Q. s.v.). Hence it is strange that

Pausanias nowhere alludes to it. See Curtius, Ges. Abh. I, 465.
 kal ïrtepos: Cleisthenes, in naming one of his tribes after Cecrops, doubtless had in mind the first Cecrops, reputed to be earth-born, half man and half serpent (Ps.-Dem. 9, 30), not his double, Cecrops II, said to be eldest son and successor of Erechtheus (Paus. 7, 1, 2), who was "a mere genealogical stop-gap"' (Frazer).—21. Mavठtwv \$ßa$\sigma \lambda_{\text {ev }} \in v$ к $\tau \lambda$. : cf. Apoll. 3, 14, 6-8; 15, 5. Pandion I was the son of Erichthonius, whọm he succeeded, and a Naiad, Praxithea; he married Zeuxippe and was father of Procne and Philomela, and of Erechtheus and Butes. Pandion II was the son of Cecrops II and Metiadusa; he succeeded his father, was

 $\rho \omega \nu$ є́к $\beta a \lambda о ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ М $\eta \tau \iota \nu i ́ \delta a s, \kappa a i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$









 IIто入єцаíov тov̂ Aiүvтtíov каi кат' є́ $\mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~$




expelled, and took refuge in Megara. Ps.-Dem. (9, 28) regarded Pandion I as the eponymous hero.

 The myth of Tereus transformed into a hoopoe, and of Procne and Philomela, who became a nightingale and a swallow, is familiar from the Birds of Aristophanes. Cf. Apoll. 3, 14, 8; Eustath. on Od. $\tau, 518$, p. 1875. According to later writers, however, it was Procne who became a swallow, and Philomela a nightingale, whereas Tereus was transformed into a hawk. So Verg. Georg. 4, 15, 511 ; Ov. Met. 6, 424-475; Hyg. Fab. 45.
40. фu入ds . . .'Atтdidou ктл.: Pau-
sanias fails to mention two new tribes, established in 307-306 в.c. in honor of Demetrius and Antigonus (Plut. Dem. 10) - the Demetrias and the Antigonis. They were later abolished, probably in 201 в.c. (Ferguson, The Priests of Asklepios, p. 143). It is generally accepted that the tribe Ptolemais was named after Ptolemy Philadelphus between 285 and 247 b.c., the limits of his reign; but Beloch (Fleckh. Jrb. XXX, 481 ff .) argues that the Ptolemais was instituted after 229 в.c. in honor of Ptolemy Euergetes. The tribe Attalis was created in 200 в.c., when Attalus I visited Athens (Polyb. 16, 25 ; Paus. 1, 8, 1, etc.). The tribe Hadrianis is mentioned frequently in



 $50 \tau \hat{\omega}$ ко८ $\nu \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \in \rho \hat{\omega}$.
 $\tau \epsilon \rho a$, $\dot{\varsigma} \mu \grave{\eta} \overline{\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~}{ }^{*} \tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{\phi} \bar{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ av̉т $\omega \nu$, каi oi $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́-$



 $\kappa \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime}$.
 $\tau o v, \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ ठє̀ $\Lambda a ́ \gamma o v ~ \nu o \mu i \zeta \zeta o v \sigma \iota . ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ o i ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi \chi o v \sigma a \nu ~$




inscriptions (C.I.A. III, 81-83, 1113, 1114, 1120, 1121).
6. Pausanias interrupts his itinerary to recount at considerable length (6,1— 7, 3) the history of the first two Ptolemies; 8,1 is similarly devoted to Attalus; then, after the itinerary is resumed (8, 2-6), the mention of the statue of Ptolemaeus Philometor occasions a brief digression on his history (9, 1-3).
 curred to me"-a favorite phrase of Pausanias. Cf. 1, 12, 2; 29, 10; 2, 16, $3 ; 5,4,6 ; 7,10,6 ; 26,3 ; 8,17,4$.
9. exovarav iv yarapl: used by Pausanias more frequently than $\kappa \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$; e.g. $2,22,6 ; 26,4 ; 28,5 ; 3,3,9 ; 4,9,8$; 33,$3 ; 8,24,2 ; 36,2 ; 53,1 .-12$. 'A $\lambda_{\epsilon}-$
 expressly denied by Arrian (Anab. 6, $11,3-8$ ), who says that the incident occurred not in the territory of the Oxydracians, but at the city of an independent Indian tribe called the Malli; so Plut. Alex. 63. He also asserts that there is no truth in the statement that on this occasion Ptolemy won the title of Soter, as Ptolemy himself in his published memoirs denied being present at the action. According to Q. Curtius, $9,5,21$, the false statement originated with Clitarchus and Timagenes. - 13. тoîs ts'Apıסaiov . . . ăyovatv ḍpXク̀v ávtıctás: cf. Justin, 13, 2, 11 ff., who gives the speech Ptolemy made on this occasion.
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19. тঠ̀v'A入éǵvospov vekpóv: after having been brought with much pomp from Babylon to Memphis, the remains of Alexander were finally brought to Alexandria and laid in a magnificent tomb, where funeral games were celebrated in his honor and he was afterwards worshiped as a hero. Paus. 1, 7, 1, says this was under Ptolemy Philadel-
phus, but Diod. 18, 28, and Strabo, 17, p. 794, date it under Lagus. Here, up to the Christian era, the body reposed in a crystal coffin which replaced the golden one that had been stolen. Nothing is known of its final disappearance.
35. фvyŋ̀v $\lambda \in$ 'j $\omega v$. . . cival: the same construction in 1, 32, 6 .














 є̇пi Пŋो $55 \mu \circ \hat{\text {. }}$. 'A $\nu \tau i ́ y o \nu o s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ A i ̈ \gamma v \pi \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a i \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̉ к ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu ~ \pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~}$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau \iota ~ \epsilon i ̄ \chi \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \pi i ́ \delta a, ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ ' P o \delta i ́ o v s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~$
 ó $\rho \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \in \sigma \theta a i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ A i \gamma v \pi \tau i ́ o v s ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \nu \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~$

 Diod. 19, 80-81; Plut. Dem. 5, etc., and Droysen, Gesch. d. Hell. II, 2, 40 ff. Demetrius was at this time twenty-two years old. He gave battle to Ptolemy at Gaza and was defeated in 312 в.c.
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. : not however in 311, after an interval of a year, but in 306 did Demetrius sail to Cyprus, and not at sea but in a land battle was this signal victory. Thus Pausanias makes two mistakes.

Cf. Diod. 20, 47-53; Plut. Dem. 1517, etc., and Droysen, II, 2, 125137. - 51. 'Avтiyovos . . . ${ }^{\text {dmodiópkel }}$ кal $\Delta \eta \mu$ дтрояs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: this successful expedition against Egypt occurred in 306 в.c. and the memorable siege of Rhodes $305-304$ b.c. The Rhodians gave Ptolemy the title Soter in recognition of the assistance he gave them at this time. Cf. Diod. 20, 73-96; Plut. Dem. 19-24; Droysen, II, 2, 146-174.
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 $\tau \iota \gamma o ́ v o v$ т̀̀ $\nu$ Макє $\delta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ á $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ á $\nu a \sigma \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta ́ \sigma \omega \nu$



 є̈тє८ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i \lambda \lambda \epsilon ~ K v p \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu . ~-~ \epsilon i ́ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ o ́ ~$





61. 'Avtiyovos . . . àvtıráfacaal $\Lambda_{v-}$
 is to the momentous battle fought at Ipsus in Phrygia in 301 b.c. Antigonus was killed, his kingdom went to pieces, and the result of the battle was the four independent kingdoms of Cassander in Macedonia, Lysimachus in Thrace, Seleucus in Syria, and Ptolemy in Egypt. The kingdom of Lysimachus, after a brief existence, was wiped out by the incursions of the Gauls. Cf. Diod. 20, 112 ; 21, 1 ; Plut. Dem. 28 ff., etc., and Droysen, II, 2, 215-219.

 ${ }_{\nu}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Paus. $1,20,14 ; 2,35,8 ; 3,27$, $7 ; 5,12,3 ; 6,13,10 ; 15,7 ; 16,8$. 76. Eűpu8ikn . . . Bepevikys кт入.: it is not known when l'tolemy's marriage with Eurydice, daughter of Antipater, occurred. Berenice (Schol. Theocr. 17, 34) was a grand-niece of Antipater. Her children by her first husband, Philip, were Magas and Antigone, the wife of Pyrrhus (Plut. Pyrr. 4); her children by Ptoleny were Arsinoe, born not later than 316, and Ptoleny, born probably in 309 or 308. l'tolemy Philadelphus ascended the throne in 285 ; Lagus lived until 283.

























> 7. Ptolemy Philadelphus and Arsinoe.
$\kappa \tau \lambda$. : Arsinoe was first married some
time after the battle of Ipsus to Lysi-
machus (Droysen, II, 2, 236). After
the battle of Corupedion, in which
Lysimachus fell, she married her half-
brother, Ptolemy Ceraunus. The date
of her marriage with her full brother, Ptolemy Philadelphus, is not definitely known, but an Egyptian inscription shows they were already married 273272 b.c. Cf. A. Wiedemann, Philol. XLVII (1889), 84. Pausanias' statement that the marriage of brother and sister was customary among the Egyptians is confirmed by Diod. 1, 27, 1,
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 $\sigma \tau \grave{s} \kappa \alpha \tau a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, ô̂ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu \delta \nu \nu a \tau \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho o \iota \sigma \tau \rho a-$
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Philo Jud. de Special. Leg. Mang. 2,303 , etc.
 ă $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha$ : кат $\hat{\lambda} \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$ dं $\pi \circ \theta a \nu \epsilon i v$, a frequent expression, e.g. $1,29,6 ; 2,6,3 ; 3,10$, $5 ; 9,5,14 ; 10,1$. The formula is Herodotean, cf. Hdt. 3,$118 ; 6,38$. Similarly, we find $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau \eta, \tau \dot{\partial} \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}, \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, as subject of катa入a $\beta \beta \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$. So $1,11,4 ; 13,5 ; 20,7 ; 3,13$. Still more frequently are such words sub-
 4 ; 20, 6; 22, 2 ; 30, 7 ; 34, 5.
8. Attalus - Statues: Amphiaraus, Eirene, Lycurgus, Callias, Demosthenes - Sanctuary of Ares with statues adjacent-Harmodius and Aristogiton -The Odeum.


 vorite phrase borrowed from Herodotus. Cf. 1, 11, 6; 20, 7; 32, 3, with Hdt. 2, 53; 3, 16, 82, 126, etc. The fortunes of the Attalids were founded by Philetaerus, a eunuch of Bithynia, who was left by Lysimachus in charge of his treasury on the Acropolis of Pergamus. Philetaerus later went over to Seleucus when the latter defeated and killed Lysimachus in 281 b.c., and after the murder of Seleucus in 280 he succeeded in continuing master of the fortress and its treasures. When he died in 263 he left his nephew Eumenes in possession of Pergamus. Eumenes was succeeded in 241 b.c. by his cousin Attalus, who, after defeating the







Gauls, assumed the title of king and reigned as Attalus I.
13. 'A $\boldsymbol{\prime} \phi$ เג́paos: Amphiaraus is one of the tragic heroes of Greek mythology. Cf. Od. o, 243, v, 352 ; Aesch. Sept. 587 ff.; Eur. Supp. 925, etc. He was one of the "Seven against 'Thebes," the hero whom the earth swallowed up with his four-horse charjot and the gods made immortal. The place was afterwards called IIarma, i.e. the chariot, and is one of the fanous sites of Greece, on the road from Potniae to Thebes (Paps. 1, $34 ; 9,8$, 3). Consult, on the cult of Amphiaraus, Harrison, Ancient Athens, pp. 62-65.
 this group was by Cephisodotus ( 9,6 , 2 ), the father or elder brother of the great Praxiteles. It was probably set up after Timotheus's great victory at Leucas and the conclusion of peace between Athens and Sparta in 374 bic., as from that date Peace (Eipr $\nu \eta$ ) was worshiped as a goddess (Isoc. 15, 109 ; Nepos, Timoth. 2). It is now generally accepted that the so-called

Leucothea group in the Glyptothek at Munich is a copy of this work of Cephisodotus. It represents a woman clad in a long robe, bearing on her left arm a little naked boy. There are frequent copies of the group on Attic coins. Cf. Imhoof-Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paws. p. 147, and plates. -- évтav̂0a $\Lambda u k o v ̂ p y o ́ s ~ т є ~ к є i t т a l ~ X a \lambda-~$ koûs: according to Ps.-Plut. wit. x Or. p. 852 A, a bronze statue to Lycurgus, the finance minister and orator, was set up $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \hat{q}$, in recognition of his services to the state, by a decree of Stratocles passed 307-306 в.c. Two fragments of an inscription containing the decree have been found. See Harrison, Ancient Athens, pp. 70-72, who also tells of the fragments of the pedestal found in 1888, with the inscription:
 curgus deserved well of his country for his public works as well as for his financial administration. He complated the Dionysiac theatre, leveled and walled in the Panathenaic stadium, and built the gymnasium of the
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 بóvov тои̂тоע 'А $\nu \tau \iota \pi a ́ \tau \rho \varphi$ каì Макє




Lyceum ; under his administration the arsenal of Philo was built, and all the docks and arsenals were put in excellent condition. - 14. Ka入入las $\kappa \tau \lambda$. : Callas was the reputed author of the so-called Peace of Cimon which, according to a tradition of the fourth century and later, was concluded with Persia in 445 b.c., whereby Artaxerxes pledged himself to send no warships into the Aegean sea, and to forbid his troops to approach within three days' march or one day's ride of the sea. Cf. Dem. 10, 273 ; Did. 12, 4 ; Slut. Cimon, 13, etc. Herodotus $(7,151)$ testifies that Callias was sent to Persia, but neither he nor Thucydides mentions such a treaty. It is intrinsically improbable that it should have been made, and if made it was repeatedly broken. Isoc. 4, 118-120, is the first writer to allude to it (c. 380 в.c.). Theopompus considered a copy of the treaty extant in the fourth century a forgery (Harp. s.v. At rıкô̂s $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \sigma(\nu)$. Even Pausanias speaks doubtfully about it. - 16. हैбть

Se cal $\Delta$ quocóvivs: this statue was erected $280-279$ в.c. on a decree moved by Demochares, nephew of the orator (Ps.-Plut. wit. x Or. pp. 847 d and 850 c ). The sculptor was Polyeuctus. The statue was of bronze, and represented the orator standing with his hands locked in each other. The site was
 $\beta \omega \mu 0 \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$. Plat. Dem. 31 says a large plane-tree stood near. The well-known marble statue in the Vatican is supposed to be a copy, with some variations, of this statue. Cf. P. Hartung, "Eur Statue ides Dem.," Verb. d. k. deutsch. Instituts, XVIII (1903), Heft 1, 25. The altar of the Twelve Gods mentioned as near the statue, though not noticed by Pausanias, was an important spot, as distances were reckoned from it. It was set up by Pisistratus and enlarged by the democracy. Cf. Thus. 6, 54, 6 ; Hat. 2, 7 ; 6, 108 ; C.I.A. II, 1078, etc., and Wachsmuth, Stadt Athen, II, 434436.
, ,,$\quad$, $\quad$,













30. "Apes ह̇бтเv lepóv: the shrine of Ares is not elsewhere mentioned excent in inscriptions bearing on the cult of Ares (cf. S.Q. XV, 23). The site is not known with exactness. It probably lay on the south side of the Agora, along the north slope of the Areopagus. Various sites have been conjectured, but none convincingly. It was erthinly not far (oc $\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega)$ from the statuses of Harmodius and Aristogiton, and on, or adjacent to, the Areopagus. -
 $\mu \hat{\mu} \nu \eta$ s: Furtwängler (Meisterw. pp. 121-128) argues that the Borghese Ares, now in the Louvre, is a replica of Alcamenes's image of Ares. Since there are numerous other copies of the original of the Borghese statue, it must have been a famous work, as that of Alcamenes was bound to be. Cf. Roscher, Lexicon, I, 489; FriederichsWolters, Gips-Abgüsse, 1298. PausaDias groups four statues within or near
the sanctuary of Ares - two images of Aphrodite, an Athena of Locrus of Paros, otherwise unknown, and a statue of Enyo, by the sons of Praxitales (cf. 8, 30, 10; 9, 12, 4). - 34. refl
 round the temple Pausanias mentions five statues, one a god, Apollo, two heroes, Heracles and Theseus, and two mortals, Calades and Pindar. Few particulars are given. Of Calades nothing further is known. The poet Pindar


 Epist.4,3). The date of the statue was probably long after the poet's death, as Isoc. 15, 166 does not allude to it in reciting the honors heaped on Pindar because of his eulogium of the city. He had addressed Athens as " O bright and glorious Athens, pillar of Greece" (Frag. 54, ed. Bergk). Cf. Wacksmouth, Stadt Athene, II, 402, 407.
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 Є̇ $\sigma o ́ \delta o v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ A i \gamma v \pi \tau i ́ \omega \nu$. ob $\nu o ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau a ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \Pi \tau o \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o i ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu, a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \cdot ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$


 kail 'Apıбтоукitwv ктл.: it has been already noticed that the famous group of Harmodius and Aristogiton stood about opposite the Metroum, on the way up to the Acropolis. Other evidene is to the effect that they stood in a conspicuous place used for festivals known as the "orchestra" (Tim. Lex. Plat. and Phot. Lex. s.v. $\delta \rho \chi \boldsymbol{t}_{-}$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha)$. Ar. Leys. 633 and Eccles. 682 speak of them as being in the Agora. The "orchestra" was doubtless somewhere off from the northwest slope of the Areopagus, on the opposite side of the road. The statues were of bronze, fashioned by the sculptor Antenor (Arrian, Anab. 3, 16, 7; 7, 19, 2, etc.) shortly after the expulsion of Hippias, 510 в.c. They were carried off by Xerxes 480 b.c. and were finally sent back to Athens by Alexander the Great (Arr. Anab. 3, 16, 8 ; Pliny, N. H. 34, 70) or by Seleucus (Val. Max. 2, 10) or by Antiochus (Pays. 1, 8, 5). In the mean time, in 477 they were replaced
by a new group fashioned by Critics and Nesiotes (Par. Chron. 1.370 ; Luclan, Philops. 18). After the restoratimon of the Antenor statues, the two groups stood side by side. The finest reproduction of the group is the famous pair of marble statues in the Naples Museum. It is still a moot question whether the Naples statues reproduce the group of Antenor or that of Sritins and Nesiotes. For the discussion of this, see Frazer, II, 03-99.
 ov: this passage brings up three impertans questions in Athenian topography - the number of Odeums in Athens, the identification of the one here mentoned, and its site. Pausanias names three,-(1) the above, also mentioned 1 , 14, 1; (2) one built by Pericles, $1,20,4$; and (3) the Odeum of Herodes Atticus, $7,20,0$. As the question of the identits and site of the structure here mentoned is involved with the consideraion of the objects and places mentioned in c. 14, the discussion is reserved.

* ${ }^{2}$






















9. Ptolemy Philometor and his mother Cleopatra -Statues of Philip, Alexander, and Lysimachus - Lysimachus and his Contest with the Thracians Hieronymus of Cardia.
10. $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\llcorner } \lambda_{0} \mu \dagger \boldsymbol{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\omega} \rho:$ Ptolemy X Ster II Philometor II, with the nickname Lathyrus (Plut. Cor. 11) was the eldest son of Ptolemy IX Euergetes II, and succeeded his father in the 208th year of the Lagidae $=117-116$ в.c. He reigned 117-108 and 89-81 bic. 3. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi l \times \lambda \epsilon \cup \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}:$ added by Pausanias to explain the surname $\Phi \iota \lambda o \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$.
 nias's idea. But his explanation is a mistake, as $\Phi_{\iota} \lambda_{0 \mu \eta} \tau \omega \rho$ was an official title and could not be a nickname. -
 $\xi a \sigma a$ : it is a frequent occurrence in Pausanias in coördinate clauses with
 etc, to have in the first clause the participle, in the second the finite verb; but to have the reverse of this, as here, is extremely rare. Cf. $1,12,1 ; 2,18$, $3 ; 10,1$ (without $\left.\mu \not{ }^{\prime} \nu\right) ; 3,3,3 ; 7,10$; $7,19,6 ; 10,32,7$.

Ch. 9, 5


 $\lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o s ~ к а \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ каì тò $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ A $\boldsymbol{\imath} \gamma v \pi \tau о \nu$ каi



 $\mu a ́ \tau o v s, \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ i \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ ' O \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ o v s . ~ I I \tau o \lambda \epsilon-~$ $\mu a i ̂ o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma \omega ~ \tau o u ́ t \omega \nu ~ v ̌ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon ~ \mu o i ̂ \rho a ~ \dot{\eta}$




 $35 \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \rho \gamma a$ єìvaı $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v . ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi ’ ~ A i \gamma u ́ \pi \tau т v ~ \tau \iota \mu \hat{n} \tau \epsilon$











 is told at length by Justin, 15, 3, and referred to by Plut. Dem. 27, Pliny, N.H. 8, 54, etc. Q. Curtius, 8, 1, 17, calls the story a fable, but thinks it
is based on an actual occurrence to the effect that once, while hunting in Syria, Lysimachus had killed single-handed a gigantic lion; which, thought Curtius, might be the origin of Pausanias' story.
f, $\rightarrow$, $\quad$ Ch.9,6



















 'АүаӨоклєî $\Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho a \nu ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ к а ~ \grave{\gamma} \gamma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau о, ~ П т о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~$



 bic. Lysimachus entered into the aliance with Cassander, Seleucus, and Ptolemy against Antigonus, and in 301 took part in the momentous battle of Ipsus, in which Antigonus was over-
 . . . T $\grave{\nu} \nu \nu \hat{v} v \pi \delta \lambda เ v$ : the refounding of Ephesus by Lysimachus took place be-
tween 287 and 281 b.c. Cf. Droysen, II, 2, 258, rem. 1. From Croesus to Alexander the Great the city had clustered about the temple of Artemis. Lysimachus built the city on a new site nearer the mountains and by flooding the old city compelled the inhabitants of the former site to move into it. He called the new city Arsinoe after his

Ch. 9,8


















 $90 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda v \sigma i ́ \mu a \chi o \nu ~ \sigma \nu \mu \mu a \chi i ́ a ~ \delta \eta \lambda о i ̂ ~ к а i ̆ ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \sigma a \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \delta \iota a ́ \lambda-~$




wife, but the old name finally prevailed. -72. Фolvika: verses of the iambic poet Phoenix are quoted by Athen. 8, p. $359 \mathrm{E} ; 10$, p. $421 \mathrm{v} ; 11$, p. $495 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{E}$; 12, p. 530 e. Cf. Susemihl, Gesch. d. gr. Lit. in d. Alex.-Zeit, I, 229.
80. 'Iepóvvuos . . . Kapóavós: Hieronymus the Cardian composed a history of Alexander's successors. He
took a prominent part in the politics of the age. In 320 в.c. he headed an embassy sent by Eumenes to Antipater, and in 319 an embassy from Antigonus to Eumenes. He lived certainly as late as 272 в.c., for he tells of the death of Pyrrhus, which occurred in that year. Lucian (Macrob. 22) says he reached the age of 104. Susemihl, I, 560 ff .










 ขа⿱亠乂гоs סє́ oi Пúp





10．Contests of Lysimachus with De－ metrius and Pyrrhus－Murder of Aga－ thocles by Arsinoe and its Consequences in the Family of Lysimachus－His Death in the Struggle against Seleucus．
2．Tôv $\pi \alpha / \delta \omega \nu$ ：the three sons of Cassander，king of Macedon，who suc－ ceeded him one after the other on the throne，were Philip，Antipater，and
 d PX मिs：Demetrius son of Antigonus， who bore the surname Poliorcetes，or the Besieger，because of the famous siege of Rhodes，became master of Macedonia，October，294．Alexander， then king of Macedonia，had intended to assassinate Demetrius，but Deme－ trius anticipated him．Cf．Plut．Dem． 36 ff．；id．Pyrrhus， 6 ff．；Justin，16， 1 ； Droysen，II，2， 265 ff．




 pov ктл．：in 288 в．c．a joint attack was made on Demetrius by Lysimachus from the east and Pyrrhus from the west．Demetrius＇s army deserted to Pyrrhus and he had to flee in dis－ guise．The conquerors then divided Macedonia between them，the lion＇s share falling to Pyrrhus．Cf．Plut． Dem． 44 ；id．Pyrrhus， 11 ；Droysen， II，2，296－298．In 286 в．c．Demetrius was defeated by Seleucus，and surren－ dered to him．He died in captivity． Lysimachus compelled Pyrrhusto with－ draw from Macedonia after he had held it only seven months．Cf．Plut．Dem．

Ch. 10, 4








 є̇к $\Lambda v \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho a s$ 'А $\rho \sigma \iota \nu o ́ \eta \nu$ є̈ $\gamma \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta े \nu \Lambda v \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho a s$. $\tau a v ́-$
 Хоv тє











49-52 ; id. Pyrrhus, 12 ; Droysen, II, 2, 307-312.
 similar commonplaces upon love, cf. 7 ,
 $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a$ каl $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \rho \epsilon \nLeftarrow a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \iota \mu \dot{s}$, and 7, 23,3 , where, says our author, if the waters of Selemnus actually bring forgetfulness of love, more precious than great riches to mankind are the waters of Selemnus.-30. ŋ̀ ${ }^{\prime}$ Apoıvón . . . Bov-

of Agathocles seems to have been perpetrated in 284 or 283 в.c. Justin (17, 1, 4) says that Arsinoe poisoned him; Strabo $(13,623)$ that Lysimachus was compelled to slay him because of domestic troubles; Memnon (Frag. Hist. Gr. III, 532, ed. Müller) that Lysimachus, deceived by Arsinoe, first attempted to poison Agathocles, and then cast him into prison, where Arsinoe's brother Ptolemy Ceraunus murdered him. See Droysen, II, 2, 321 ff.
, Ch. 10,5










 $50 \sigma i \delta o s$ є́ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ oi, $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \Lambda v \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho a \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \iota \tau \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ a ̉ \nu a l-~$

 кผ́ $\eta \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ каì Пактv́ŋs.






 Өavev: Lysimachus was defeated and killed in the battle of Corypedion in 281 b.c. Appian (Syr. 64) gives two accounts about the finding and care of his body, one that it was found and buried by Thorax, a Pharsalian, the other the account here given by Pausanias.
11. Pyrrhus: his Statue and his Ancestry - The Kingdom of the Dpirates - Pyrrhus's Campaigns.
2. єlкढvv . . . $\Pi$ úppov: this stood, in all probability, among the statues
named in c. 8 , before the Odeum. 5. 'Apúßßaq: Justin (7, 6, 11; 8, 6) says that Arybbas was expelled from his kingdom and died in exile. According to C.I. A. II, 115, he retired to Athens, where he was placed under public protaction. -6. Oapútov: Tharypas is mentioned Thus. $2,80,6$, as being still a child ( 429 в.c.) under the guardianship of the regent Sabylinthus. He was educated at Athens and was the first to introduce Greek laws and customs among his people (Justin, 17, 3, 9-13; Slut. Pyrrhus, 1).

Ch. 11, 3
 à ${ }^{\prime}$








 $\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \mu о \nu о \mu a \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau a ́$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ả $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~$








 тò̀ 'A 1




Philip Aridaeus the king, attempted to give battle, but her troops deserted to Olympias. Both Philip and Eurydice and a large number of Macedonian nobles were put to death by Olympias. Hence her death at the hands of Cassander was deemed a just retribution. Cf. Diod. 19, 11, 50; Justin, 14, 5 ; I)roysen, II, 1, 238 ff .


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \nu a ̀ \xi \iota a ~ v i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ K a \sigma \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$ $35 \nu 0 \mu \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s, A i a \kappa i ́ \delta \eta \nu \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi$ às $\mu \in \grave{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ aủroì $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~$
 $\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a ~ \eta ̉ \nu a \nu \tau \iota o v ̂ \tau o ~ К a ́ \sigma-~$
 $\tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \hat{v}$ Ka $\sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ к а i ~ A i a \kappa i ́ \delta o v ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ O i v ı \alpha ́-~$









 $50 \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o \nu$ ả $\nu a \beta a i v \in \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Lambda a ́ \gamma o v \cdot ~ к а i ́ ~ o i ~ \Pi \tau о \lambda \epsilon \mu a i ̂ o s ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ к a ́ ~$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\gamma} \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu$ Ai $\gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu$.










Ch. 12, 1
$\sigma \grave{\nu}$ aủt $̣$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu$ č̀тı $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ A i v \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu . ~$






 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̃ \tau o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \gamma a \gamma o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ T a \rho a \nu \tau i ̀ \nu \omega \nu . ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o ı s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon-~$


 $\nu a v \sigma i ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu \eta ́ \rho a \nu \tau о, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ סè oi $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ T a \rho a \nu \tau i ́ \nu \omega \nu$


 ท̋коขтаs. таиิта $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta ́ \mu \eta ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ П \nu ́ \rho \rho o \nu ~$

 'Ita入lav пâбav karaorpêұar0al: Plutarch (Alcib. 17) says that it was the dream of Alcibiades that the conquest of Sicily should be merely a step toward the conquest of Carthage, Africa, Italy, and Peloponnesus. Again he tells us (Pericles, 20) that in the age of Pericles many Athenians looked forward to the conquest of Etruria and Carthage.
12. Pyrrhus's War against the Romans - Elephants and Ivory - War against the Carthaginians.
 rhus's expedition to aid the Tarentines against the Romans occurred 280 в.c. Cf. Plut. Pyrrhus, 13-16; Justin, 18,

1 ; Droysen, III, 1, 127 ff.-4. á8úvaтol $\delta$ è кard $\sigma$ фâs butes ávtloxeiv $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: this sentence presents a decidedly bad case of anacoluthon. Tapavtivoc is naturally to be understood with bures, and below one would naturally expect $\mu \dot{d}-$
 à $\nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ instead of ol $\pi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu T a-$ pavrlv$\omega \nu$ àvé $\epsilon \sigma a \nu$. On the coördination of the participle, $\pi \rho о \ddot{\pi} \pi a \rho \chi$ ои́ $\eta$ ทs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, and the finite verb, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \delta \bar{c}$ à $\nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \nu$, cf. c. 9, 1, note. -6. oi $\pi 0-$
 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ here is object of $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau \iota(\mathrm{cf}$. 1, 4, 6; 29, 14; 4, 10, 7). Pausanias also uses $\alpha_{\rho \rho a \sigma \theta a \iota} \pi \sigma^{6} \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$, e.g. $3,2,3$;
 $(1,6,6)$.
















 30


 ment has occasioned much conjecture, some writers taking it as referring to memoirs of Pyrrhus, prepared probably by himself or under his orders. It is apparent that in the phrase $\dot{\alpha} \delta \delta \rho d-$
 is not meant; and that for a history of Pyrrhus the general title ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \boldsymbol{v}^{-}$ $\mu \nu \eta \mu a \tau a$ would not be chosen. It was, doubtless, a general work of biography, perhaps like the Factorum et Dictorum Memorabilium Libri IX of Valerius Maximus, in which the exploits of
a number of eminent commanders, among them Pyrrhus, were recounted. - cival: seens superfluous from the English point of view, but the Greek regularly uses this (to us) redundant єivaı with words of naming and calling.

 - 23. $\gamma เ v \circ \mu \hat{v} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$.
 Tapavtivous $\sigma u \mu \beta 0 \lambda$ भिs: Pyrrhus's first battle with the Romans was fought near Heraclea in Lucania in 280 в.c. See Plut. Pyrrhus, 16 ; Justin, 18, 1, etc.; Droysen, III, 1, 140 f .

Ch. 12, 5












 $\pi о \lambda \iota о \rho к о и ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon к \alpha ́ \theta \eta \nu \tau о$. à $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu$ Пи́ $\rho \rho о s$







38. " ${ }^{\mu} \mu \eta \rho o s:$ see Od. $\delta, 72 \mathrm{ff}$; $\tau, 55$; $\psi$, 199. Pausanias is right in his statement that Homer nowhere mentions the elephant, although ivory is spoken of several times. - 39. aüтôv: pleonastic repetition of a preceding subject through the oblique cases of aúrbs is very frequent; usually, as here, when the general nature of the preceding plural is qualified by a following adjective. Cf.

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho} \alpha \nu \omega \nu$. . . at $\kappa \lambda a \gamma \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ral $\gamma \in \pi \epsilon \in \tau o \nu \tau a \iota$
 $\phi 6$ pov кal кîpa фépouval. The war between the Pygmies and the cranes is often mentioned in ancient writers as a martial episode of curious interest. Note especially Athen. 9, p. 390 в; Aelian, Nat. Anim. 15, 29; Ovid, Met. 6, 90 ff.; Pliny, N.H. 7, 26.
 this occurred in the year 278 . On this expedition of Pyrrhus to Sicily, cf. Plut. Pyrrhus, 22 ff.; Diod. 22, 7 ff.; Droysen III, 1, 162 ff.; A. Holm,








 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ y o \nu o \nu, \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 Sغ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$, ${ }^{\omega}$ s oí $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \delta o ́ \theta \eta, \sigma \nu \nu a \gamma a \gamma \omega ̀ \nu \tau o v ̀ s$








Gesch. Sicil. II, 277 ff. - 54. ' ${ }^{\circ} \mu$ hpov \%íns : see Od. $\lambda, 122$ ff.
13. Pyrrhus leaves Italy - Conquers Antigonus - Makes expedition against the Lacedaemonians - His death at Argos - Similar end of the three Aeacids.
 tle of Beneventum in 275 в.c., whither he had marched to attack the Romans. This expression, repeated 13,6 , is Herodotean. Cf. Hdt. 1,$16 ; 5,62 ; 6,95$; 7, 170, 210. 2, 161, тробєттаıбє $\mu \varepsilon \gamma а-$
 'Avtǐovov: cf. Justin, 25, 3, 1-4. The position of $\tau \epsilon$ after the preposition is the more frequent, e.g. $1,1,3 ; 1,4,1$ and
$3 ; 2,9,2 ; 10,5 ; 3,3,8 ; 8,51,8$. Yet $\tau \epsilon$ now and then stands after the sub-
 és $\Delta \epsilon \lambda$ фoús. So $2,7,5 ; 19,5 ; 9,6,4$.
 sentence with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, the following clause is frequently introduced after the manner of the poets and Herodotus with $\dot{\dot{\delta}} \delta \epsilon$, as if a new subject were opposed to that of the preceding sentence, while the contrast lies much more in the verb or object. Cf. Hdt. 1, 17, and Paus. 1, 14,$5 ; 2,13,6 ; 3,6,8$, etc. - 10 . àve$\gamma(v \omega \sigma \kappa \in \nu$ : exceptionally used instead of the more usual $\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$. Cf. 1, 19,$3 ; 22,7 ; 37,4$, etc.

Ch. 13, 4











Пข́ $\rho \rho o s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \mu a \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ Г a \lambda a \tau \alpha ̂ \nu, ~$
 aì $\mu a \tau a i ̀ k a i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \rho o s ~ A i a к i ́ \delta a l . ~$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu \hat{v} \nu \text { סє̀ } \Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ \nu a \hat{\omega} \pi o \tau i ̀ ~ \kappa i o \nu a s ~ o ̉ \rho \phi a \nu a ̀ ~ к \epsilon i \tau a l ~
\end{aligned}
$$

35



 okevŋ̀v 'Avtıyóvov: see Plut. Pyrrhus, 26 ; Droysen, III, 1, 20, on this victory of Pyrrhus over Antigonus and his Gallic mercenaries ( 274 в.c.).23. тவ̀ ávare日̇̀vta ơm $\lambda a$ : cf. Plut. Pyrrhus, 26 ; Diod. Exc. Vat. 1, 22, 3. In both passages the first epigram is cited,
also in Anthol. Pal. 6, 130. In the Anthol. note tov̂ aứrov, i.e. of Leonidas. Against this assignment nothing can be urged. Cf. Susemihl, II, 535, rem. 81. The second epigram, occurring only in Pausanias, Susemihl likewise ascribes to Leonidas, but on insufficient grounds.



























$\lambda \omega s$ тporemraurav: the battle referred
to is that of Megalopolis, in 330 в.c.,
when the Peloponnesians took up arms
against the Macedonian supremacy and
were utterly routed by Antipater. King Agis was among the slain. Note use
 tion is $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \tau a l \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho b s \tau \iota v$. So Hdt. 1,$65 ; 6,45$.

Ch. 13, 9




 $\kappa o \hat{v} \tau \rho \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'A $\nu \tau i ́ \gamma o \nu o s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Ma} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\epsilon} \mathrm{\delta ó} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{ả} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\sigma á-}$




 $\theta \epsilon \iota$ Пv́р

 $80 \pi o v ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ~ \kappa a \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ a ̆ ̉ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s, ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \alpha v ̂ \theta a ~ o ́ ~ I I v ́ p p o s ~$ є́ $о \nu \omega ́ \theta \eta$ каi тьтр $\omega \sigma к є т а \iota ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ к є ф а \lambda \eta ́ \nu . ~ к є \rho а ́ \mu \omega ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \beta \lambda \eta-$ Өє́vтa ن́ாò $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \phi a \sigma i ~ \Pi v ́ \rho \rho o \nu . ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ̂ o \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~$












[^4]
 à $\nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı \nu ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к а i ~ Ф i ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau o s ~$


 100 y $\rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu . *$






14. Odeum - Enneacrunus - Temples of Demeter and Persephone, and of Triptolemus - Epimenides and Thales -Temple of Eucleia - Teinple of He-phaestus-Temple of AphroditeUrania.
2. ' $\Omega\llcorner\delta \in$ iov кт $\lambda$.: see Excursus III.
 kpouvov: for the question as to the site of this fountain and adjacent monuments, and the discussion of what is known as "the Enneacrunus Episode,"
 $\mu \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ : Pausanias speaks of the fountain ( $\kappa \rho \emptyset \nu \eta$ ) Enneacrunus as being the only "spring" ( $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ ), while there are wells ( $\phi \rho \in \hat{\epsilon} a \tau a) ~ \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$. Yet he mentions 1, 21, 4, the кр $\eta \nu \eta$ at the Asclepieum and $1,28,4$, the $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ which is known under the name $K \lambda \epsilon \psi \dot{d} \delta \rho a$. Leake (I, 131) explains the inconsistency by saying that Pausanias meant only such springs as were desirable for drinking-water; for according to Vitruv. 8, 3, 6 , most of the spring water in Athens was bad and used for wash-
ing merely, while the well water served for drinking purposes. $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ signifies a natural spring ( $2,7,4 ; 4,34,4$, etc.); $\kappa \rho \eta \nu \eta$ is an artificially constructed fountain ( $1,40,1 ; 2,2,8$, etc.) usually fed by a natural spring; фр́́ara are wells, the water of which must be drawn (Hdt. 6, 19). -6. vaol . . . 'EAevoiviov: the sanctuary Eleusinium doubtless included the two temples mentioned above, the one of Demeter and Persephone, the other of Triptolemus. Plutarch (de exilio, 17) mentions the Eleusinium along with the Parthenon as one of the preëminently sacred places of Athens. It was a precinct that could be securely closed (Thuc. 2, 17). On the day after the celebration of the Eleusinian mysteries a sacred assembly of the Council of the 500 met in the Eleusinium (Andoc. 1, 3; C.I.A.II, 4,31 ; III, 2). Decrees relating to the Mysteries were here set up (C.I.A. II, 315 ; III, 5). On the site of the Eleusinium, see Excursus III.

Ch. 14, 4




 Ai
 $\sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ K o ́ \rho \eta s ~ \delta ı \eta \gamma \eta ́ \sigma a \iota \tau o ~ v i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ T \rho o \chi i ́ \lambda o \nu ~ i \epsilon \rho o-~$

 каi $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ o i ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ E v ̉ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~ T \rho ı \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu . ~ o ̊ \delta \epsilon ~$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ ' А \rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s . ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к а i ~ o ̈ \sigma o \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau о v ́-~$




 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\hat{s} \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Delta \eta ́ \mu \eta \tau \rho o s ~ \dot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon i \rho a \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ к а \rho-~$







 ä้ $\alpha \lambda \mu a$, 洸тı ßov̂s $\chi a \lambda \kappa o v ̂ s ~ o i ̂ a ~ \epsilon ́ s ~ \theta v \sigma i a \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i ́ \eta-~$

 nides, mentioned in connection with Triptolemus and the bronze steer, was originally identical with the Attic
hero Bouzyges, the first driver of oxen
 $1,19)$. The mythical form of this first ox tamer was, in the consciousness of
 v̈ $\pi \nu o s$ ov̉ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{a} \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ av̉тò $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu \ddot{\eta}$ oi $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \rho a \kappa о \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$


 @á入ך









a later time, blended with that of the Cretan priest Epimenides, about whom two traditions were extant-one that he had freed Athens from the Cylonian aros (Ar. Resp. Ath. 1; Plut. Solon 12, etc.), the other that, coming to Athens ten years before the Persian War, he engaged in certain religious rites and prophesied the war (Plat. Legg. 1,642 D ). On the story of Epimenides, the Greek Rip Van Winkle, cf. Theopompus, frag. 69, in Fr. Hist. Gr. I, 288 ; Diog. Laert. 1, 10, 109; Pliny, N. H. 7, 175.-38. Od-
 Thaletas, in obedience to the Delphic oracle, is said to have stopped by his music the plague at Sparta (Plut. de Mus. 42.; Aelian, Var. Hist. 12, 50). Lycurgus is said to have studied music under him (Plut. Lyc. 4).
43. vads Evikतelas: the question of the identity of Eucleia with Artemis is closely bound up with the discussion of the site of this temple, and is therefore reserved for Excursus III.-45. Atбxúdos . . . vaunaxłббas: the current tradition regarding the death of Aeschylus was that he was killed near Gela in Sicily by a tortoise which was dropped on his bald head by an eagle, which mistook it for a stone. Cf. Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, p. 120 ; Aelian, Nat. An. 7, 16; Pauly-Wissowa, I, 1068. His epitaph was as follows:


 єlтоя
 - Poet. Lyr. Gr., ed. Bergk, II, 571.
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52. vads . . . 'Hфalбтоv: for a discussion of the identity of the temple of Hephaestus with the so-called Theseum, and a description of the tem-

 worship of Hephaestus and Athena was very ancient in Attica (Plat. Critias, p. 109 c ) ; their temple is also mentioned by Augustine (Civ. Dei, 18, 12). Pausanias refers to the Erichthonius legend as the link between He phaestus and Athena (Apollod. 3, 14, 6 ; Schol. Hom. Il. B, 547 ; Aug. l.c.), while others hold that the link was not Erichthonius but Apollo the Paternal, who was said to be a son of Hephaestus and Athena (Cic. de Nat. Deor. 1, 22, 55 ; 23, 57 ; Clem. Alex. Protrept. 2, 28, p. 24, ed. Potter). - 54. т $\mathbf{\delta k}$
 roùs ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \circ$ v́s : this remark about the $\gamma \lambda a u \kappa o l ~ b \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o l$ suggests that the eyes of ancient statues were set in, or that they were painted. Homer's favorite epithet of Athena is $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \pi s$, "blueeyed." Dr. Schliemann (Troy, p. 54, 112 ff .) would translate the epithet "owl-faced," deriving the word from $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ '"owl'" and $ठ \psi$ 'face," supposing
the goddess was originally represented with the face of an owl. R. Hildebrandt, Philol. XLVI (1888), 201 ff., derives it from $\gamma \lambda a v k$ 's "bright" or "blue" and a root vop, "water," making the compound designate a goddess of the bright blue sea. Pausanias' statement indicates that the Greeks understood "blue-eyed" by the term, which hypothesis is confirmed by Cicero (de Nat. Deor. 1, 30, 83), who says the color of Minerva's eyes was bluish-gray, and of Neptune's sky-blue.
 Tทs Oúparias: as this sanctuary was near the temple of Hephaestus, it probably stood on the Colonus Agoraeus or Market Hill. The worship of the goddess whom the Greeks called Aphrodite Urania was derived from the Semitic peoples of Asia, being the counterpart of the Baals of the various cities, and known as Baalat or Astarte. Like the male deity, Astarte was regarded as the giver of fertility to plants, animals, and men, and as the goddess of heaven. Jeremiah (7, $18 ; 44,18$ ) calls her "the queen of heaven." In her double aspect as goddess of love and of heaven, the Greeks












represented her as the Heavenly Mphpodite. See Roscher, Lex. s.v. Mphrodite Urania; Preller-Robert, I, 349, rem. 5.
15. The Stoa Poikile and its Paintinge.
 ...'A yopaios cal $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v:$ after describing in c. 14, 6-7, the temples etc. on the Market Hill to the west of the Agora, Pausanias now describes some objects of especial interest within the market-place, notably the Painted Colonnade, the Hermes of the Market, and a market-gate. As the site of the three isadisputed question, the consideration of it is reserved for Excursus II. The Stoa Poikile or Painted Colonnade was originally named Пecनıaváктecos prod after its founder Peisianax, son-in-law of Cimon (Plat. Vim. 4; Dig. Laert. 7,5). It wats built probably after 457 b.c. Just as its site is not definitely known, so also its form is uncertain. Since it was intended to serve as a Lesche, i.e. as a lounging-place for the
public, we may ascribe to it the cuscomary form for Leschae, a long rectalgular hall inclosed on three sides and open on one long side fronted with colurns. Here Zeno met his disciples, who thus acquired the name of Stoics or "men of the Stoa" (Dig. Laert. 7, 1, 5). Lucian (Sup. Tray. 16; Icarom. 34; Dial. Meretr. 10, 1 ; Fisc. 13) and Aliphon (Ep. 1, 3; 3, 53, 64) tell of philosophers and their followers discoursing and wrangling within or before the Col-onnade.- $\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{\delta} \tau \omega ิ v$ үpaф由ิv: the Colonmade was embellished with paintings by Polygnotus and his associates Micon and Panaenus (Plat. Vim. 4 ; Pliny
 a mooted question whether the painting were on the wall itself or on wooden tablets. Since Synesius (Ep. 54 and 135) toward the end of the fourth century uses the expression $\sigma a v i \delta e s$ in stating that paintings had been removed from the colonnade by a Roman proconsul, some have regarded them as easel paintings, but the evidence
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that Polygnotus and his contemporaries painted generally on walls is so convincing that there is but little doubt that they were fresco paintings (cf. Pliny N.H. 35, 59, 123 ; Paus. 6, 26, 3 and Frazer's note). - 2. 'Eppifs xadkois кa入oúpevos 'Ayopaios: the statue of Hermes Agoraeus or Hermes of the Market stood in the Agora beside the Painted Colonnade(Lucian,Jupp.Trag. 33 and schol.). It is known to have dated from before the Persian War (Hesych. s.v. aropaios 'E $\rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ), and Lucian (l.c.) states that it was of archaic
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \delta \mu \eta s$. Some have conjectured that the statue seen by Pausanias was a copy of the bronze original. Whether the original or a copy, the statue was a much-admired specimen of archaic art, and artists (Lucian l.c.) were continually making casts of it, so that it was never quite free from pitch. This Hermes was a very popular deity. The Aristophanic sausage-seller swears
 Lucian (l.c.) represents him rushing up among the gods to tell them of the impious things that were said in the
 тธิv rolx $\boldsymbol{\omega} v$ : Pausanias describes at length four paintings in the Colonnade, the battles of Oenoe, of Marathon, of the Amazons, and of the Sack of Troy. As to the disposition of the paintings, it seems likely from

Pausanias' statcment that the first two were on the two short walls and the last two on the long back wall. There were other pictures in the Colonnade, as e.g. a portrait of Sophocles with his lyre (Biog. Gr., ed. Westermann, p.127) and a picture by Pamphilus or Apollodorus of the Heracleids seeking the protection of the Athenians (Schol. Ar. Plutus, 385). The paintings were still in existence up to the fourth century, for Himerius (Or. 10, 2) speaks of the painting of the battle of Marathon as still extant in his time (A.d. 315-386), and Synesius' statements (ll.cc.) show that in 402 A.d. their removal had but recently taken place.'A日ŋvaiovs . . . tetayuévous div Olvón $\kappa \tau \lambda$. : the subject of this painting has occasioned discussion. The battle of Oenoe, in which Athenians defeated Spartans, is mentioned again by Pausanias, $10,10,4$, but by no other writer. Pausanias states (l.c.) that a group of statuary executed by the artists Hypatodorus and Aristogiton was set up by the Argives in honor of the joint victory gained by Argives and Athenians against the Spartans at Oenoe in Argolis. From a Delphic inscription (C.I.G. 25), it is clear that these artists belong to the first half of the fifth century b.c. This gives an approximate date for the battle. The AthenianArgive alliance was formed 463 в.c., after the breach with Sparta at Ithome











 $\kappa a i ̀ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai $\chi \mu a \lambda \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda a s \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$ Ka $\alpha \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho a \nu$.

(Thuc. 1, 102). The final victory of the Spartans over the allies occurred at Tanagra 458 в.c. Hence the battle of Oenoe doubtless occurred between these dates.
 $\mu{ }^{\text {óx }}$ ovtal : from other sources we know this painting was by Micon (Arr. Anab. $7,13,10$ ), and that the Amazons were depicted fighting on horseback (Ar. Lys. 678 and Schol.). Pausanias alludes to all three battles in which Amazons were engaged: (1) fight of Heracles with the Amazons in their own country ( $1,2,1$ ); fight of Athenians against the Amazons at Athens (Plut. Thes. 26); and fight of Achilles with the Amazons before Troy.-
 tarch (Cim. 4) mentions the current report that Polygnotus introduced the likeness of Cimon's sister Elpinice into the painting as Laodice, who also
appeared in Polygnotus's great picture of the capture of Ilium in the Lesche at Delphi ( $10,26,7$ ).
 $\mu a x \in \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon v o t ~ M a p a \theta \omega ิ v t: ~ t h i s ~ p a i n t i n g ~$ seems to have been the joint work of Micon and Panaenus (cf. Paus. 5, 11, 6 ; Arr. Anab. 7, 13, 10). From Pausanias's description, the action fell into three scenes: (1) The Greeks and Persians in conflict; (2) the flight of the Persians ; (3) the attempted embarkation of the Persians. Pausanias mentions by name seven figures - Athena and Heracles, Theseus, Marathon and Echetlus, Callimachus and Miltiades. Pliny (N.H. 35, 57), who argues that the portraits of the leaders were real likenesses, adds the names of one Athenian, Cynegirus (Hdt. 6, 14), and two Persians, Datis and Artaphernes. Many fancied they saw the phantom of Theseus charging the Persians
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 $\tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma \phi a \kappa \tau \eta \rho i a ̨ ~ \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.
(Slut. Thes. 35). Miltiades was represented in front of all the other Achesian generals, extending his hand toward the enemy and cheering on his forces (Aeschin. 3, 186 and schorl.; Aristid. Or. 46, p. 232).
 valour ктл.: the successful revolt of Scions from Athens occurred 423 в.c., but two years later the Athenians recaptured it, slaughtered the men, and sold into slavery women and children (Thus. 4, 120; 5, 132). Thucydides (4, 131) recounts the part their allies took in the fate of the unfortunate city. The captured shields were preserved in the

Painted Colonnade. - 37. ムakeбaupovi av . . . Tติv dove $v \sqcap \sigma \Psi:$ the capture of the two hundred and ninety-two Lacedaemonians on the island of Sphacteria occurred 425 bic. (Thuc. 4, 38). Their shields, together with the sword of Mardonius, were regarded as among the most grorious trophies of Athens (Din. Chrys. 2, p.27); probably here too was the shield of Brasidas lost at Pylus (Thuc. 4, 12 ; Did. 12, 6, 2).
16. Digression on Seleucus.
 bronze statue of Solon is mentioned also by Dem. 26, 24 and Melian, Var.


 5 Maкє $\xi \cup ́ \lambda a ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta \omega \mu o v ̂ ~ к \epsilon i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~ \pi \rho o v ́ \beta \eta ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$



 $\tau \iota a ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ к \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \nu ~ ' A \nu \tau i ́ y o \nu o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̉ \lambda \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v '-~$









 є́ßaбí $\epsilon \cup \sigma \epsilon$ Maкє



Hist. 8, 16. - 8. इenevkos . . . mapd Птодєнаiov фиүш́v: this occurred in 316 b.c. Consult Diod. 19, 55 ; Appian, Syr. 53 ; Droysen, Gesch. d. Hell. II, 1, 312. - 10. ѐкрáтŋनe. 'Avriyovov: in the battle of Ipsus, cf. $1,6,7$, note.

 assassination of Seleucus by Ptolemy Ceraunus occurred 281 в.c. Cf. App.

Syr. 62 ff.; Justin, 17, 23 ; Droysen,
 here the guards are meant, "the Kings" being a complimentary title given to the Life Guards or palace troops (see Kayser, Ztsch. f. d. Alt.-Wiss.VI(1848),
 $\rho \omega v$ : Ptolemy Ceraunus was defeated and slain by the Gauls in 280 в.c. Cf. Justin, 24, 5, 17 ; Polyb. 9, 35, 4; Droysen, II, 2, 343 ff .
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 Bク̀入 $\tau$ ò ífò̀ каì $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ X a \lambda \delta a i ́ o v s ~ o i k \epsilon i ̀ \nu . ~$



 Bpayx ${ }^{\text {(5as }}$ : on the bronze Apollo of Branchidae, cf. Paus. 2, 10, 5; 7, 5, 4 ; 8, 46, $3 ; 9,10$, 2, and Frazer's notes. -29. इèteúkelav olkioas $\& \pi \mathfrak{l}$ Tlyp $\eta$ ti $\pi о т а \mu \bar{\varphi}$ : the foundation of Seleucia as the seat of government of the dynasty led to the rapid decline of Babylon. Strabo (16, p. 738) speaks of it as larger than Babylon, whole sections of which lay desolate. Pliny (N. H. 6, 122) puts the population of Seleucia at 600,000 . About the beginning of the Christian era, its inhabitants were mostly Greeks, with many Macedonians and Syrians (Joseph. 18, 9, 8). It was still a powerful city in Tacitus's time (Ann. 6, 42).
17. Altar of Eleos-Altars of Aidos, of Pheme, and of Horme Gymnasium of Ptolemy and statues therein-Temple of Theseus and its Paintings - Minos and Thesens Various Traditions about the End of Theseus.


oủk és àтavтas кєұ $\omega \rho \eta \kappa \in \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \phi \eta \mu \eta ; 5,18$,
 Wilanowitz (Aus Kydathen, p. 201, rem. 4) conjectures that the altar of Mercy is identical with the altar of the Twelve Gods, not mentioned by Pausanias. Tlids conjecture is adopted by Miss Harrison, pp. 141-142. The altar of Mercy is frequently mentioned, because it served as a place of refuge. Statius (Theb. 12, 481 ff .) describes it as standing in a grove of laurel and olives. Adrastus, after the War of the Seven against Thebes, is said to have fled to Athens and taken refuge at the altar of Mercy (Apoll. 3, 7, 1). Likewise the children of Heracles, when persecuted by Eurystheus, fled to this altar (Apoll. 2, 8, 1; Schol. Ar. Eq. 1151). Cf. Wachsmuth, Stadt Athen, II, 436-440.-3. $\mu$ óvot . . . 'A $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { nvaiot: this statement is not pre- }\end{aligned}$ cisely true. Diodorus $(13,22,7)$ says the Athenians were the first to set up an altar of Mercy; and Wachsmuth, II, 436 cites an 'E $\lambda \notin o v \beta \omega \mu$ 's found in the precinct of Asclepius at Epidaurus.








Cf. Wachsmuth l.c. on the $\phi i \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega-$ mia of the Athenians. - 5. ©eoùs cure-



 Il. K, 451, p. 1279, 39) locates the altar of Modesty on the Acropolis $\pi a \rho d े ~ \tau \delta \nu \nu \eta ̂ s ~ \Pi o \lambda a d o \delta o s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a ̂ s ~ \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ (cf. Hesych. s.v. Aioioûs $\beta \omega \mu$ bs). Perhaps the other two altars mentioned were likewise located there. Cf. Wachsmath, II, 440. Al $\delta \dot{\omega}$ s is the personification of good conduct, and is first mentioned by Hesiod, Opp. 200 ; upon the entrance of the Iron Age she flees with Nemesis from the earth; her daughter is $\Sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ (C.I.A. II, 2339). A priestess of Modesty had a seat in the theatre (C.I.A. III, 367).
 94; Od. $\omega, 413$. Aeschines $(1,128)$ mentons the altar of Rumor and says, $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 Schol. Aeschin. 1, 128, where it is said that the altar of Rumor was erected shortly after the battle of the Eurymedon because the rumor of that great victory reached Athens the same day.
 again leaves the Agora and describes two buildings "not far from it" and near each other. The first is the gymna-
siam of Ptolemy, the second the sanctuary of Theseus. The gymnasium was doubtless, like similar structures elsewhere, a spacious edifice with various apartments, colonnades, and open courts for recreation and exercise. The founder was probably Ptolemy Philadelphus. The Ephebic here attended lactares on philosophy (C.I.A. II, 479, 1. 19). Cicero and his friends here listened to the philosopher Antiochus (De fin. 5, $1,1)$. The site of this building was doubtless to the east or north of the Agora, judging from the lie of the ground and the buildings later mentioned. Miss Harrison locates it to the northeast between the existing Colonnades of Altalas and Hadrian (Athens, p. 145 f.). 10. 'Eppaĩ . . e elкळ̀v IIтодяцаiov Xa入-
 Pausanias mentions within the gymnaslum stone Herman, a bronze statue of Ptolemy, a statue of Juba the Libyan, and a statue of Chrysippus of Coli. Pausanias does not say which Juba was meant, but it was doubtless Juba II, who was patronized by Augustus and was the author of historical treatises. Cicero (De fin. 1, 11, 39) and Diogenes Laertius ( $7,7,182$ ) mention a statue of Cbrysippus in the market-place of Athens. There is nothing to show this was the one seen by Pausanias. -
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13. Oñiws dotiv iepóv: this sanctuary is said to have been expressly constructed to hold the bones of Theseus when they were brought (469 в.c.) from Scyros to Athens by Cimon after the Persian War (cf. Plut. Thes. 36 ; Thuc. 1, 98 ; Diod. 4, 62; 11, 60). It was surrounded by an extensive precinct ( $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta_{\eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s, ~ C . I . A . ~ I I, ~}^{\text {, }}$ 446, 1. 13) which served as asylum for the fugitive (Ar. Eq. 1311; Diod. 4, 62 ; Plut. Thes. 36), sometimes also as a place of assembly (Thuc. 6, 61, Arist. Resp. Ath. 15). Certain elections to office by lot regularly took place here (Aesch. 3, 130 and schol., Arist. Resp. Ath. 62). With regard to the site Plutarch (Thes. 36) says:
 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \nu \mu \nu$ áato -doubtless the gymnasium of Ptolemy. The site was somewhere between the Colonnade of Attalus and the northwest slope of the Acropolis. See Excursus IV on the identity of the so-called Theseum with this sanctuary. - ypaфal $\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \in \operatorname{l\sigma } \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.: it appears that the painter of the three pictures, namely (a) the fight of the Athenians and Amazons, (b) the fight
of Centaurs and Lapiths, and (c) the story of Theseus and Amphitrite, was Micon, though Harpocration and Suidas (s.v. Moरúr $\omega \omega \tau$ os) give Polygnotus the credit for them. It is probable that Polygnotus's overshadowing reputation caused the works of Micon later to be ascribed to himself. The subject of the first painting, the Battle of the Amazons, was also that of one of the paintings in the Painted Colonnade (c. 15, note) and was represented on the shield of Athena Parthenos $(5,24,7)$ and on the pedestal of the statue of Zeus at Olympia (cf. $5,11,7$ ). - 17. $\boldsymbol{\eta}$
 sanias states later that the third painting was on the third wall of the temple, this was probably on the second or rear wali of the temple, not on the same wall with the first painting.

 by Hyginus (Astron. 2, 5) and is the theme of the Sixteenth (Seventeenth) Ode of Bacchylides. It is also depicted on four well-known ancient vases that have come down to us: (1) a vase found at Caere, now in the Louvre, ascribed to


 ท̄ $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ '่s К
















Euphronius ; (2) a vase found at Girgenti, now in the National Library at Paris; (3) a vase in the Civic Museum at Bologna; and (4) a red figured vase found at Truvo (M. d. arch. Inst., Rüm. Abth., IX (1894), 229 ff . and Pl. VIII). These are described and discussed by Frazer, II, 150-100. They were doubtless derived from the painting of Micon. - 25. è $\pi$ el où $\delta$ súvartar t t̀̀v $\sigma$ фpa$\gamma i \delta a$ : a sentence introduced by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ in oratio obliqua often has its verb in the infinitive. Cf. 1, 22, $6 ; 5,26,1 ; 7,23$, $8 ; 10,7,3$. The same construction occurs with wis and relatives in oratio obliqua, as e.g. $3,4,4 ; 8,53,2 ; 9,33$, $4 ; 10,4,4 ; 10,4,6$. This construction is frequent in Hdt., Thuc., and Plato.
 the legend of Theseus's descent into Hades with his friend Pirithous and his rescue by IIeracles is told by Diod. 4, 63 ; Hyginus, Fab. 79 ; Mythog. Gr., ed. R. Wagner, I, 181 ff., etc. Cf. Paus. 59, 31, 5; 10, $29,9$.
 the excavations on the site of ancient Dodona, see Carapanos, Dodone et ses ruines, 1878. The ruins lie seven miles to the southwest of Janina in Epirus. The rustling of the leaves of the sacred oak was regarded as the voice of Zeus, and these mysterious sounds were interpreted by priestesses. Cf. Hom. Od. $\xi, 327, ~ r, ~ 219$; Aesch. Prom. 851 ; Steph. Byz. and Suid. s.v.




















$\Delta \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \eta .-30 . \lambda(\mu \nu \eta$. . . 'Axepovala $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: on the identification of these mythological sites, see Frazer's note, II, 160-162. - 44. orpareviovotv ls "AфLסvar of TuvSdpew maifess: the incursion of the Dioscuri into Aphidna to rescue Helen is often mentioned. Cf. 1, 41, 4; 2, 22, 6; 3, 17, 2; 18, 4, 5 ; and Hdt. 9,73 ; Isoc. 10, 19 ; Diod. 4, 63; Plut. Thes. 31, etc. Aphidna is now identified with the hill of Kotrone, six miles east of Decelea, and thirteen miles from Oropus.
 the only passage in which the term
$\sigma \eta \kappa 6$ s is used by Pausanias; also the
 is unusual. Pollux, 1,6 thus defines

 $\kappa_{\text {kal }}^{\tau} \delta \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$. In Plut. Cinon, 8, the tomb of Theseus on Skyros is called $\sigma \eta \kappa \delta s$. - 58 . тd̀ ó $\sigma \tau a ̂ ~ к о \mu i \sigma a v-~$ tos is 'A日juas: for the story of the bringing back of Theseus's body, see Plut. Thes., 36 ; id. Cimon, 8 ; Paus. 3,7 ; Diod. 4, 62. The oracle, in 476475 в.c., had commanded the Athenians to bring back the bones of Theseus. Accordingly they conquered Scyros in
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470-469, under the leadership of $\mathrm{Ci}-$ mon, and brought back the relics the following year.
18. Sanctuary of the Dioscuri-Precinct of Aglaurus-Prytaneum -Sanctuary of Serapis; of Ilithyia-Statues and Sanctuaries in the Peribolus of the Temple of Olympian Zeus-Isocrates - Temple of Olympian Zeus - Buildings of Hadrian in Athens.

1. Tò $\delta \grave{e}$ Lepòv тต̂v $\Delta$ !̣ơkoúpwv: the sanctuary of the Dioscuri was also called 'Avd́кєıov. Cf. Thuc. 8, 93 ; Andoc. 1, 45 ; Dem. 45, 80. Its site can be approximately determined, as it was near the Aglaurus precinct (Paus. 1, 18, 2), and this is definitely located on the north slope of the Acropolis (see below). This is confirmed by Polyaen. 1, 21, 2, who states that Pisistratus, wishing to disarm the Athenians, bade them assemble in the Anaceum, whence their weapons were conveyed to the Aglaurus precinct. Lucian (Pisc. 42) represents the needy philosophers clambering up into the Acropolis on ladders planted in this sanctuary. Its extent is indicated by the fact that troops of infantry and of cavalry assembled there (Thuc. 8, 83 ; Andoc. 1, 45). The "Avakes were here worshiped under the name of Saviors
(Ael. Var. Hist. 4, 5, etc.). - 2. kal of $\pi a i \delta$ es $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: the sons of Castor and Pollux were by name Anexis and Mnasinus (Paus. 2, 22, 5) or Anogon and Mnesileos (Apollod. 3, 11, 2). The reliefs on the throne of Apollo at Amyclae (Paus. 3, 18, 3) also represented the sons on horseback. - 3. По入úyvш-
 Aevkimtov: Hilaera (or Elaera) and Phoebe, daughters of Leucippus, were betrothed to Lynceus and Idas, the sons of Aphareus. But the Dioscuri, who were invited to the wedding, carried off the maidens from Messene, Castor marrying Hilaera and Pollux Phoebe. Cf. Schol. Pind. Nem. 10, 112 ; Apollod. 3, 10, 3 ; 11, 2. - 4. Mikov : it is not known what scene from the Argonautic expedition was selected by Micon, but most authorities think that the subject was the funeral games celebrated by Acastus in honor of his father Pelias. Cf. Miss Harrison, Ancient Athens, p. 162, and Murray, Handbook of Gk. Arch. p. 370.
 precinct of Aglaurus is a cavern about 70 yards from the Cave of Pan on the northwest corner of the Acropolis (cf. $1,28,40 \mathrm{n}$.) and about 70 yards west of the Erechtheum. It is in the region
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of the Long Rocks（Maxpal sc．Itérpai）， mentioned Eur．Ion， 492 ff．A secret staircase，some steps of which remain， led down from the Acropolis into this cavern．It has been suggested that by this staircase the Persians gained access to the Acropolis（cf．Hdt．8，53；Paus． 1，18，2）．In this sanctuary the Ephebi took the oath of allegiance（Lyc．c． Leocr．76；Plut．Alcib．15；Dem．19， 303）．－＇Ay入aúpw ．．．＇Eplx日óviov： the myth has varying features with different writers．According to Eur． Ion，22，Athena gives over Erichtho－ nius to the Aglaurides，daughters of Aglaurus，wife of Cecrops ；according to Apollod．3，14，6，she assigns him to Pandrosus alone；in Hyg．Astron．2， 13，to the daughters of Erechtheus． According to Antigonus of Carystus， Hist．Mir．12，the obedient sister was not Pandrosus but Herse．In Apol－ lod．l．c．the maidens were destroyed by the snake which protected the child． Erichthonius and Erechtheus were originally identical（cf．Schol．Hom． Il．B， 547 ；Etym．Magn．p． 371 s．v． ＇E $\rho \in \chi \theta \in \dot{\theta} \dot{s}$ ），and were doubtless appella－ tions of the sacred serpent of Athena， guardian of the Acropolis，who lived
in the Erechtheum and was fed with honey cakes once a month（cf．Hdt．8， 4 ；Plut．Them． 10 ；Ar．Lys． 758 ff．and schol．）．－10．кata日eírav ls кıß dสeเா०จิซav：noteworthy is the lack of a connective between the two participles． If Pausanias had $\delta 0 \hat{v} \nu a l$ $\phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ or some such expression the passage would have been normal．Cf．Apollod．1．c．каi ката－

 divolyetv．－12．dvóㅑat yáp：very fre－ quently in Pausanias，as in Thucydides， a clause introduced by ráp is paren－ thetically thrown in for the explanation of a statement．So e．g．in Book I：1， 2；2，2；12，2；13，1；20，3；21，2；22， $5 ; 23,10 ; 25,7$ ；26，5；27，10；31，3； 33,$7 ; 43,3 ; 43,7 .-14$ ．етavaßávтes M $\dagger$ бot $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．：with this compare the ac－ count in Herodotus，7，141－143；8，51－ 53，which Pausanias probably had be－ fore his eyes．
 the Prytaneum was the sacred centre of the life of the state，the town hall． When Theseus established the synoi－ kismos，the Prytaneum of Athens be－ came the Prytaneum of Attica（Thuc． 2,15 ；Plut．Thes．24）．Its essential

 $20 \tau \epsilon$ каì Aüródvкos ó $\pi a \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \prime s \cdot \tau a ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ M ı \lambda \tau \iota a ́ \delta o v ~ к a i ̀ ~$


feature was its hearth, where the perpetual fire burned, spoken of repeatedly as "the hearth of the city," or "the common hearth" (Pollux 1, 7; 9, 40 ; Arist. Resp. Ath. 6, 8, etc.). In the Prytaneum was the statue of the goddess Hestia, counterpart of the Roman Vesta. Here foreign ambassadors and illustrious citizens were entertained at the public expense (Ar. Ach. 124 ; Eq. 700 ; Dem. 7, 20, etc.). Socrates fixed his penalty as perpetual maintenance in the Prytaneum (Plat. Apol. 36). As regards the site, Pausanias says the Prytaneum was near the Aglaurus precinct, and as he was going eastward it probably lay on the north slope of the Acropolis to the east of the Aglaurus precinct. It was certainly on high ground, for Pausanias speaks $(1,18,4)$ of going thence 'ts $^{2}$ d $\kappa \alpha \dot{\pi} \omega \tau \eta{ }^{5} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$. Near the Prytaneum was the Bucoleum, in which, before Solon's time, the magistrate called Basileus resided (Arist. Resp. Ath. 3), and in which the sacred marriage of the King Archon's wife to Dionysus continued to take place at least to the fourth century b.c. (Arist. 1.c.). - 18. \&v ${ }^{\text {© }}$ vod$\mu \circ \tau \tau \in$ oi $\Sigma \dot{\sigma} \lambda^{\lambda} \omega v o s \kappa \tau \lambda$.: these copies of the laws of Solon were engraved on quadrangular wooden tablets called axones, which turned on pivots so that they could be easily read. Copies of Solon's laws engraved on tablets called kurbeis stood in the Royal Colonnade (1, 3, 1).

It is a disputed question whether the kurbeis and axones were similar. Cf. Harpocr. s.v. "A ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$; Etym. Magn. s.v.
 $\sigma \boldsymbol{T h}$ : cf. 9, 32, 8 and Frazer's note. The statue was by the son and pupil of Myron (Pliny N. H. 34, 79, with Jex-Blake's note). Autolycus was winner in the pancratium at the Panathenaic festival in 422 b.c., and was murdered in 404 by the Thirty Tyrants. He is a character in Xen. Symp. 1, 1.
 elkovas: the practice of altering the inscriptions on old Greek statues so as to pass them off as the portraits of later personages seems to have been common under the Romans. Cf. Paus. $1,2,4 ; 2,9,8 ; 17,3 ; 8,9,9$. Dio Chrys. 37, p. 304, tells of a statue of Alcibiades inscribed with the name of Ahenobarbus, and Plutarch (Anton. 60) of statues of Eumenes and Attalus inscribed with the name of Mark Antony.
22. $\mathrm{lvcev} \mathrm{\theta ev}$ lov̂のเv: leaving the Prytaneum on the northern slope of the Acropolis, Pausanias now proceeds eastward as far as the stadium. It seems likely, therefore, that the sanctuary of Serapis was situated somewhere to the northeast of the Acropolis, probably in the neighborhood of the new Metropolitan church. Serapis was the dead Apis, or sacred bull, honored under the attributes of Osiris; he was
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 $\kappa \in \varsigma, \tau o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a l o ̛ ́ \tau a \tau o \nu ~ ' E \rho v \sigma i ́ \chi \theta o \nu a ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \Delta \eta ́ \lambda o v ~ к о \mu i ́ \sigma a l . ~$


lord of the under world and was identified with the Greek Hades. His worship was a combination of Egyptian and Greek cults, and became popular in Greece and Rome. - 27. xwpiov . . . trva $\Pi_{\text {ep }} \ell_{\text {Oovv }} \kappa \tau \lambda$. : the agreement was to carry off Helen from Sparta, to draw lots for her, and he to whom she fell should aid the other in winning a wife. Cf. Plut. Thes. 31, according to whom the oath was taken in the neighborhood of Marathon. Soph. O.C. 1590 puts the site in the grove of the Eumenides at Colonus. There was a place in Athens near the Theseum called the Horcomosium, so named because on this spot Theseus had sworn peace with the Amazons (Plut. Thes.
25) ; this may have been the spot to which Pausanias refers. - 29. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ lov
 is not definitely known, but it was doubtless northeast of the Acropolis, in the neighborhood of the present Metropolitan church, confirmed to some extent by the discovery at this point of the base of a statue dedicated to Ilithyia (C.I.A. II, 1586). The goddess of childbirth had also a sanctuary in the suburbs of Agrae to the southeast of Athens, as we learn from the inscription on one of the seats of the Theatre of Dionysus (C.I.A. III, 319).
39. És tò iepò̀ lévat tov̂ $\Delta$ tòs tov̂
 Zeus, see Excursus V.-40. то̀ ӑүалла


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta o s ~ o ́ \rho \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu-\epsilon ̇ \nu \tau a v ̂ \theta a ~ \epsilon i к o ́ v \in s ~ ' A \delta \rho ı a \nu o u ̂ ~ \delta v ́ o ~$
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0tas affov: the statue was doubtless copied from the famous Zeus of Phidias at Olympia, and the type is in turn reproduced on Athenian coins. These represent the god sitting, nude to the waist, with a Nike in his right hand and the sceptre in his left. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, pp. 137, 138 , with pl. BB, iv.
52. vaòs Kpóvou кal 'Ptas: the language of Pausanias would imply that this temple also was in the peribolus of the Olympieum. Yet cf. Bekk.

 rov̂ ev. áropấ, where the editors, following Wachsmuth, Rh. Mus. XXIII, 17,
 tuary probably stretched up to the

Ilissus and in part outside the peribolus. - Tf $\mu$ voos $\Gamma$ îs: this precinct and cult of Ge Olympia are closely associated with the sanctuary of Zeus Olympius near the Ilissus, and are to be distinguished from the sanctuary of Ge surnamed Kourotrophos just west or southwest of the Acropolis referred to by Thuc. 2, 15 and Paus. 1, 22, 3. Plut. Thes. 27 locates a hieron of Ge in the neighborhood of the stele of the Amazon Antiope, which we have seen was near the Itonian gate. See on Paus. 1, 2,1 . On the site of the various sanctuaries of Ge, see Excursus III.
56. 'Iनokpátous ávסplás кт入.: according to P's.-Plut. vit. x Or., p. 839 в, this statue of bronze was set up by Aphareus, the adopted son of the
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orator. What follows is the traditional story of Isocrates's death, but is contradicted by the apparently genuine letter (No. 3) to King Philip, in which Isocrates sees in the career of the victor the fulfillment of a united Hellas at war against the Persians. See L. Blau, Rh. Mus., N.F., XX (1865), 109-116; Jebb, Attic Orators, II,
 Phrygian marble was a hard limestone, known to-day under the name of Pavonazzetto. See Blümner, Technol. III, 52 f . It was used in architecture in Hellenistic times, but not in sculpture before the Roman period. This work therefore was probably a present of Hadrian's. Phrygian marble "ischaracterized by a very irregular venation of dark-red with bluish and yellowish tints, ramifying through a translucent alabaster-like base, which is sometimes
almost opaline in its play of colors" (Century Dictionary).

 buildings of Hadrian at Athens, from the words of Pausanias, seem to be as follows: (1) the Panhellenion-in which Hadrian and the Empress Sabina were worshiped as Zeus and Hera; cf. Dio Cass. 19, 16; (2) the Pantheon, already referred to (1, 5, 5) as containing the catalogue of all the buildings of Hadrian in Greek and other cities; (3) the Colonnade of 100 columns - with the Library, singled out by Jerome as a wonderful work (Euseb. Chron. vol. 2, p. 167, ed. Schöne) ; (4) the Gymnasium, also with 100 columns. The ruins known as the stoa of Hadrian could belong either to the gymnasium or the library, more probably to the latter.


 $75 \lambda_{\iota} \theta о \tau о \mu i a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \Lambda_{\iota} \beta v ́ \omega \nu$.













 $15 \gamma$ à $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \gamma \omega \nu o \nu$ кагà $\tau \alpha u ̋ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' E \rho \mu a i ̂ s, ~$

19. Temple of Apollo Delphinius Aphrodite in the Gardens-Cynosarges - Lyceum - Ilissus and Eridunus Artemis Agrotera-Stadium of Herodes Atticus.
 the image was doubtless in a sanctuary of Pythian Apollo, in this quarter. An altar was erected in the Pythium by Pisistratus, son of Hippias (Thuc. 6,54) ; the inscription once upon this was found in 1877, and, where intact, exactly agrees with Thucydides' copy of it. The Pythium was probably located where the inscription was discovered, namely, on the right bank of the

Ilissus, below the spring Callirrhoe and to the southwest of the Olympieum. There was also a Pythium on the Acropolis slope. See Excursus III. -
 viov: the Delphinium is said to have been founded by Aegeus, whodedicated it to the Delphinian Apollo and Artemis (Pollux, 8,19 ). We have no monumental evidence as to the site, but we are doubtless justified in concluding that it lay to the east of the Olympieum.
12. Kŋrous: the district called The Gardens is usually identified with the low ground to the east of the Olympieum, on the right bank of the Ilissus.
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This section is still green and luau-

 vows: Lucian (Imag. 4, 6) speaks of the Aphrodite as the most beautiful of all the works of Alcamenes; he admired particularly the cheeks and the front of the face, the graceful turn of the wrists, and the delicate tapering of the fingers. Pliny (N. H. 36, 16) also speaks of it as a famous statue, and adds that Phidias is said to have given the finishing touches to it. The style of this statue is best represented in the Venus Genetrix of the Louvre, of which the work of Alcamenes is now generally supposed to be the prototype. It represents the goddess lightly draped, holding an apple in her left hand, and gracefully lifting her robe above her shoulder with her right hand.
19. 'Hpakतéous lepóv: Cynosarges, as is known from references in ancient authors, was situated outside the city walls (Plut. Them. 1), not far from the gate (Ding. Laert. 6, 1, 13), in the deme Diomea (Schol. Ar. Ran. 651), near the deme Alopece. It was therefore northeast of Athens in the direction of the modern Ampelokipi,
near the site of the American and Engfish schools. Cynosarges included a gym innasium as well as a sanctuary, and was surrounded by a grove. The use of the gymnasium was reserved for youths without the full rights of citizenship. Themistocles, as the son of an alien mother, used to exercise here, but he lessened the disgrace by persuading some well-born youths to join with him (Plat. Them. 1). Antisthenes, the founder of the Cynic school of philosophy, lectured here, and according to some the sect derived its name from Cynosarges (Dig. Laert. 6, 1, 13). - 25. ムúketov: the sanctuary of Apollo called Lyceum took its name from the epithet $\Lambda u$ úceos applied to the god (Lucian, Anacharsis, 7) not from an imaginary Lycus, as Pausanias would have it. Wolves were dear to Apollo and appear frequently in the myths told of him. Here was the most famous gymnasium at. Athens; the date of the foundation is disputed. Here Aristotle discussed with his disciples his philosophy, pacing the shady walks of the Lyceum, and from this habit his followers were called the Peripatetics. The site is known to
,
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have been east of Athens, and outside the walls, but the exact locality has not been determined.
39. тотано!: the Ilissus rises in Mt. Hymettus to the east of Athens, flows on the southern side of the city, and, after passing between the Museum hill and a rocky height rising on its southern bank, disappears in the plain. There are now no plane-trees on its banks, as when Socrates discoursed with Phae-
drus (Plat. Phaedrus, 230 в, c). The Eridanus is identified by Dr. Dörpfeld (A.M. XIII (1888), 211 ff .; XIV (1889), 414) as a stream formed by one or more springs at the foot of Mt. Lycabettus, which flowed through the city north of the Acropolis westwardly, passed through the city wall a little south of the Dipylum, and, bending round the northwest spur of the Pnyx, joined the Ilissus (cf. Plato, Critias, p. 112 A).
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 оікобо $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \nu \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta$.


49. X woplov"A pau: the district Agrae was situated on the left bank of the Ilissus, and was known indifferently as Agrae or Agra. Every year on the anniversary of the battle of Marathon five hundred goats were here sacrificed to Artemis Agrotera. The Lesser Mystories of Demeter were performed at Agrae in Anthesterion. The site of the shrine of Artemis Agrotera has not been determined, nor has that of the shrine of Demeter in Agrae. 53. $\sigma$ тd́dov: the stadium is situated on the left bank of the Ilissus, about six hundred yards east of the Olympieum, in a valley between two paralhel slopes, running from southeast to northwest; at the eastern extremity it is closed by a semicircular artificial embankment. The stadium was first built by Lycurgus, shortly before 330 bic.; in the third century bic. it was repaired or improved by a certain Heraclitaus; in the second century A.d. Herodes Atticus fitted up the entire stadium with marble. The total length was
two hundred and four meters, and breadth thirty-three and thirty-six hundredths meters. A marble parapet ran round the outer edge of the racecourse. There seem to have been sixty tiers of seats, with room for 50,000 persons.
20. Street of Tripods - Praxiteles and Phryne - Temple of Dionysus Capture of Athens by Sulla.

1. "Eat $\delta \hat{E}$ dobs: the line of this street to the east of the Acropolis is determined by the surviving choregic monument of Lysicrates, one of the temples described by Pausanias as lining the street. This monument stands on level ground, one hundred and thirty to one hundred and forty yards from the eastern cliff of the Acropolis. As the inscription faces southeast, the street must have run on this side. It is a small circular temple of the Corinthan order, resting on a quadrangular base thirteen feet high. The circular part of the monument is twenty-one and a half feet high by nine feet in














 @upí入os є̇ $\pi$ oí $\eta \sigma \in \nu$.
diameter, and is of Pentelic marble. Six Corinthian columns support the entablature, consisting of the architrave, a frieze ten inches high depicting the punishment of the pirates by Dionesus, and the circular roof surmounted by the base on which the tripod stood.
 res elpyar $\mu i v a$ : the statue was placed on top of the monument, underneath the tripod, so that the three legs of the latter enclosed it and the caldron served as a roof. Cf. Plus. 3, 18, $8 ; 4,14,2 .-4$. $\sigma$ d́rupos: Athenaeus, 13, p. 591 в, tells how Praxiteles gave Phryne her choice of the statue of Eros or the statue of the Satyr in the street of Tripods, and that she chose the Eros; but he does not tell of the ruse. Pliny, N. H. 34, 60, mentions a bronze statue of a satyr known as
periboētos or "celebrated," but it was part of a group. Possibly a replica of this is seen in the Marble Faun of the Capitoline Museum in Rome, made famous by Hawthorne, one of a series of copies of an antique work thoroughly Praxitelean in style. The finest copy is a torso in the Louvre, which the late H. Bran sought to identify as an original work of Praxiteles.
2. பし๐vóбథ: some archaeologists have held that this is the same satyr mentioned above, but this is not consonant with the phrase $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \nu a \hat{\psi} \tau \hat{\psi}$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma l o \nu$. There is nothing to show that thissatyr, which formed part of a group, was by Praxiteles. Furtwängler is inclined to identify a wine-pouring satyr extant with this statue described by Pausanias as offering a drink, to attribute the original to Praxiteles, and to
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make it a group with Thymilus's Eros and Dionysus.
 0єа́трч тд̀ dрхаготатоv lepóv: on the identification of the most ancient sandtuary of Dionysus, see Excursus III. It is necessary to identify or distinguish (1) this precinct beside the theatre, (2) the sanctuary of Dionysus ${ }^{2} \nu \lambda / \mu \nu a i s$, and (3) the Lenaeum. On the theatre of Dionysus, see Excursus VI.- 20. 8vio Se clotv . . . vat: immediately south of the stage-buildings of the theatre are the remains of two small temples, doubtless those mentioned here. The older abuts on the south wall of the stage-building at its western end, and its orientation is east and west. In this temple was probably the image of Eleutherian Dionysus, doubtless the ancient wooden one said to have been brought to Athens from Eleutherae $(1,38,8)$ by Pegasus (1, 2, 5). A few feet south of this temple are the remains of the later temple, larger in size, and with somewhat different orientation, consisting
of a cella with a fore-temple and an antechamber. In the cella are the remains of a large base, which probably supported the gold-and-ivory image of Dionysus by Alcamenes. The date of this temple was probably not earlier than 420 в.c. The statue is inferred to have been a seated figure of colossal size. - 29. is oúpavòv ท̈yaye: the return to heaven of .Hephaestus is depitted on many red-figured Attic vases, and the manner of representing it was probably influenced by the picture in the temple here described. See Bumeister's Denkmäler, pp. 643-645; Koscher's Lexikon, I, 2054-2056. Homer gives two different versions of the fall of Hephaestus from heaven, one that he was flung over the ramparts by Zeus for interference in a family quarrel with Hera (II. A, 590 ff .), the other that Hera at his birth, in -disgust at his lameness, cast him from heaven into the sea, where Thetis and Eurynome received him (Il. $\Sigma, 394 \mathrm{ff}$.). -
















of Pentheus by the Maenads for his insolence to Dionysus is the theme of the Bacchae of Euripides. It is frequentby represented on vase-paintings and sculptured reliefs. Various stories are told as to the punishment of Lycurgus, king $\mathrm{o}_{1}$ the Edonians in Thrace. Homet (Il. Z, 130) says he was blinded by Zeus and died soon after; according to others Dionysus himself blinded and crucified him (Diod. 3, 65), or exposed him to panthers (Hyg. Fab. 132); Sophocles (Antig. 955) has him immured by the offended god in a rocky
 this incident is the subject of other paintings described in ancient writers, and figures largely in vase-paintings. Thus Philostratus, Imag. 14 (15), describes a similar picture in more detail. It also forms the subject of one of the Pompeian wall-paintings. From
the nature of the subjects Helbig thinks these paintings could not date earlier than the time of Zeuxis and Parrhasius, nor later than towards the end of the fourth century bic. (Untersuchungen über die campanische Wandmalerei, p. 257).
34. катабкєíao $\mu a$ : this was the Odeum of Pericles, said to have been built in imitation of the tent of Xerxes (Plat. Pericles, 13). It was a round building with a conical roof. The comic poet Cratinus compared the high peak-shaped head of Pericles to the Odeum. It was built by Pericles to be the scene of the musical contests at the Panathenaic festival (Plot. l.c.). Here too, the tragedies which were to be exhibited at the Great Dionysiac festival were rehearsed. The situation was doubtless immediately east of the theatre.
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21. Statues of comic and tragic Poets in the theatre - Gorgoneum on the south wall of the Acropolis - Cavern above the theatre with Tripod - Niobe - Calos and Daedalus - Temple of Asclepius - The Sarmatians - Linen corselets in the Apollo temple at Gryneum.
2. elkóves: the statue of Astydamas, a writer of numerous tragedies, set up by himself, is an example of this practice (I)iog. Laert. 2, 5, 43). Ashenaeus ( $1, \mathrm{p} .19 \mathrm{e}$ ) mentions the statue of an obscure Euryclides which stood with the statues of Aeschylus and his fellows. Here too were statues of Themistocles and Miltiades, and beside each that of a Persian captive (Arsistides, Or. 47, vol. 2, pp. 215 ff., ed. Dindoff). Twelve statues of the emperor

Hadrian were set up by the twelve Attic tribes, and of these the inscriptons of four have been found (C.I.A.
 historical sketch and description of the theatre at Athens, see Excursus VI. - 5. траүчбias: the statues seen by Pausanias were probably the bronze statues of Aeschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides, set up on the motion of Lycurgus (Ps.-Plut. wit. x Or. p. 841 f.). After telling parenthetically the anecdote about Sophocles, Pausanias mentons the statue of Aeschylus. The Sophocles story is told more fully by the anonymous author of the life of Sophocles (Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, p. 130), who says that the poet was buried in the family tomb near Decelea.
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 os ávákeıtal кефа入 $\eta$ : this was set up by king Antiochus. See 5, 12, 4. Frazer suggests that the Gorgon head was thus placed in a prominent positimon on the wall of the Acropolis to serve as a charm against the evil eye. -22. $\sigma \pi j$ jhatov: this cave is still to be seen in the Acropolis rock, directly above the theatre, and has long been used as a chapel dedicated to the Virgin of the Cave (Panagia Spiliotissa). Until the beginning of the nineteenth century, its mouth was adorned by a Doric portico, forming the choregic monument of Thrasyllus, an elegant structure about twenty-nine feet five inches high by twenty-five feet wide, consisting of three Doric pilasters resting on two steps and supporting an epistyle, which is in turn surmounted by a frieze. Above the frieze were
three pedestals of gray marble, the central one of which once supported a seated statue now in the British Museem. An inscription sets forth that the monument was dedicated by Thrasyllus of Decelea, in commemoration of a victory which he had won with a chorus in the archonship of Neaechmus (320-319 в.c). The other two pedestall bear inscriptions commemorating victories of Thrasycles, son of Thrasyllus. The monument doubtless supported a bronze tripod, and the statue was probably inclosed within the legs of the tripod. The group of Apollo, Artemis, and the children of Niobe was probably in the portico.
31. т $\epsilon \theta a \pi \tau a l$ Kab $\omega \mathrm{s}$ : the nephew of Daedalus is commonly called Talos by ancient writers, but Clement of Alexandria (Protrept. 4, 47, p. 41, ed. Potter) and Suidas (s.v. $\Pi$ Ep $\delta i \kappa 0 s$ ie p $\delta \nu$ )














agree with Pausanias in naming him Calos. Others give him the name of Perdix ('partridge'"). See Ovid, Met. 8, 236 ; Hyginus, Fab. 39, 244, and 274. Daedalus is said to have mardered him by throwing him from the Acropolis, because Calos had surpassed him in mechanical ingenuity by inventing the saw, compasses, and potter's wheel. The grave of Calos was on the southern slope of the Acropolis between the theatre and the sanctuary
 the sanctuary of Asclepius was just west of the theatre precinct, bounded by the rock of the Acropolis on the north, and by a retaining-wall still extant on the south. There still exist considerable monumental remains of a colonmade within the precinct; and through an arched doorway in the back wall of the colonnade admission is given to a small round chamber hew in the Acropolis rock, with a dome-shaped
roof, in which is a spring of pure water, doubtless the fountain mentioned by Pausanias. The colonnade was doubtless intended for the patients of the god, who slept here with the hope of revelations in dreams and of marvelous cures (cf. Ar. Plutus, 659 ff.). South of the west end of the colonnade are the foundations of what was probably the temple of Asclepius. Somewhat to the west are the foundations of a building which was probably the house of the priests and other officials of the sanctuary. Two long inscriptions furnish interesting lists of votive offerings found on the site (C.I.A. II, 835, 836), as gold and silver representations of hands, feet, teeth, ears, and the like. It is noteworthy that in describing the south side of the Acropolis Pausanias makes no mention of (1) the Colonnade of Eumenes, and (2) the Music Hall of IIerodes Atticus, two important extant monuments.


























22. Temple of Themis - Hippolytus and Phaedra - Temple of Ge Kourotrophos and Demeter Chloe - The PropyIaea - Temple of Nike Apteros - Death of Aegeus - Pinakotheke - Musaeus Hermes Propylaeus and the Graces of Socrates. .
2. $\Theta$ écidos vaós : the temple of Themas, together with the sanctuaries of Aphrodite Pandemus, Ge, and Demeter Chloe, mentioned below, were doubtless situated at the southwestern foot of the Acropolis, somewhere between the Odeum of Herodes Atticus
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and the Acropolis entrance, but the exact site of none of them has as yet been determined. - 3. $\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a{ }^{\text {' } I \pi \pi r o \lambda}{ }^{2}-$ $\tau \Psi$ : for the story of Hippolytus and Phaedra, see Euripides, Hippolytus, especially 43 ff., 887 ff., 1166 ff .
 Apollodorus quoted by Harpocr. s.v. $\pi \dot{\pi} v \delta \eta \mu o s$ 'A $\phi \rho o \delta i \tau \eta$ says that this was "the name given at Athens to the goddis whose worship had been established somewhere near the ancient agora." This indicates, in conjunctimon with the statement of Pausanias, that the sanctuary of Aphrodite Pandemur was close to the west slope of
the Acropolis. Inscriptions and statuettes belonging to her cult have been found on this site. No trace of the actual sanctuary remains. Miss Harrison, Ancient Athens, pp. 105-110, defends this Aphrodite against the slurs cast on the title Pandemus, and shows that she was a great and holy goddess, giver of increase, one of the ancient Oriental Trinity (Paws. 9, 16, 3), of which the other two were Heavenly Aphrodite and Aphrodite the Averter. -20. Ht $\hat{0}$ : the Athenians annually offered a sacrifice to the goddess Persuasion (Isocrates, 15, 249), and a special seat was, it seems, assigned to her
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priestess in the theatre (C.I.A. III, 351). - 22. Г $\hat{\text { ff Kouporpó } \phi \text { ow: : Solon }}$ spoke of Earth as the "buxom NursingMother " (Frag. 43 in Bergk's Poetae Lyrici Graecism, II, 438). According to Suidas s.v. кoupotpobos, Erich thonus was the first to sacrifice to Earth the Nursing-Mother on the Acropolis; and the Ephebe seem to have kept up the custom (C.I.A. II, 481, 1. 58 sq.). This sanctuary was either at the southwest corner or else due west of the Acropolis, and somewhere along the winding road followed by Pausanias. - $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau p o s$ iepòv X $\lambda$ of $\eta s$ : from the avidance of ancient passages and of inscriptions it is clear that the sanctuary of Demeter Chloe was close to the western entrance to the Acropolis. Aristophanes (Lysistrata, 831 sqq.) describes a man hurrying up the Acropodis slope beside the sanctuary of the Verdant Goddess. Schol. soph. Oed. Col. 1600 locates this sanctuary "near or beside the Acropolis," and quotes a passage from Eupolis, "I will go straight to the Acropolis; for I must sacrifice a ram to Verdant Demeter." The name had reference to the natural hue of foliage.
 olis of Athens is a long and precipitous
mass of rock extending east and west. The north and east sides are naturally steep and inaccessible; the south side slopes more gradually, and needed especoaly strong fortifications, while on the western side the Acropolis slopes gently toward the Areopagus, this forming the natural approach. The Acropotis surface is a plateau, rising toward the east with its highest point (five hundred and twelve feet above the sea) to the northeast of the Parthenon. Its length from east to west is about three hundred and twenty-eight yards; its greatest breadth from north to south is about one hundred and forty-eight yards. See. Excursus VII. - 26. , Tà $\delta$ т $\pi \rho \circ \pi$ vidata: for description of the Propylaea, see Excursus VIII .-28.
 tons of the inscribed bases and pedestales of statues of horsemen have been found, which faced each other on posite sides of the way leading up to the Acropolis. An inscription shows that they were dedicated in honor of a cavalry victory, and mentions the names of three cavalry officers, among them a Xenophon; and it is clear that the original statues were not set up later than 437 в.c. Another inscription on one of the pedestals shows that the
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horseman was later converted into a statue of Germanicus. Pausanias's conjecture was the merest guesswork, showing that he did not carefully read the inscription. The sons of Xenophon were not yet born, and the date is too early for the Xenophon of the inscripion to be the historian.-31. Noons ... 'Antefoov vaós: on the temple of Athena Victory, see Excursus IX.
38. Alycis : the story of the death of Aegeus is similarly told by Diodorus (4, 61), Plutarch (Thes., 17 and 22) and Servius (ad Verge. Amen. 3, 74). At the southern foot of the bastion on which the temple of Athena Victory rests, a quadrangular space on the Acropolis rock has been leveled as if to receive some building. This was doubtless the site of the heroum of Aegeus.
 beer still preserves its walls with the cornice, though the roof is gone. There has been much discussion whether the paintings in this chamber were wall-
paintings or easel-paintings. There are no indications whatever that the walls were painted; nor are there any holes in the walls to show that the paintings were hung from nails. The title of Polemo's treatise on the pictures in the Propylaea, $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \omega ̂ \nu$ 'av $\tau 0 i ̂ s \pi \rho o \pi v \lambda a l o t s$ $\pi<\nu \alpha \kappa \omega \nu$, in its use of $\pi i \nu \alpha \xi$ rather than road $\phi \eta$, is in favor of the view that the pictures were easel-pieces rather than wall-paintings. The careless style of Pausanias makes it impossible to determine with exactness the list of paintinge mentioned, and their authorship, but the list seems to be as follows: (1) Rape of Pallas's image by Diomedes ; (2) Odysseus carrying off the bow of Philoctetes; (3) Slaying of Aegisthus by Orestes, and of sons of Nauplius by Pylades; (4) Sacrifice of Polyxena; (5) Achilles among the maidens of Secyros; (6) Odysseus and Nausicaa with her maidens; (7) Portrait of Alcibiades with trophies of victory at Ne ma; (8) Perseus carrying the head of
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Medusa; (9) Boy with water-pots; (10) Wrestler, by Timaenetus; (11)
 $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ cal 'Ova sanies implies that Odysseus carried off the bow of Philoctetes. This is the story as told by the Attic tragedians, Sophocles in the Philoctetes, and Aeschylus and Euripides in their lost dramas on the same subject (Bio Chrys. Or. 52). But the older tradition followed by Lesches in his Little Iliad ascribes this achievement to Diomedes (Proclus in Epic. Graec. Fragm., ed. Kinkel, p. 36). As to the carrying off of the Palladium, the common tradiion represents Diomedesasplaying the chief part but assisted by Odysseus. So Lesches in the Little Iliad. Thus Diomedes on the Tabula Iliaca is seen carrying the Palladium, while Odysseus follows him. Other versions and monuments give Odysseus the chief part in this achievement. - 52. 'A xt-

that the young Achilles wore female attire and lived among the daughters of Lycomedes, king of Scyros, is told by Hyginus, Fab. 96 ; Bin, 2,15 sq.; Schol. How. Il. I, 968. - 53. Nav$\sigma$ เкq̆: see Homer, Od. $\zeta, 85$ sqq., for the account of the meeting of Odysseus and Nausicaa.
 Athenaeus, 12, p. $534 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}$, quoting Satyrus, states that Alcibiades dedisated two pictures by Aglaophon, one representing himself crowned by Olympas and Pythias, personifying Olympia and Delphi, the other Nemea seated with Alcibiades on her lap. Plutarch (Alcibiades, 16) mentions a painting by Aristophon of Nemea with Alcibiades in her arms. Both doubtless refer to the picture here mentioned by Pausanias. It is necessary, therefore, to account for the discrepancy in authorship. Aristophon was brother of Polysnotus; their father was named Aglaophon. He probably had a grandson















of the same name, and Pliny (N.H. 35,60 ) mentions a painter of this name. who flourished $420-417$ в.c., a date that accords with this explanation. He probably painted the Alcibiades. - 57. Пepocús: for the story of Perseus, see Schol. Pindar, Pyth. 10, 72 ; Schol. Apoll. Rhod. 4, 1515; Strabo, 10, p. 487 ; Ovid, Met. 5, 242 sqq.; Hyginus, Fab. 64.-63. тєтоiŋкev aúvà Ovoнáкрıтоs: Onomacritus, invited to edit the so-called oracles of Musaeus, was expelled by Hipparchus from Athens for having been convicted of forging an oracle, and fled to the coast of Persia (Hdt. 7, 6). He is also said to have forged poems in the name of Orpheus (Clem. Alex. Strom. 1, 21, 131, p. 397, ed. Potter). See Epic. Graec. Fragm., ed. Kinkel, pp. 238 ff.
66. 'Eриๆ̂v . . . кal Xápıtas: also mentioned by Pausanias in $9,35,7$,

 ádí入 $\mu a \tau a$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a l o t s . ~ D i o g . ~ L a e r t . ~ 2, ~$ 5,19 , speaks of Socrates as having been a sculptor, and refers to these same figures of the Graces. See also Pliny, N. H. 36, 32, and Schol. Ar. Nub. 773. The Chiaramonti relief in Rome is supposed to be a copy of the relief attributed to Socrates. There are also other copies, a fact which suggests a celebrated original. Compare also an archaic relief in the Acropolis Museum representing Hermes and the Graces. Considerable doubt rests on the relation of any of these to the work ascribed to Socrates. - 67. $\Sigma \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ рáт $\eta v:$ see Plato, Apology, 20e-21a; Diog. Laert. 2, 5, 37; E. Zeller, Die Philosophie der Griechen ${ }^{3}$, II, 50, concerning the story of the response of the oracle.
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23. The Seven Sages of Greece Hippias and Leaena - Ditrephes Other objects of interest on the Acropolis, among them the Temple of Brauronian Artemis and the Wooden Horse -Thucydides - Phormio.

1. dod. . . . oodouvs: for a list of the Seven Sages, see Taus. 10, 24, 1, who names Thales of Miletus, Bias of Mriene, Pittacus of Mitylene, Cleobulus of Lindus, Solon of Athens, Chilon of Sparta, and as the seventh, in the place of Periander of Corinth, follows Plato (Protag. 343A) in naming Myson the Chenian. Periander was discredted by Hat. 5 , 92 , but he is usually counted among the Seven Sages. Cf. Ding. Laert. 1, 13 ; Anthol. Pal. 7, 81. -7. Séaıvav: Pausanias was evidently not a ware that the story about Leaena had already been told by Pliny (N. H. 34, 72) and Plutarch (De garrulitate,
8). Cicero also seems to have told the story and mentioned the lioness in his lost work "On Glory" (see Philargyrus, ad Verge. Ell. 2, 63). The anecdote also appears in Polyaenus, 8, 45; Clem. Alex. Strom. 4, 19, 122, p. 618, ed. Potter; Athen. 13, p. 598 f.; and Lactantius Divin. Instit. 1, 20. Flutarch and Polyaenus mention that the lioness stood in the Propylaea, and that she had no tongue to commemorate the fact that Leaena betrayed none of her associates. From the order in which it is mentioned, the statue probably stood in the southern end of the eastern portico of the Propylaea.
2. äүалиа 'Aфpoठitๆs: what is probably the pedestal of this statue has been found on the Acropolis. It bears the inscription, Ka入入ıas 'I $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \mathbf{0}$ $\nu i$ io ad $\nu \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa[\epsilon] \nu$ (C.I.A. I, 392), in old Attic characters, and dates from some
 $\beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$. oṽ̃os ò $\Delta u \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \eta s$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda a \tau \epsilon$ є̈ $\pi \rho a \xi \in \nu$ ó $\pi o ́ \sigma a$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ 'А $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ к а i ~ \Theta \rho a ̂ ́ к а s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau о і ̀ s ~ a ̉ ф \iota к о \mu є ́ \nu o v s ~ v i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~$


 $\sigma o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$ • тaút $\eta \nu$ є́ $\pi \alpha \nu a \beta a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ к ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ o ́ ~ \Delta u \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \eta s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \epsilon . ~$







 ồ $\tau 0 \xi \in \mathcal{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$.





 ' $\Upsilon \gamma \iota \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau \epsilon, ~ \eta ๋ \nu ~ ' А \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota o v ̂ \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota, ~ к a i ̀ ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu \hat{a} s$
time between 476 and 456 b.c. On Callias, see Plut. Aristides, 5. On Calamis, see Brunn, Gesch. d. griech. Künstler, I, 129 sq.
 Diitrephes see Thuc. 7, 29 sq. The episode of the Thracian mercenaries took place in 413 в.c. The pedestal for this statue has also been found, bearing the inscription ' $巨 \rho \mu\left\langle\lambda \imath \kappa o s \Delta^{\prime}\right.$ -


I, 402). Pliny, N. H. 34, 74, says that Cresilas made a statue representing a wounded man swooning, doubtless the one here mentioned. This also was probably within the eastern portico of the Propylaea.
 Plutarch, Pericles, 13, says that this image was dedicated to commemorate the restoration to health of a favorite workman of Pericles, who was injured
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 $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \nu \dot{o} \mu o i ́ \omega s \sigma_{\omega} \mu a$.
 $60 \Lambda v \kappa i ́ o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ M u ́ \rho \omega \nu o s ~ \chi a \lambda \kappa o v ̂ \nu ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a, ~ o ̛ ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı \rho \rho a \nu \tau \eta ́ \rho ı o \nu . ~$ Pyrrhus (N. H. 34, 80). The inscrip- then …s. ( by a fall from the Propylaea. Athena, according to the legend, communicated to Pericles in a dream the treatment by which the man was cured. Pliny, N. H. 22,44 , tells a similar story, associated however with the Parthenon. The pedestal is still in place, just outside the eastern portico of the Proxy-

 vaîos (C.I.A. I, 335). Pliny also menlions a statue of Athena Hygeia by ton and the discrepancies throw doubt on the story of Plutarch. On representations of the goddess Hygeia in ancient art, see W. Wroth, "Hygeia," J.H.S. V (1884), 82-101; F. Koepp, "Die Attische Hygeia," A.M. X (1885), 255-271.
60. xa入koûv raî̃a: after leaving the Propylaea, Pausanias goes southeastward to the precinct of Artemis Branrona. As the perirrhanterion was a











basin containing water which stood at the entrance of every sanctuary that worshipers might sprinkle themselves before entering the precinct (Pollux, 1,$8 ; 1,25 ; 1,32$ ), it has been conjectured that this bronze statue of the boy with the basin may have been placed for this purpose at the entrance to the precinct of Brauronian Artemis. -61. Múpavos Mepota: cf. 2, 27, 2. Pliny mentions a statue of Perseus by Myron (N. H. 34, 57), which may be the same as this. Furtwängler (Maistew. pp. 382-388) conjectures that two extant heads of Perseus, one in Rome and one in the British Museum, are replicas of this common original. 62. 'Aprílioos ieporv: southeast of the Propylaea is a terrace in the shape of an irregular quadrangle, one hundread and fifty-seven and one half feet from east to west, which was doubtless the ancient precinct of Artemis. There is no evidence of the existence of a temple. It was probably merely a precinct with images and an altar. -


Artemis, which Iphigenia was said to have brought from the Thracian Chersonese to Brauron in Attica, wasequally claimed by Brauron, Sparta, Comana in Cappadocia, and Laodicea in Syria. See $1,33,1 ; 3,16,7-11 ; 8,46,3$. Pausanies himself elsewhere locates the ${ }^{*}$ real image at Sparta (3, 16, 7-11). Inscriptions indicate that as early as 346345 в.c. there were two images in the Brauronian sanctuary at Athens(C.I.A. II, 751, 754, 755-758), one designated the idol (hedos), the other the image (agama). The latter was the statue attributed to Praxiteles.
 ment is confirmed by Hesychius (s.v. סoúpos ( $\pi \pi \pi 0$ ), who also mentions the four men peeping out of the wooden horse. Aristophanes (Aves, 1128) speaks of "horses as big as the Wooden Horse," and the scholia mention the Acropolis statue. Blocks of the pedestal have been found on the Acropolis, bearing an inscription which states that it was dedicated by Chaeredemus of Coele and made by Strongylion.
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Pausanias elsewhere ( $9,30,1$ ) speaks of Strongylion as extremely skillful in modeling oxen and horses.
73. 'Emrxapivov: the base of this statue has been found, bearing an inscription (C.I.A. I, 376), which records that it was dedicated by Epicharinus himself and was made by Critius and Nesiotes, the sculptors of the group of the tyrannicides $(1,8,5)$. Inscriptions show that the true spelling was Kpicios, not Kpırias, as the manuscripts have it here and in 6, 3, 5. - 74. Olvoßlq: Pausanias implies, without expressly saying, that there were on the Acropolis statues of Oenobius, Hermolycus, and Phormio. Thucydides was banished in 424 b.c., and was in exile twenty years (Thus. 4, 104; 5, 26), so that his return was in 404 b.c. Pausanias' statement implies that he did not return under the general amnesty of that year, but by a special decree secured by Oenobius. He may have
been excepted from the general amnesty. (Cf. Classen, Thukydides, Finleitungs, pp. xxiii ff.) The accounts of Thucydides' death are discrepant: one says that he died in Thrace (Plot. Cimon, 4), a second that he was nordered in Athens (Marcellinus, Vit. Thucyd. 31-33, 55), a third that he died a natural death in Athens (Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, pp. 202 sq .).
 Herodotus $(9,105)$ tells of Hermolycus the pancratiast who distinguished himself at the battle of Mycale, and was afterward killed in battle at Cyrnus in Euboea and buried at Geraestus. -
 Phormio is related with some variations in Schol. Ar. Pac. 347, on the authority of Androtion in the third book of his Attica; Androtion was a pupil of Isocrates and a contemporary of Demosthenes (Suidas, s.v. 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { d } \rho o \tau l \omega \nu ; ~ F r a g . ~\end{gathered}$ Hist. Gr., ed. Müller, I, lxxxiii).
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24. Athena striking Marsyas, and other statues of gods and men on the Acropolis -Worship of Zeus Polieus - The Parthenon - Statues of Athena in the Parthenon - Apollo Parnopius.

1. 'A0ךvâ . . . Mapoviav ralouqa: the story is that Marsyas picked up the pipes which Athena had thrown away in disgust, and afterwards attained such skill in playing upon them that he challenged Apollo himself to a musical contest. See Hyg. Fab. 165 ; Plat. de cohib. ira, 6; Ashen. 14, p. $616 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{f}$. It has been conjectured that this group was identical with a work of Myron, mentioned by Pliny, N. H. 33, 57. There are several representations of the satyr which are doubtless copies of some famous original, probably the one here mentioned. The best of these is
the Marsyas of the Lateran. - 4. $\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \omega s{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \times \boldsymbol{x} \eta$ : Theseus's fight with the Minotaur is frequently represented on coins and vase-paintings, both redfigured and black-figured; also in one of the metopes of the so-called Theseam. The Minotaur is portrayed regularly with the body of a man and the head of a bull.
2. $\Phi_{\rho}(\xi$ os : probably the statue by Naucydes of a man sacrificing a ram, mentioned by Pliny (N. H. 34, 80), is the one here mentioned. - 11. 'Hpaкर̇́ovs . . . toùs $\delta$ pákovtas: cf. Mind. Sem. 1, 50 ; Theocr. 24, 1 ; Apoll. 2,
 tions of the birth of Athena, which was the subject of the sculptures on the east pediment of the Parthenon, see Excursus X. - 13. taûpos ávád $\eta_{\mu \mu}$ :
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the bull was of bronze, and was generally known as "the bull on the Acropolis" (cf. Athen. 9, p. 396 d ; Hesych. s.v. $\beta_{0} \hat{\text { aus }}$ èv $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ). Near by was the figure of a ram in silver bronze, coupled with the Wooden Horse by the comic poet Plato on account of its size (Hesych. s.v. крしょs $\dot{d} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \delta \kappa \varepsilon \rho \omega s)$.
17. 'A0ךvầ . . . 'Epyduøv: this remark was probably elicited by the sight of an image, altar, or temple of Athena Ergane, or the Worker, an dpithe applied to the goddess as patroness of the useful arts. There is much discussion as to the site of this image, altar, or temple, some authorities localing it between the Artemis Brauronia precinct and the Parthenon, others to the north of the Acropolis. Five inscriptions have been found containing dedications to Athena the Worker (C.I.A. II, 1428, 1429, 1434, 1438; IV, 373 ${ }^{271}, 205$ ). - 18. áк ${ }^{2} \lambda_{0}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {'E quass : cf. }}$ $4,33,3$. Thus. 4,27 mentions the stone images of Hermes, shaped like square pillars, commonly placed in the doorways of private houses and of sanetuaries in Attica. - 19. $\sigma \pi \pi=v \delta a l \omega v$ Sal$\mu \omega v$ : this image is mentioned as a third
instance of the piety of the Athenians. There is much dispute as to the correctness of the text and as to the temple here alluded to. The natural implication is that there was a temple of Athena Ergave between the precinct of Artemis Brauronia and the Parthenon along the road followed by Pausanias, but there is no monumental evidence of this. Here actual remains of a building known as the Chalkotheke or "storehouse for bronzes" (C.I.A. II, 61) have been laid bare. On this whole question, see Dörpfeld, A.M. XIV (1889), 304-313, "Chalkothek and ErganeTemper," and Michaelis, Der Parthenon, p. 306. - 22. Kגeoltov: according to $6,20,4$, where the inscription on this statue is quoted, Cleoetas was famous for having invented a method for starting horses at the Olympic games. His ingenuity was shown in silvering the nails of the statue. 23. Гभ̂s äүал $\mu a$ : an inscription, $\Gamma \hat{\eta} s$
 rock about thirty feet north of the reventh column on the north side of the Parthenon, counting from the west, determines the site of this image. The



 тòv $\pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \beta \epsilon \beta o v \lambda \epsilon ข \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ a u ̛ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ * " I \tau v \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta к \epsilon \nu$ 'А入кацє́vךs. $\pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda a i ́ a s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a ̂ ~$


date of the inscription, judged from the style of the letters, was the end of the first or the beginning of the second century A.d. On vase-paintings Earth is usually represented as a woman rising from the ground, her lower limbs not appearing, and this may have been the form of the image. 25. Tıцоөधos кт $\lambda$.: the two statues stood on a single pedestal composed of four blocks of Pentelic marble, two of which have been found on the Acropolis, bearing the inscription, K $\delta \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{T} / \mu[0] \theta \in o v$. T $\mu \mu 6 \theta$ eos Kov [ $\nu o s$ ] (C.I.A. II, 1360). 26. Прókı $\downarrow$ : Michaelis thinks we have this group in a statue discovered in 1830, now in the Acropolis Museum. It represents a woman standing, clothed in long flowing robes; against her right knee a naked boy is pressing. The workmanship is decidedly inferior, but Pausanias states that Alcamenes "dedicated " it, not that he made it. Then it may not be the great Alcamenes. The style points to the end of the fifth or the beginning of the fourth century. See A.M. I (1876), pp. 304-307. 28. тò фutìv tग̂s exalas: on coins of Athens this subject is represented in two different ways: (a) in the one there is the actual contest, as in the strife ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \iota s$ ) represented in the western pediment of the Parthenon; (b) in the other
there is nothing more than a peaceful colloquy. Probably the group mentioned here by Pausanias was of the latter type. In this the two deities show their tokens and calmly await the issue. Poseidon has his left foot advanced and resting on a rock, while with his right hand he grasps his trident; Athena rests her right hand upon the olive tree, and behind her are her serpent and shield. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Num. Comm. on Paus., pp. 130 ff . with pl. Z, xi-xvi. This group closely resembles a marble relief now in Sinyrna (see Frazer, II, 302, Fig. 23).
 vos Пodués: coins also probably preserve the types of these two statues, the older being the xoanon, or an archaic copy of it in stone, the later by Leochares an idealized copy of it. In the one, Zeus strides forward, the left hand extended, the right drawn back and grasping the thunderbolt in the act of hurling it; in the other, Zeus stands in an easy attitude, the left knee bent, the right hand holding the thunderbolt half down, the left extended over an altar round which is entwined a serpent. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Num. Comm. on Paus., pp. 137 ff . with pl. BB, i, ii, iii. - 30. тd̀ кa日coтף-
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 $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon} \hat{\lambda}^{\lambda} \epsilon \kappa \nu \nu$.
















of the Bouphonia or Diipolia, as this ancient ceremony of Zeus was indifferently called, is supplemented by Paus. $1,28,10$, and is described more fully by Theophrastus, quoted by Porphyry (De abstinentia, $2,29 \mathrm{sq}$.). The day on which the sacrifice took place was the 14th of Scirophorion (June-July). See

Miss Harrison, Prolegomena, pp. 30 ff .
 the Parthenon, see Excursus X.
 кeital: see Excursus $X$ for an account of the pediment sculptures of the Parthenon. - 44. тò ăүадла: see Excursus $\mathbf{X}$.
















 to have been a close connection in mythology and ritual between Pandora and Athena. According to Suidas s.v. Mapévol, Pandora was a daughter of Erechtheus. Philochorus is quoted to the effect that whoever sacrificed an ox to Athena was obliged to sacrifice a sheep to Pandora (Harpocr. and Suid. s.v.'En(ßorov; Etymol. Magn. p. 358, s.v. $\epsilon \pi(\beta o t o v)$. For the legend of Pandora, the first woman, see Hesiod, Theog. 561 sqq.; Opp. 47 sqq. -64. elcóva . . . 'Aסplavov: the inscribed pedestal of a statue of Hadrian has been found between the Parthenon and the Propylaea (C.I.A. III, 488).一elkóva . . . 'Iфикрárous: this statue was bestowed in recognition of Iphicrates' services in cutting to pieces a Spar-
$\tan$ regiment in 302 b.c. (Xen. Hell. $4,5,10 \mathrm{sq} q$.). It was of bronze (Dem. 23,130, p. 663). Iphicrates himself alluded to it in a fragment of a speech preserved by Aristotle (Rhetoric, 2, 23, p. 1397 в). In the Parthenon were also painted portraits of Themistocles (Paus. 1, 1, 2) and Heliodorus Halis (Paus. 1, 37, 1). Here too was kept the silver-footed seat in which Xerses sat watching the battle of Salamis (Harpocr. s.v. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \rho 6 \pi o v s \delta i \phi \rho o s)$.
67. Пapvótov : the worship of Apollo Parnopius was prevalent among the Aeolic Greeks of Asia (Strabo, 13, p. 613). With the epithet Parnopius, Locust, cf. Smintheus, the Mouse-God, also applied to Apollo (II. A, 39). Furtwängler (Meisterw. pp. 659-671) attributes the statue to the elder Praxiteles.











25. Other statues on the Acropolis Olympiodorus - Athens after the batthe of Chaeronea - Confederation of Greek states against the Macedonians - Leosthenes - Demetrius of Phale-rum-Lachares.
 may have been the one made by Cresilas which Pliny mentions (N. H. 34, 74). What is probably a part of the pedestal has been found, in a fragment of Pentelic marble, bearing the inscription
 IV, $403 a$, p. 154). Three ancient busts of Pericles are extant, all copies of one original, which is conjectured to be Cresilas' statue. They represent Pericles bearded and helmeted, with serene and noble countenance. The best of the three is in the British Museum. See Furtw. Meisterw. d. griech. Plastic,
 years ago a potsherd was found on the Acropolis, bearing the inscription ${ }^{\mathbf{B}} \dot{d} \nu-$ - $1 \pi \pi$ os 'Applфovos (C.I.A.IV, 570, p. 192 sq.) and in 1891 a potsherd similarly inscribed was found in Athens near Piraeus Street (C.I.A. IV, 571). These
were doubtless used in voting the ostracism of Xanthippus (see Aristotle, Resp. Athens. 22). Pausanias is mistaken in speaking of the battle of Mycale as a seafight; it was a land-battle. Xanthinpus commanded the Athenian forces on this occasion. See HAt. 9, 98-108, 114 ; Plat. Pericles, 3.-5. 'Avakpéwv: there is in the Jacobsen Collection at Copenhagen a statue of Anacreon, formerly in the Villa Borghese at Rome. It represents the poet as a bearded man in the prime of life, standing and playing on the lyre. The original was doubtless a fifth-century work, and one well known, as there are extant four replicas of the head, the best one being in Berlin. Kekule assigns the original to Cresilas, Furtwängler to Phidias, and both judge it the statue on the Acropolis here mentioned. Against this identification is the fact that Pausanias says the statue represented the poet as drunk, while the Copenhagen statue represents him as sober. See Kekulé, Jrb. d. arch. Inst. VII (1892), 119126 ; Furtwängler, Meisterw. p. 92 sq. -8. $\Delta \in t \nu \circ \mu \hat{v} \eta \eta$ : Pliny (N. H. 34, 50)



















 єí入ovтo 'A
mentions a sculptor Dinomenes, who flourished OI. 95 (about 400 bic.).
12. Гıyávтんv: these figures were doubtless dedicated by Attalus I, king of Pergamus, to commemorate his victories over the Gauls (cf. 1, 4, 5). They were located, as Pausanias states, on the south wall, and doubtless directly over the theatre, as Plutarch (Anton. 60) relates how the figure of Dionysus in the group representing the giants was blown from its place by a hurricane and fell into the theatre.

The material was probably of bronze. Marble copies of figures of these groups are preserved in the museums of Europe, five of Gauls, three of Persians, one Giant, and one Amazon, all representing the vanquished. The Athens statues were probably reduced replicas of figures in bronze at Pergamus, exccuted by Epigonus. See Frazer, II, 322-325; Harrison, Ancient Athens, pp. 474-477. - 17. From here to 26, 3 follows a digression on Olympiodorus and the contest with the Macedonians.




 $35 \rho \iota \nu$ Өí $\omega \nu$ í $\theta \mu \circ \hat{v}$ покроì Фшкєîs Өєбба入оi Ká $\nu a ̂ \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ A i t \omega \lambda \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ B o \iota \omega \tau o i ̀ ~ S \epsilon ̀ ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ́ \omega \nu$




 $\nu a i ̂ o s ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \eta s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ \xi \iota \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ a v ̉ т o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota ~ \delta о к \hat{\omega \nu}$
 тoùs ${ }^{\text {T }} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\nu as} \mathrm{\cdot} \mathrm{ó} \mathrm{\pi ó} \mathrm{\sigma o} \mathrm{\iota} \mathrm{\gamma à} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\mu} \mathrm{\iota} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\theta ov̂} \mathrm{\pi a} \mathrm{\rho à} \Delta a \rho \epsilon i ́ \varphi ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma a \tau \rho a ́-~$
 - $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о s$ ' $A \lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \Lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s ~ \epsilon ̈ \phi \theta \eta ~ к о \mu i ́ \sigma a s ~ \nu a v \sigma i \nu ~$









 ти́раעขóv тє 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̈ \pi \rho a \xi \epsilon ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \Delta \eta \mu \eta ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$
56. Пávaktov reíxos: situated on the borders of Boeotia, and captured in 322 в.c. by the Boeotians, who dismantled it (Thuc. 5, 3, 42; Dem. 19,
326). Cassander later garrisoned it, but Demetrius Poliorcetes recaptured it and restored it to the Athenians (Plut. Demetrius, 23).
(1) $\quad$ Ch. 25, $7^{\circ}$ Фа $\nu 0 \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau o v,[\tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s] ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \nu ~ \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi o ́ \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ̀ ~ \sigma o \phi i ́ a ̨ . ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~$













 'A $\downarrow \tau \iota \gamma o ́ \nu o v ~ \tau \nu \rho a ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega ́ \sigma a s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a \rho a v-~$


 Sè є́vтòs $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta o ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a i ́ o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ M o v \sigma \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̉ \pi a \nu \tau \iota \kappa \rho v ̀ ~$




67. Tท̀ $\boldsymbol{v}$ axápous tuparvifa: see Droysen, Gesch. d. Hell. II, 2, 251253. He removed from the Parthenon the three hundred Persian shields sent by Alexander the Great to Athens to be dedicated to Athena (Arrian, Anab. $1,16,7$; Plat. Alexander, 16). Achenaeus ( 9, p. 405 E ) quotes a comic poet as saying that Lachares "had made Athena naked.'"
76. To Moveciov: Pausanias omits from his description the hill district southwest of the $\Lambda$ acropolis embracing the Onyx, the hill of the Nymphs, and the Museum hill, but here incidentally mentions the last. The monument here mentioned is still conspicuous.
26. Olympiodorus - Artemis Leucophryene - Statue of Athena by Endoes - The Erechtheum - Image of
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 Athena that fell from Heaven - Cal- The outer•Ceramicus contained the limachus.
 trius, 46) mentions this revolt, which probably took place in 288 в.c. See Droysen, Gesch. d. Hell. II, , 2, 300. graves of thirteen who fell in the assault on the Museum hill ( $1,29,3$ ).
28. पєukoфpuŋ́vŋs: this title was given Artemis from Leucophrys, a town in the valley of the Maeander.

 $\mu \iota \nu$ ar $\gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ є่ $\nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$.












Xenophon (Hell. 3, 2, 19; 4, 8, 17) mentions her sanctuary there. The temple at Magnesia on the Maeander, alluded to by Pausanias, was an Ionic structure built by the architect Hermogenes (Vitruv. 3, 2, 6; 7, praef. 12). According to Strabo (14, p. 647) it was the third largest temple in Asia, and, though inferior in size and number of votive offerings to the Artemis temple at Ephesus, was far superior in its architectural features. The remains of the temple were excavated in 18911893 by the German Archaeological Institute.-33. "Evסotos: although Pausanies speaks of Endoeus as an Achenan, there is some ground for thinking he was an. Ionian Greek, as two inscribed bases of statues by him found in Athens are in Ionic characters (C.I.A. I, 477, $\Delta \in \lambda \tau$ lo v d $\rho \chi$. 1888, 208 sq.). He is also known to have made images for temples in Ionia, including the em-
age of Artemis at Ephesus. He flourished at Athens in the latter part of the sixth century bic., the age of Pisistratugs. A seated statue of Athena, now in the Acropolis Museum, is usually ascribed to him. The Callias who dedicated it was probably the opponent of Pisistratus mentioned by Herodotus ( 6,121 ).
 the Erechtheum, see Excursus XI. 41. $\beta \omega \mu \mathrm{ol}:$ the Athenians frequently identified Erechtheus with Poseidon
 (C.I.A. I, 387) has a dedication to Poseidon Erechtheus. This priesthood was styled that of Poseidon Erechtheus (Ps.-Plut. Vil. x Or. p. $843_{\text {в , c }}$; C.I.A. III, 805). The seat reserved in the theatre was for "the priest of earthholding Poseidon' and Erechtheus" (C.I.A. III, 276). - 42. ท̄pwos Boúrov: Buts was either a twin brother of
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 $\sigma \iota \nu \cdot \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \tau$ т̀̀ ф $\rho \in ́ a \rho$ є́s $\sigma v \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \kappa v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

 $\sigma \beta \eta^{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\omega} \rho a s$ qa $\nu \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.







 Erechtheus (Apollod. 3, 14, 8) or a son of Poseidon (Eustath. on Homer I. A, 1, p. 13; Etymon. Magn. p. 209 sq., s.vv. Boutdóal and Bour logs). The ancient family of the Butads or Eteobutads furnished both the priests of Poseidon Erechtheus and the priestesses of Athena Polis (Aeschin. 2, 147; Hearpoor. and Phot. s.v.'Eteoßouráoac et al.). The statesman Lycurgus was of this family.
 mark is evidently intended to explain the epithet Polis (from $\pi$ © $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{s}$ ) which was the regular title of the Athena of the Erechtheum (see Frazer, II, 573 sqq., Appendix). The phraseology was suggested by Thus. 2, 15, who says that in early times the word $\pi 6$ dis was restricted to mean the Acropolis. Cf. C.I.A. I, 1, 4, 139. The image was
made of olive-wood (Schol. Dem. 22, 13, p. 597). As to the type, there is some dispute whether the goddess was represented seated or standing. - 58 . $\lambda_{\text {úxvov: the }}$ lamp with its perpetual light in the Erechtheum is mentioned by Strabo (9, p. 396). During the siege of Athens by Sulla it was allowed to go out for lack of oil (Plat. Noma, 3; Sulla, 13). The date of Callimachus is not positively known, but he probably belongs to the close of the fifth centry. To him is attributed the invendion of the Corinthian capital (Vitruv. 4, 1, 9). He made a seated image of Hera at Plataea (9, 2, 7). Pliny (N. H. 34, 92) says that the epithet какı̧b$\tau \epsilon \chi$ Dos, "Refiner away of Art," was applied to him because of his excessive fastidiousness; Vitruvius $(4,1,9)$ that it was on account of "the elegance and





















subtlety" of his work in marble. See Furtwängler, Meisterw. pp. 200-206.
27. Offerings in the Temple of Athena Polias - The Olive Tree - The Arrephoric Maidens - Statue of the Priestess Lysimache - Group of Erechtheus and Eumolpus - Statues of Tolmides and his son - Athena statues - Boar II.unt - Fight of IIeracles with Cycnus - Heracles and Theseus - Minos and the Minotaur.
 Old Athena Temple, see Excursus XII.
11. тєpl $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ тf̂s tialas: Pausanias does not tell the site of the sacred olive, but from other sources we learn that it was in the Pandrosium to the west of the Erechtheum (see Dion. Hal. de Dinarcho judicium, 3 ; Apollod. 3, 14, 1). Herodotus $(8,55)$ speaks of it as within the precincts of the Erechtheum. Pliny (N. H. 16, 240) and Hyginus (Fab. 164) speak of this sacred olive as existing in their time. Herodotus's account of the burning and sprouting again is not so marvelous
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 $30 \pi о v \sigma \iota \nu, \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ a ̈ ̀ \lambda \lambda о ~ \tau \iota ~ к о \mu i ́ \zeta о v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma к є к а \lambda \nu \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu . ~$


(8, 55).-17. Mavסpóбov vás: as to Pandrosus, see $1,18,2$. The templewas situated just east of the Frechtheum. The Epheboi sacrificed to Athena Polias and to Pandrosus (C.I. A. III, 481). The pedestal of a statue to one of the girls called Arrephoroi bears an inscription that she had served Athena Polias and Pandrosus (C.I.A. III, 887 ; cf. C.I.A. II, 1390). Thallo, one of the seasons, was worshiped along with Pandrosus $(9,35,2)$.
20. тap0évol 8v́o . . ápp $\eta \phi$ ópous: the Arrephoroi were four girls of noble
birth, between the ages of seven and eleven, chosen by the king archon to perform the service described by Pausanias. They wore white robes, and gold ornaments if worn by them became sacred. Two of the Arrephoroi began the weaving of the sacred robe presented periodically to Athena. The festival here described was called Arrephoria, and was held in the month of Scirophorion (June-July). (Cf. Ar. Lys. 641 sq., and Schol.; Etymol. Magn. p. 149 , s.vv. á $\rho \rho \eta \phi$ b $\rho \circ$ and á $\rho \rho \eta \phi \rho \rho \epsilon i \nu$; Hesych. and Suid. s.v. d $\rho \rho \eta \phi o \rho l a, ~ e t c$.







 $\dot{\eta} \gamma o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ avs $\tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \chi \dot{\omega}-$






 $\pi 0 \rho \forall \forall \eta \sigma a s$


 $\nu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ \gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau a ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a i ̂ a \cdot ~ к a i ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ ~ a \epsilon \epsilon đ \alpha ́ \kappa \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \delta ́ ́ \nu, ~$
 this is probably the statue, made by Demetrius, of Lysimache who was priestess of Athena for sixty-four years (Pliny, N. H. 34, 76). Plutarch (de vitioso pudore, 14) tells an anecdote of her. Demetrius was a realist who cared more to produce a good likeness than a beautiful work of art (Lucian, Philops. 18-20; Quint. 12, 10, 9). He probably flourished in the first half of the fourth century bic. This statue was doubtless one of a series of
figures of priestesses of Athena, which stood near the Erechtheum. Inscribed bases of some have been found (C.I.A. II, 1377, 1378, 1386, 1392 в, 350) and perhaps the archaic femalestatues in the Acropolis Museum were of this group.
40. To $\lambda_{\mu} \delta_{\eta}$ : with the following account of Tolmides cf. Thus. 1, 108 and 113 ; Did. 9, 84; 12, 6; Plutarch, Pericles, 18. The battle of Corona in which Tolmides fell was fought in 447 b.c. He and his men were buried in the outer Ceramicus (1,29, 14).
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 $\tau \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon i \pi \nu \varphi \omega$ тov̂ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \varrho \nu \tau o s, \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a, ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta o \iota \epsilon \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ a u ̉ r o ̀ \nu ~$













 Hesiod, Scutum Herculis, 345 sqq.; Eur. Herc. Fur. 389 sqq.; Apollod. 2, 7, 7; Diod. 4, 37.
71. крךтiסas Alýa: cf. 2, 32, 7; Plut. Thes. 3, 6; Diod. 4, 59. The subject of Theseus lifting the rock and finding under it the tokens of his birth is represented on coins of Ath-
ens and on other ancient monuments. See Baumeister, Denkmäler, p. 1786; Imhoof-Blumer and Garduer, Num. Comm. on Paus. p. 146, with pl. DD, ii.
 capture of the Marathonian bull, see Plut. Thes. 14; Diod. 4, 59 ; Ilyginus, Fab. 38. Pausanias says Theseus sacrificed the bull to Athena; the other
(1) Wa, Ch. 27, 10





















 100 є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \circ v$ тov̂ Mapa $\theta \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu$.
authorities, to,Apollo. This exploit was represented on one of the metopes of the so-called "Theseum"; and on a fine red-figured vase in the British Museum (J.H.S. II, 1881, 64, with pl. X).
 the story of the Cretan Labyrinth, see Roscher, Lexikon, II, 1778 ff. The Labyrinth has in recent years been identified as the palace of King Minos in Cnossus, and has been thoroughly
excavated by Mr. Arthur Evans. See Annual of the British School at Athens, Nos. vi-xi (1899-1905); Roland M. Burrows, The Discoveries in Crete, London, 1907. The excavations brought to light numerous clay tablets, sculptures, frescoes, and the like, and have made known a pre-Mycenaean civilization, called the Minoan, which will probably prove to be the connecting link between the arts of Egypt and of Greece.










28. Cylon - Athena of Phidias Reliefs on the shield of the Promachos - Bronze chariot and Leminian Athena of Phidias - Walls of the Acropolis Clepsydra - Caves of Apollo and Pan - The Areopagus - Sanctuary of the Semnai - Statues of Pluto, of Hermes, and of Ge -Grave of Odysseus - Excursus on the Athenian courts.

1. Kú入 $\omega v a$ : Pausanias's explanations are hardly right. In all probability the statue was set up as an expiatory offering for the massacre of Cylon's followers in violation of promises given to them when in sanctuary on the Acropolis. See Mdt. 5, 71; Thus. 1, 126; Plat. Solon, 12. Cylon's Olympic victory was won in OI. 35, 640 в.c. (See J. H. Wright, Harv. Stud. in Class. Phil. III, 1 ff.)
 the image styled by Demosthenes (19, 272) "the great bronze Athena," and usually known as the Promachos or champion, though this epithet was first applied to it in Schol. Dem. 22, 13, p. 597. (Cf. C.I. A. III, 638.) It was probably set up at the close of the Persian war. Observe that Pausanias does not
say the point of the spear and the crest of the helmet were visible from Sunium, but on the voyage from Sunium to Acthens. The Acropolis can be seen ouly after Cape Zoster is passed. The isconception of this passage has led to the false calculation formerly given as to the height of the statue, namely seventy feet or thereabout. Michaelis (A.M. II (1877), 89 sq.) calculates that it was about twenty-five feet, or with the pedestal thirty feet high. W. Gurlitt (Analeta Graeciensia, Graz, 1893, pp. 101121) presents an interesting argument to the effect that the bronze Athena was preserved at Constantinople down to 1205A.d. and has beendescribedindetail by a Byzantine author. A quadrangular platform, suitable for a pedestal about eighteen feet in diameter, which is cut in the Acropolis rock about thirty feet from the Propylaea, is usually identifled as the site of the statue. - 10. topev̂oal Môv: Athenaeus (11, p. 782в) speaks of Mys as famous for chasing or working in relief on metal, and mentons a cup representing in relief the sack of Troy with an inscription attribouting the design to Parrhasius and the









workmanship to Mys. Mys is mentioned as a famous artist in his line by Pliny (N. H. 33, 155), by Propertius (4, 9, 14, ed. Paley), and by Martial ( 8,34 and $51 ; 14,95$ ). He doubtless flourished in the latter part of the fifth century. Cf. H. Brunn, Gesch. d. griech. Künstler, II, 97, 409 sq. 14. ápua: the victory over the Boeotians and Chalcidians occurred about 507 b.c. The prisoners were kept in chains until ransomed, when their fetters were hung on the Acropolis. Out of the tithe of the ransom the Athenians made the four-horse chariot of bronze. Herodotus $(5,77)$ says it stood on the left as one entered the Propylaea, and quotes the inscription in elegiac couplets. From fragments of the inscription that have been found (C.I.A. IV, 334a, 78; I, 334) Frazer infers that the original chariot set up about 507 b.c. was carried off by the Persians, and that a new chariot was set up in its place after 450 в.c. The chariot must have been moved between the time of Herodotus and that of Pausanias from outside to within the Acropolis precinct. - 16. Пeplк $\lambda_{\text {मेs }}$ : see on 1 , 25, 1. - 18. $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \nu$ las: Lucian (Imagi-
nes, 4,6 ) speaks of this statue in extravagant terms as the most praiseworthy of Phidias's works, and for his ideal of feminine beauty selects from the Lemnian Athena " the outline of the whole face, and the tenderness of the cheeks, and the shapely nose." For similar exalted praise cf. Aristides, Or. 1, Vol. II, 554, ed. Dindorf; Pliny, N. H. 34, 54 ; Himerius, Or. 21, 5 ; Anthol. Append. Planud. 169 and 170. Furtwängler (Meisterw. pp.3sqq., with pls. i, ii, iii, xxxii, 2) argues that copies of the Lemnian Athena are to be seen in two marble statues of Athena in Dresden, another at Cassel, and a head in Bologna. The Dresden statues and the Bologna head are in the style of Phidias, he argues, and copies of a bronze original. He thinks the statue was dedicated by the Athenian colonists in Lemnos before they set out from Athens, between 451 and 447 в.c.
2. ти̂ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ áкрото́дєt: the southern wall of the Acropolis was built out of the produce of the spoils won from the Persians by Cimon, especially at the great victory of the Eurymedon (Plut. Cimon, 13 ; de glor. Ath. 7 ; Corn. Nepos, Cimon, 2). The ancient






masonry of the circuit wall is still standing，though in some parts ob－ scured by a mediaeval or modern cas－ ing．At the southeast corner a piece of Cimon＇s wall is visible，forty－five feet in height．Westward of this point it is pretty well hidden by the later casing and buttresses．The north wall is ancient Greek work，probably of Themistocles＇s or Cimon＇s time ；pieces of the colonnade of the old Athena temple，destroyed 480 b．c．，are built into it．The eastern wall seems to have been entirely rebuilt on the old foundations in the Middle Ages．Be－ sides these extensive remains of Ci － mon＇s wall，there exist at various points pieces of a much older fortification wall．Thus a well－preserved section， twenty feet thick，extends from the Propylaea to the southern wall．Other pieces have been uncovered at the south－ east corner of the Acropolis and to the southwest of the Parthenon．This primitive wall is built of polygonal， almost unhewn blocks，measuring from three to four and one half feet in length．It probably ran originally all round the edge of the Acropolis．This prehistoric fortification is doubtless the Pelasgic wall here mentioned by Pau－ sanias．Other writers speak of this Pelasgic or Pelargic wall（Hdt．6， 137 ； Dion．Hal．Antiq．Rom．1，28；Photius
s．v．Пe入aprıкठv ；Schol．Ar．Aves，832， 1139 ；Etymol．Magn．p．659，s．v．He－入aprıkठv；Bekker，Anecd．Graec．p．299， 1． 16 sqq ．）．This Pelasgic wall appears to have had nine gates（Suidas，s．v． are $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ；Bekker，Anecd．Graec．p．419， 1． 27 sqq．；Schol．Soph．Oed．Col．489）． These were probably arranged within each other at the western entrance of the Acropolis，where the wall may have been trebled or quadrupled．It seems to have subsisted as a fortress as late as 510 в．c．，when Hippias was besieged ＂in the Pelasgic fortress＂（Hdt．5，64； Arist．Resp．Ath．19）．If not already pulled down by the Athenians，it was doubtless dismantled by the Persians in 480 в．c．（Hdt． 8,53 ；9，13）．Yet a pre－ cinct to the northwest of the Acropolis continued to bear down to Roman times the name of Pelasgicum or Pelargicum（Thuc．2， 17 ；Lucian，Pis－ cator，42，47）．－20．Meגaoyoùs olkq－ бavtás потe ùmd̀ тク̀v dкро́то入ıv：both Herodotus $(6,187)$ and Strabo（9，p．401） state that the Pelasgians dwelt at the foot of Mt．Hymettus．

25．$\pi \eta \nmid \eta$ ：Pausanias，passing through the Propylaea，turns to the right and descends by a stairway to the spring called the Clepsydra．The spring is still to be seen，situated on the north－ west face of the Acropolis rock and reached by a narrow flight of steps
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back of the pedestal of Agrippa. For ancient references to it, see Ar. Lys. 911 sq. and Schol., and Hesychius, s.v.
 Antonius, 34. -'A ${ }^{\prime} \delta \lambda \lambda \omega v o s$ iepov: for the tale of Apollo, Creusa, and the infant Ion, see Eur. Ion, 10 sqq., 283 sqq., 402 sqq., 936 sqq., 1398 sqq., 1482 sqq. After the mention of Apollo's cave there is a lacuna, but the subsequent account of Pan leaves no doubt that Pausanias had mentioned Pan's cave, which was adjacent. Cf.
 $\pi \epsilon \lambda a s$. The two caverns which are side by side at the northwest corner of the Acropolis just beyond the Clepsydra are usually identified as the caves of Apollo and Pan. On the sanctuary of Apollo, see Excursus III and Miss Harrison, Primitive Athens, pp. 66-83. Herodotus $(6,105)$ tells the story of the institution of the worship of Pan in Athens. Lucian (Bis Acc. 9) locates the cave of Pan a little above the Pelargicum. Aristophanes (Lys. 911) couples it with the Clepsydra.
35. "Apetos máyos: the site of the

Areopagus or Mars' Hill is determined by Herodotus $(8,52)$, who says that it was opposite the Acropolis, occupied by the Persians when they laid siege to Athens; by Aeschylus (Eum. 685 sq.), who says the Amazons occupied it in their contest with Theseus; and by Lucian, who represents Pan sitting in his cave and listening to the speeches in the court of the Areopagus (Bis Acc. 12). Hence it is the rocky height, three hundred and seventy-seven feet high, west of the Acropolis, from which it is separated by a depression. On the top of the hill are the remains of some rock-hewn seats where assembled the court of the Areopagus in the open air (Pollux, 8, 118). E. Curtius thinks that the apostle Paul was taken not to the Areopagus hill, but before a committee of the council seated before the Royal Colonnade (Ges. Abh. II, 527
 $\operatorname{ekp}_{\mathrm{e}}(\theta \eta$ : Euripides (Electra, 1258 sqq .) agrees with Pausanias in saying that Ares was the first to be tried on this hill. Cf. Dem. 23, 66, p. 641; Bekker, Anecd. Gr. I, 444, 1.7 sqq. According
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to Hellanicus (cited by Schol. Eur. Or. $1648,1651)$ Ares was the first to be tried on the Areopagus; next, three generations afterwards, Cephalus for the murder of his wife Procris; then, after three more generations, Daedalus for the murder of his nephew Talus; then, after three more generations, Orestes for the murder of his mother Clytaemnestra. It has been suggested that Areopagus means "the hill of cursing," the first part of the compound being from dj $\rho$ " "a curse," with reference to the Furies, who had a sanctuary on the hill, and were sometimes known as "Arai." The derivaion is possible. - 42. tòv $\mu$ èv ${ }^{\text {" } Y \beta p e \omega s ~}$ Tòv $\delta$ er 'Avaifelas: according to Zenob. 4,36 , Theophrastus wrote of the altars of Injury and Ruthlessness. Cicero, de leg. 2, 11, 28, speaks of a Contumeliae fayum et Impudicitiae. Euripides (Eph. Thur. 961)
represents Orestes as occupying one seat, while the eldest of the Furies took the other.
44. $\Sigma$ equals : on this euphemistic

 The situation is determined by Asch. Eur. 804 sqq.; Eur. Electra, 1270 ; Iph. Thur. 961 ; Orest. 650 et al. See Milch. S.Q. XXIX, 16 sqq . The place is doubtless the deep chasm at the foot of the low precipice on the northeast side of the hill. On the names, wotship, and sanctuaries of the Furies, see Roscher's Lexikon, I, 1330 sqq. According to Schol. Aeschin. 1, 188; Schol. Soph. O.C. 39 ; Clem. Al. Protr. 47, p. 13 (Sylb.), there were three statuses of the Furies, two by Scopas of Parian marble, the third an older work by Calamis. On a votive relief from Argos they appear as three maidens of mild aspect clad in long robes, each













with a serpent in her right hand and a flower in her left. See A.M. IV, Pl. 9.
52. $\mu \nu \hat{\mu} \mu a$ Ol8آoठos: according to Val. Max. 5, 3, ext. 3, it was situated inter ipsum Atrium pagum . . . et . . . Minervae arcem. After the Areopagus was included in the city through the building of the wall of Themistocles, the grave of Oedipus with the entrance to the lower world and the Semnai was transferred to the Colonus Hippius. See v. Wilamowitz, Aus Kydathen, p. 103.
57. $\mathrm{a} \lambda \lambda a$ Кıкабтhpla: the excursus on the Athenian law courts is occasinned by the mention of the Areopagus. The term סıкaбтtipoov is applied both to the aggregate judges sitting in court and to the place in which they held their sittings. Pausanias's reference is primarily to the latter. He enumerates ten courts: 1. Areopagus; 2. Parabystum ; 3. Trigonum ; 4. Batrachium ; 5. Phoenicium ; 6. Heliaea ; 7. Palladium ; 8. Delphinium; 9. Pry-
taneum; 10. Phreattys. Kalkmann, pp .65 sq ., seeks to prove that the source of Pausanias was the same as that of Pollux, book 8, namely a manual originoting from Caecilius. Gurlitt, p. 274, also recognizes the use of a literary source. - 58. Пapáßuбtov: said to have received its name from its position in a remote quarter of the city. Cf. Etymon. Man. p. 651, 50. It is mentioned also in Poll. 8, 121, and Schol. Ar.Vesp. 120, etc., who also name the Tpifwrov. 61. Batpaxtov̂v \&è cal Фotvıкюôv: the Green Court and the Red Court, not elsewhere mentioned. Arist. Resp. Ath. p. 33 confirms the distinction of ertain Athenian courts by color. Possiby these designations have obscured their real names, and these two are identical with the Metichion and the court $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi i$ Múk $\varphi$ mentioned in Pollux's list, as the other eight in the two lists are the same. - 63. 'HAcalav: this, the greatest court of Athens, which frequently gave its name to all the courts

Ch. 28, 10









 $\kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta ı \nu \nu ~ a ́ \rho \pi a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a ~ o i ̈ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i o ́ \nu$









collectively, lay probably in the neighborhood of the Areopagus, to the east side of the political agora between the upper part of the Theseum precinct and the gymnasium of Ptolemy. See Judeich, Topog. p. 315. The derivation of the word is uncertain. See Wachsmuth, II, 361 ff . - 64 . $8 \pi l$ Пad$\lambda a \delta l \leftrightarrows$ : the Palladium, a sacred place in the southeastern part of Athens
 Plut. Thes. 27). According to Aristotle (Resp. Ath. 57) cases tried in the court of the Palladium were "involuntary homicide, and conspiracy (against life),
and the killing of a slave, a resident alien, or a foreigner."
 sanctuary, see 1, 19, 1 and note. Cf. Arist. Resp. Ath. 57 : "If a man confesses a homicide but asserts that it was legal, . . . he is tried in the court of the Delphinium." Dem. 23, 74, and Poll. 8, 119, tell the same legend as Pausanias with regard to the founding of the court. - 83. rò $\delta \bar{k} \dot{e} \pi l \Pi_{\rho u t a-~}^{\text {a }}$ velp: as to the Prytaneum, see 1, 18, 3 and note, and cf. Dem. 23, 76: "If a stone or a piece of iron or any such thing fall and strike a man, and the

















person who threw the thing is not known, but they do know and are in possession of the thing which killed the man, then the thing is brought to trial at the court of the Prytaneum."
93. Фpeartís: Milchhoefer (Marten v. Att. Text i, 56 f.) locates Phreatty at the extreme point of the peninsula which bounds the entrance of the harbor of Kea on the east, contracy to the earlier view of Ulrichs, Reisen ind Forschungen, I, 173 ff ., who puts it at a point on the shore a little to the southeast of the entrance to Kea. Dem. 24, 77 ff . states that before this court were tried men who, banished for an involuntary homicide, were accused of another and voluntary homicide, and that the accused spoke from
the ship while his accusers listened from the shore; if convicted he was punished with death, if acquitted he returned into banishment. Cf. Arist. Resp. Ath. 57.
29. The Panathenaic Ship -The Academy - Grove of Artemis with inages of Artemis, Artemis Ariste, and Artemis Kalliste - Temple of Dionysus Eleuthercus - Tombs in the outer Ceramicus on the street from the Dipylam to the Academy.
2. vaûs: the ship was moved on wheels, and to its mast was fastened the new robe, embroidered with scenes from the battles of the Gods and Giants, which was presented to Athena every fourth year at the great Panathenaic festival. The crew of the ship consisted
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of priests and priestesses wearing golden crowns and garlands of flowers. According to Philostr. Vic. Soph. 2, 1, 7, the route followed by the procession with the ship was from the Ceramicus to the Eleusinium, then round the Eleusinium to the Pythium, identified by Dörpfeld with the Cave of Apollo, where the ship was moored. Cf. A. G. Leacock, de pompis graecis, in Harv. Studies, XI, 1 ff ., and the derivation of carnival there given (p. 6, note).
6. ${ }_{\xi \xi \omega} \pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ : Pausanias has now completed his account of the city itself, and, quitting Athens by the Dipylum, he describes the monuments of the Ceramicus. Of important omissions made by him, perhaps the most noteworthy is that of the Pnyx, or place of public assembly, the site of which is in all probability determined, being on the northeast slope of the low rocky hill lying between the Museum hill, the Areopagus, and the Hill of the Nymphs. Here are extensive remains indicating the use of the site as a meeting-place. -8 'Aкаб $\eta \mu$ la: the road to the Acedemp, which Pausanias now follows, left

Athens by the Dipylum (Livy, 31, 24 ; Cic. De fin. 5, 1, 1; Lucian, Scythe, 2). So Pausanias quitted Athens by the same gate by which he had entered. Three roads started from the Dipylum gate; one northwest to the Academy ; one west to Eleusis; and one southwest to Piraeus. The suburb outside the Dipylum was called the Ceramicus, or Potters' Quarter. As the adjoining quarter, inside the walls, bore the same name, modern writers call the one the Outer Ceramicus, the other the Inner Ceramicus. In the former the remains of the Athenians who fell in battle were buried by the state. Public graves lined the road on both sides, and inscriptions bore the names of the dead and told where they had fallen. 9. 'Ap re $\mu$ \&os: this Artemis appears to have been identified with Hecate. Cf. Hesych. s.v. Ka dpi $\sigma \eta \cdot{ }_{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{K} \epsilon-$

 image of Eleutherian Dionysus, see 1, 20,3 , note. This procession seems to have taken place at the city Dionysiac festival in the month of Elaphebolion
















(March-April). Cf. Mommsen, Feste der Stadt $\Lambda$ then, pp. 394 ff . The return procession took place in the evening; and the epheboi after sacrificing at the shrine escorted the inage by the light of torches to the theatre (C.I.A. II, 470, 471). A gay troop of dancers, disguised as Seasons, Nymphs, and Bacchanals, appear to have attended the image, moving to the music of flutes (Philostr. Vit. Apollon. 1, 21).
16. Opaovßoúdov: Thrasybulus took part in the victory of Cynossema (411 в.c.), reduced the revolted cities of Thrace ( 407 в.c.), was superseded after the battle of Notium ( 407 b.c.), but took part in the victory of Arginusae ( 406 в.c.). He was banished by the Thirty Tyrants, but, collecting a small band at Thebes, took Phyle, then with a larger force gained the Piraeus and

Munychia, and overthrew the Thirty ( 403 в.c.). He brought about an alliance between Athens and Thebes ( 395 в.c.), and, after doing good service for Athens at Byzantium, Chalcedon, and Lesbos, was killed at Aspendos ( 389 в.c.). Pausanias seems to have been ignorant of, or ignored, the treasonable charges brought against Thrasybulus. Cf. Lysias, 28 and 29. 23. Пepukíous te kal Xaßpiov kal $\Phi_{0} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime}$ lwvos: according to Cic. de fin. $5,2,5$, the tomb of Pericles lay to the right of the road. Pericles died in 429 b.c. Chabrias defeated the Spartans in Aegina ( 388 b.c.) and the Spartan fleet off Naxos ( 376 b.c.); he was killed at the siege of Chios ( 357 b.c.). Phormio won the naval victory off Naupactus ( 429 в.c.), and died shortly after.
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$\Delta \rho a \beta \eta$ ккой ктл.: about 465 в.c. ten thousand of the Athenians and their allies, who had been sent to colonize Amphipolis, were cut to pieces by the Edonians at Drabescus or Datum (Thuc. 1,100 ; 4, 102 ; Hdt. 9,75 ; Isoc. 8,86 ). Leagrus and Sophanes were the leaders of this expedition. Eurybates, the pentathlete, who led a thousand Argive volunteers to aid the Aeginetans against A thens, killed three adversaries in single combat, but was himself slain by Sophanes, a challenge to mor-
tal combat having been given and accepted (Hdt. 6, 92 ; 9, 75).
42. Meגávшттоs . . . кal Makáptatos: Melanopus and Macartatus probably fell in the battle ( 457 в.c.) in which the 1'eloponnesians and Boeotians were engaged against the Athenians, Argives, and Thessalian cavalry. The Thessalians deserted carly in the action. -
 22. The first invasion of Attica by the Peloponnesian army under Archidamus took place in 431 в.с. - 49. K $\lambda_{\text {ct- }}$ $\sigma \theta$ vous: Cleisthenes increased the Attic
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tribes from four to ten. See Hdt. 5 , 66, 69 ; Aristot. Resp. Ath. 21.
51. Kגewvaiot: these men took sides with the Athenians at the battle of Tanagra ( 457 B.c.). There exists an inscription (C.I.A. I, 441) which is conjectured to be a list of the Cleonaeans who fell in this battle.-56. Sovi-入ots: in great emergencies, as toward the close of the Peloponnesian War and before the battle of Chaeronea, the Athenians appear to have freed and armed their slaves (Lyc. c. Leocr. 41;
 Athenians sent three expeditions to the relief of Olynthus when hard pressed by Philip. The first two had only mercenary soldiers. On the third occasion, at the special request of the Olynthians, a native Athenian force commanded by Chares went to their
aid, consisting of two thousand infantry and three hundred cavalry. This was probably in the year 349 r.c. See Dem. 21, 197, p. 578; Philochorus, Frag. 132 in Frag. Hist. Gr., ed. Müller, I, 405 sq.-Me入tбavסpos: on Melesander see Thuc. 2, 69. During the winter of $430-$ 429 в.с. he was sent to the coasts of Caria and Lycia with six ships to levy contributions and put down the enemy's privateers. He landed in Lycia, but was defeated and slain with some of his men.
63. 'Apyel $\omega v$ : at the battle of Tanagra ( 457 в.c.) one thousand Argives fought with the Athenians against the Lacedaemonians (Thuc. 1, 107 sq.; Diod. 11, 80). Pausanias here follows Thuc. 1, 101 sq., who tells the occasion of the alliance between Athens and Argos.

Ch. 29, 11

















 85 $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \iota ~ \Lambda a \chi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota, ~ o i ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ I I \epsilon \iota \rho a \iota \omega ̂ \varsigma ~ к а \tau a ́ \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu ~$







82. ks $_{5}$ ग̀̀ $\mathrm{H}_{\text {epuvelav: see Diod. 16, }}$ 75-77. Perintlus was besieged by Philip in 340 в.с. The Persian king, alarmed at the growth of Philip's power, commanded his satraps to aid the city. Accordingly they threw into Perinthus a force of mercenaries, with
supplies. The next year Philip was obliged to raise the siege. - 83. Eve Bounos: Eubulus, the adversary of Demosthenes, was an able demagogue and orator (Dem. 18, 21, p. 233; 21, 207, p. 581 ; Aeschin. 2, 8 and 184; 3, 25 ; Din. 1, 96 ; Plut. Phocion, 7).
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94. iv K Kopiveq : the Lacedaemonians $^{2}$ defeated the allied army of Athenians, Argives, Boeotians, and Corinthians at Corinth in 394 r.c. The Athenian force numbered six thousand foot and six hundred horse; their losses were heavy. See Xen. Hell. 4, 2, 9-13. Demosthenes ( $20,52, \mathrm{p} .472$ ) spoke of this fight as "the great battle" at Corinth. C.I.A. II, 1673 , gives a partial list of Athenians engaged. Among them was Dexileus, whose private monument is preserved in its original position, with an inscription (C.I.A. II, 2084). 95. \&v Evßolq kal X( $\%$ : the reference to Euboea is probably to the subjugation of the whole island by Pericles in 445 в.с. (Thuc. 1, 114). Upon the revolt of Chios from Athens in 412 b.c. an expedition was sent by the Athenians which landed in Chios, defeated the rebels, besieged them in the capital, and ravaged the island (Thuc. 8, 14 sq ., 24,55 , etc.). The reference is doubtless to those who fell in this expedition
rather than to the second revolt of Chios in the Social War (357-355 в.c.), when the force dispatched probably consisted of mercenaries (Diod. 16, 7; Corn. Nep. Chabrias, 4).
98. $\pi \lambda \lambda \grave{v} \mathrm{~N}$ Nкiov: the account of the conduct of Nicias during the last terrible days in Sicily, as given by Thucydides (7, 76-85), does not justify the harsh criticism of Pausanias. It is true, however, that the responsibility of the disaster rested mainly on him, and if he had listened to Demosthenes the Athenian force would probably have escaped destruction. This apparently the Athenians had in mind in omitting his name from the roll of honor.
 as to the fallen in Thrace, cf. C.I.A. IV, 2, $446 a$. In 445 в.c. the Megarians revolted from Athens and put the garrison to the sword (Thuc. 1, 114). 107. 'A $\lambda$ кı $\beta$ cá $\delta \eta$ яs: in 420 н.c. Alcibiades effected an alliance between Athens, Argos, Mantinea, and Elis. In the
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summer of 418 в．c．the Lacedaemo－ naans defeated the allies in the battle of Mantinea．See Thus．5，43－47，63－ 74．A fragment of the treaty of al－ lance，of which Thucydides gives us the complete text，was found engraved on a slab of Pentelic marble between the theatre of Dionysus and the Odeum of IIerodes Atticus（C．I．A．IV，46b， 14 sq．）．Thucydides＇s copy may be from this very stone．－109．кратђбаv－ res：before the arrival of Demosthenes （413 в．c．）with large reënforcements the Athenians had been successful in several engagements with the enemy （Thus．6，67－71，98－102；7，5， 22 sq ．）． — тєрl то̀v＇E入入†नтоvтоv：a tomb－ stone，found in Athens about 1882，in all probability stood over the grave of these men in the Ceramicus．It is a slab of Pentelic marble，five feet high and twenty inches wide．The inscrip－ ton contains a list of men，arranged according to tribes，who fell in the Chersonese，in Byzantium，and＂in other wars，＂and underneath it is an epigram to the effect that they perished． in the flower of their youth．They probably fell in the campaign of 409 bic．when Alcibiades captured Selym－
bria and Byzantium，laid siege to Chat－ cedon，and levied contributions about the Hellespont．See Ken．Hell．1，3； Dod．13， 66 sq．；Plat．Alcib．29－31； for the inscription，C．I．A．IV， $440 a$ ， 108 sqq．－110．8бot Make $\delta$ óvav vav－
 gus（c．Leocr．142）says that one thou－ sand Athenians fell in the battle，and that they received a public burial；he also speaks of the inscriptions carved on their tombstones at the entrance of
 Thus．5，7－11．The Athenians lost six hundred men；the enemy only seven． Both generals were slain，Cleon from a stab in the back as he was fleeing， Brasidas while charging at the head of his men．－112．iv $\Delta \eta \lambda i \Psi:$ see Thus．4， 91，101．The Athenians were defeated by the Boeotians，with the loss of about one thousand regular infantry．－ 113. ＾єwodivクs：see $1,1,3 ; 1,25,3-5$ ．－ 114．\＆s Kúrpov：Cimon sailed with a fleet to Cyprus，where，after defeating the Persians and capturing a number of cities，he died．After his death the Athenian fleet and army won another great victory by sea and land over the Persians at Salamis in Cyprus．See
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Thus. 1, 112 ; Did. 12, 3 sq.; Plat. Cimon, 18 sq.; Corn. Dep. Cimon, 3.

120. To $\lambda_{\mu}$ ( $\delta$ av : see $1,27,5$. - 123. т $\boldsymbol{\text { d }}$ $\mu$ ' ya "'pyov: on the great victory over the Persians at the mouth of the Euremedon in Pamphylia, see Thus. 1, 100 ; Did. 11, 61 ; Plutarch, Cimon, 12 sq. As a monument of this victory, the Athenians dedicated a bronze palmtree at Delphi ( $10,15,4$ ). The date assigned this victory varies among hisdorians from 469 to 465 в.с.
126. Zभuшv: Dig. Laert. 7, 11 and 29 , gives a decree of the $\Lambda$ thenians "in which the thanks of the state are remdered to Zeno in his lifetime for his services in the cause of virtue, and it is provided that a tomb shall be built
for him in the Ceramicus at the public expense; in this tomb the philosopher was afterwards laid."-127. Nıklas re ס Nıкон $\boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \mathrm{ov}$ : the expression here used,
 monly understood to mean "the greatest figure-painter of his time," 广命a meaning either human or animal figtres. See Bran, Gesch. d. gr. Künstlear, II, 194-200. Pliny (N. H. 35, 130-133) gives a list of his subjects, most of which are mythological, and says also that he painted dogs very suecessfully. - 131. \ukov̂pyos: the particulars as to the public services of Lycurgus are probably derived from the decree of the Athenians in his honor, proposed by Stratocles in the archonship of Anaxicrates (307-306
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в.c.). For a copy, perhaps condensed, see Ps.-Plut. Vit. x. Or. pp. 844, 852. After enumerating his many services, the decree concludes with a list of honors to be conferred on the memory of Lycurgus, and a provision that all decrees in his honor should be engraved on stone tablets and set up on the Acropolis. For extant fragments, see C.I.A. II, 240. The various buildings of Lycurgus here mentioned have been already noticed by Pausanias (1, 1, 2; $1,19,3 ; 1,19,6 ; 1,21,1)$, or referred to in the notes.
30. Altars of Eros, of Anteros, and of Prometheus - The Torch-Race Other altars in the Academy - Plato's tomb - Tower of Timon - Altar of Poseidon Hippius and of Athena Hip-pia-Heroums of Theseus and Pirithous, of Oedipus and Adrastus.
 the name of Academy to a place three quarters of a mile northwest of the Dipylum, in the broad belt of olive wood bordering the banks of the Ce -
phisus. The exact spot is just south of the rocky knoll, identified as Colonus Hippius, on which are the graves of Charles Lenormant and K. O. Müller. The testimony of ancient writers accords well with tradition (Paus. 1, 30, 4 ; Cic. De fin. 5, 1, 1 ; Livy, 31, 24). No remains of buildings once upon this site have been discovered. The Academy derived its name from one Academus or Hecademus, whose shrine, as a hero, was in the Academy (see 1, 29, 2 ; Schol. Dem. 24, 114, p. 736 ; Schol. Ar. Nub. 1005, etc.). The first mention of it in historical times is when Hipparchus, son of Pisistratus, built a wall around it at great expense, which he compelled the Athenians to pay (Suidas
 converted it from a dry and dusty place into a well-watered grove with trim avenues and shady walks (Plut. Cimon, 13 ; cf. id. Sulla, 13 ; Diog. Laert. 3, 7). Read the beautiful description of it in Aristophanes, who mentions the gymnasium it contains
${ }^{*}$.
















(Nub. 1002 sqq.). Here Plato held his school, which became known in consequence as the Academy (Diog. Laert. 3, 7; 4, 1, 1; Cic. De fin. 5, 1, 2; Suidas s.v. 'Akaס $\eta \mu(a$, etc.). After his death, the Academy continued to be the headquarters of his school (Plut. De exilio, 10 ; Diog. Laert. 4, 2, 6; 4, 3, $19 ; 4,8,60$ ). When Sulla laid siege to Athens, he cut down the trees of the Academy to make siege engines (Plut. Sulla, 12; Appian, Bellum Mithrid.
 according to Ath. 13, p. 609p, Charmus, who dedicated the altar of Eros, was a friend of the tyrant Hippias. Athenaeus also gives the metrical inscription. Suidas (s.v. Mentros) tells the story of the altar of Anteros, with some variations from the account of Pausanias.
 quoted in Schol. Soph. Oed. Col. 56, gives a fuller description of this altar. He states that it was dedicated jointly to Prometheus and Hephaestus. The altar was at the entrance to the Academy, and from this altar the torch-race appears to have started. - 15. $\lambda$ a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{das}}$ : torch-races were held at eight Athenian festivals at least, namely the Panathenaea, the festivals of Prometheus, Hephaestus, Pan, Bendis, Hermes, Theseus, and the festival in honor of the dead. See Frazer's note. The course in the Ceramicus, probably that here mentioned, was followed in the first three. Pausanias mentions one way of running the race; the other way was to have lines of runners posted at intervals, and the first man after lighting his torch at the altar ran with it at full
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speed and passed it on to the second, he to the third, etc. - 21. inalas: the sacred olive trees called $\mu$ oplau grew in the Academy; their number was at first twelve, and they were believed to be offshoots of the original olive tree on the Acropolis (1, 27, 2 ; Ar. Nub. 1005 ; Schol. Soph. Oed. Col. 701 ; Suidas and Photius, Lexicon, s.v. uoplaı). The penalty for injuring one of the sacred olives was originally death, later banishment; the case was tried by the court of the Areopagus (Lysias, 7, 41; Ar. Resp. Ath. 60). The penalty was not enforced in $\Lambda$ ristotle's time.
23. II $\lambda$ ár $\omega v o s ~ \mu \nu \eta \hat{\mu} \mu a$ : according to Pausanias it was not far from the Academy ; according to Diog. Laert. 3, 41,
it was situated $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ т $\hat{\eta}$ 'Aка $\delta \eta \mu l \underline{q}$. 27. bvecpov: this story is told more fully by Diog. Laert. 3, 5; Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, p. 389; Apuleius, De dogmate Platonis, $1,1$.
33. $\pi$ úpyos $T(\mu \omega v o s:$ cf. Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, p. 393, where it is said that Plato established a school near the abode of Timon the misanthrope, who, though he was embittered againstall men, bore the society of Plato with much benignity. - 35 . Ko $\lambda \omega$ wòs थ $_{\pi \pi}$ oos: Thucydides $(8,67)$ locates Colonus about ten. furlongs outside of Athens. Hence it has been identified with a rocky knoll about fifty feet high, about a mile and a quarter north-northwest of the Dipylum. Here Sophocles

- $\quad$, $\mathrm{Ch.31,1}$
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placed the scene of the Oedipus at Colonus. He describes its luxuriant vegetation (Oed. Col. 668 sqq.); he himself belonged to the township of Colonus (Suidas s.v. इoook $\bar{\eta} s$ ). He speaks of the spot as sacred ground, the possession of Poseidon, and inhabited also by Prometheus; here also was a sanctuary of the Furies (Soph. Oed. Col. 37 sqq.).

31. Objects of interest in the smaller demes of Attica -The Hyperboreans Artemis Colaenis and Annarysia.
32. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ot}$ : leaving Athens and its suburbs to the northwest, Pausanias now takes up the description of the rest of Attica embraced in cc. 31, 1-39, 3; the rest of the book ( $1,39,4-1,44,10$ ) is devoted to Megara, the city and its territory. He first mentions the chief points of interest in the small Attic demes ( $1,31,1-1,32,1$ ); he then names the Attic mountains (1, 32, 2); then describes more demes ( $1,32,3-1,33,8$ ); then Oropus ( 1,34 ); then the islands of Attica (1, 35, 1-1, 36, 2); then the road from Athens to Eleusis (1, 36, 3-1, 38, 5); next Eleusis itself ( $1,36,6-7$ ); then the road from Eleusis to Boeotia ( $1,38,8-9$ ); finally the road from Eleusis to the borders of

Megara (1, 39, 1-3). Thus the order is not strictly topographical (see Introduction, pp. 6, 7). The system of demes or townships in Attica, local divisions with independent municipal government, was first organized or at least fully developed by Cleisthenes in 508 в.c. (Aristot. Resp. Ath. 21). Thenumber instituted by him is uncertain; it is inferred from IIdt. 5,69 , to have been one hundred. At a later time we hear of one hundred and seventy-four demes (Strabo, 9, p. 396). The names of one hundred and forty-five are authenticated by inscriptions or the testimony of ancient writers. Out of these one hundred and forty-five demes, we know the location of twenty-eight with tolerable exactness, and of thirty-seven more approximately; while the sites of the remaining eighty arestill undetermined (see A. Milchhoefer, Sitzungsb. Preuss. Akad., Berlin (1887), p. 42; Pauly-Wissowa, s.v. Attika). Pausanias mentions about twelve in this and following chapters. Elsewhere he names a few more, namely Sunium ( $1,1,1$ ), Piraeus ( 1,1 , 2), Phalerum (1, 1, 2), Ceramicus (1, 3, 1), Aphidna (1, 17, 5), Colonus (1, 30, 4), Laciadae (1, 37, 2), Scambonidae ( $1,38,2$ ), Anaphlystus $(2,30,9)$,
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Sphettus（ib．），Decelea（ $3,8,6$ ），and Stiria（10，35，8）．－2．＇A ${ }^{2}$ ．$\mu$ ovaioss ： Halimus was a deme of the tribe Leon－ tis．According to Strabo，9，398，it lay between Phalerum and Aixone，at a distance of thirty－five stadia from Ath－ ens（Dem．57，10，p．376）．In accord－ ance with our location of Phalerum， Halimus must be along the coast be－ tween St．George（Trispyrgi）and St． Cosmas（see Excursus I）．The histo－ rian Thucydides belonged to Halimus （Biogr．Gr．，ed．Westermann，pp．199， 203）．－3．Zшनтipt：according to Strabo，9，p．398，Zoster was the name of a cape on the south coast of Attica， to the south of Aixone，the deme south of Halimus；and he adds that off the cape there is an island called Phabra．－
7．Пpooma入tiols：Prospalta was a deme of the tribe Acamis（Dem．43，64， p．1071；Harpocr．and Suid．s．v．Mpoo－ $\pi d \lambda \tau \omega c)$ ．Eupolis named one of his comedies The Prospaltians，after the inhabitants who had the reputation of being litigious（Athen．7，p． 326 a）． This deme was doubtless on or near the site of the modern village of Kaly－ via Kuvara，which is in the interior of Attica about midway between Athens
and Sunium．To the west of this vil－ lage ancient blocks and vestiges of walls have been found，and also in－ scriptions bearing the names of men of Prospalta．See Milchhoefer，A．M．XII （1887），281－286；Karten von Attika， Text iii－vi，12．－8．＇Avarvpariots： Anagyrus wasa deme of the tribe Erech－ theis（Harpocr：and Suid．s．v．＇Avarvod－ $\sigma$ oos）．Strabo（7，p．398）locates it on the south coast of Attica，between the demes of Halae Aexionicae and Thorae．It is commonly placed at Vari，a small vil－ lage to the east of Cape Zoster．See Milchhoefer，A．M．XIII（1888），360－ 302 ；Karten von Attika，Text iii－vi， 15．－9．Kєфа入へिбь：Cephale was a deme of the tribe Acamis（Schol．Ar． Aves，476；Harpocr．and Suid．s．v． Kєфa入 $\hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu)$ ．Cephale is located near Keratea，a village in the interior of Attica eleven or twelve miles north of Sunium．Here sepulchral inscriptions have been found containing the names of natives of Cephale（C．I．A．II， 2151，2154）；also a stone bearing the inscription＂boundary of Aphrodite at Cephale．＂See Milchhoefer，A．M． XII（1887），286－291；Karten von At－ tika，Text iii－vi，12， 19.
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11. iv $\delta \grave{\text { ex }}$ Прабиevortv: Prasiae was a deme of the tribe Pandionis (Steph. Byz. s.v. Mpácoac). It was situated on the east coast of Attica, on the spacious bay now called Porto Raphti, about sixteen miles northeast of Sunium, between the demes of Potamus on the south and Stria on the north. It was in ancient times a port of Attica (School. Ar. Pac. 242 ; Thus. 8, 95 ; Livy, 31, 45). - 'Yтєр $\beta_{0} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega v$ : Herodotus $(4,33)$ gives, on the authority of the Delians, an entirely different route by which the offerings of the Hyperboreans were forwarded to Delos. He has them conveyed first to the Scythians; thence westward from people to people until they reached the Adriatic sea; thence southward to the people of Dodona who transmitted them over to the gulf of Malea and across to Euboea; thence from city to city to Carystus, and finally by the Carystians to Tenos, whence the Tenians took them to Delos. Frazer thinks Herodotus gives us the original Delian version, Pausanias
the revised Athenian version of the fifth century b.c.-18. $\mu e \tau \grave{\alpha}$ iv $\theta \in \omega-$ plan ex $\Delta t \lambda^{\prime}$ out: the "sacred embassy" referred to is the one which the Achenaans sent annually to Delos. The ship in which Theseus was believed to have sailed to Crete conveyed the envol to Delos; in the ship were also the chorus that was to sing the hymn to Apollo, and the victims for sacrifice. Before the sailing of the ship the priest of Apollo crowned its stern; and from that moment till the ship returned no one might be put to death in Athens. This gave a respite to Socrates. See Plato, Phaedo, 58 AGc, 59 d; Ken. Mem. 4, 8, 2 ; Plat. Thes. 23; id. Nicias, 3.
 to the tribe Erechtheis; it included two villages, Upper Lamptrae and Lower (o rSeaside) Lamptrae (Harpocr,. Suid., and Phot. Lex. s.v. $\Lambda a \mu \pi \tau \rho \in i$ is ; Hesych. s.v. $\Lambda a \mu \tau \rho \alpha)$. It was on the southern coast of Attica, between the demes of Thorae and Aegilia (Strabo, 9, p. 398). Upper Lamptrae has been identified
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with the modern Lambrika, a deserted village about four miles northeast of Vari. - 27. iv Потаноis: Potami was a deme of the tribe Leontis (Harpocr. s.v. Потано́s ; Suid. s.v. סpvaxapvề). It lay on the east coast of Attica between Thoricus and Prasiae (Strabo, 9, p. 398; Pliny, N. H. 4, 24). It comprised three Potami, known as Upper, Lower, and Diradiotian (Schol. Hom. Il. $\Omega$, 545; C.I.A. II, 864. See A.M. X (1885), 105 sqq.). It is commonly identified with some ruins bordering on the bay of Daskalio, south of Prasiae. Pausanias fails to mention the deme of Thoricus.
28. $\Phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \nu \in \hat{v}$ or: this deme belonged originally to the tribe Cecropis, and was afterwards transferred to the new tribe Ptolemais (Steph. Byz. s.v. Фגveis; Suid. s.v. $\Phi \lambda \nu \epsilon\left(a\right.$, ; Harpocr. s.v. $\Phi \lambda v \epsilon_{\alpha}$ ). Euripides was a native of Phlya (Harpocr. l.c.). Phlya is identified on the authority of inscriptions with the modern Chalandri, a thriving village about five miles northeast of Athens, and
about three and one fourth miles south of Cephisia. It bordered on the deme Athmonia, which was certainly on the site of the modern Marusi, two miles north of Chalandri. (See C.I.A. III, $61 a$, col. $2,1.13$; II, 2646 ; II, 1113.) -Mupptvovalots: this deme belonged to the tribe Pandionis (Steph. Byz. and Phot. Lex. s.v. Muppıoôs). It was on the site of Merenda, a ruined village in the interior of Attica, east of Mt. Hymettus, about one and three fourths miles southeast of the large village of Markopoulo. (See C.I.A. II, 575 ; A.M. XII (1887), 277 sq.$)$. -34. 'A ${ }^{\text {A }}$ oveis: Athmonia or Athinonum was a township of the tribe $\mathrm{Ce}-$ cropis (Harpocr. s.v. 'A $\theta \mu \nu \nu \in$ és; Suid. s.v. 'A $\theta \mu o v(a ;$ Steph. Byz. s.v. "A $\theta \mu \nu \nu \nu)$. At a later time, apparently, it was transferred to the new tribe Attalis (Schol. Ar. Pac. 190 ; cf. 1, 5, 5). Inscriptions prove that Athmonia was on or near the site of Marusi, a village in the Athenian plain, seven miles northeast















## 50 val $\lambda$ é́ovtes.

of Athens, and one and one half miles south of Cephisia. Marusi obviously preserves the surname of Amarysian Artemis. See C.I.A. II, 1722, 1723, 1724.
 thus was a village distant seven stadia from Eretria (Strabo, 10, p. 448), where an annual festival was held by the Carystians as well as the Eretrians in honor of the Amarynthian Artemis (Livy, 35, 38). Cf. Strabo l.c. on the part of the Eretrians in this festival. The site of the sanctuary has been identified, with some probability, in the foundations of some buildings to the east of Eretria (see Lolling, A.M. X (1885), p. 354).
45. 'Axapval $\delta \hat{\jmath} \mu \mathbf{o s : ~ A c h a r n a e ~ b e - ~}$ longed to the tribe Oeneis (Steph. Byz. s.v. 'A $\chi \alpha \rho \nu \alpha$ ). It was the largest of all the demes of Attica, and furnished to
the Athenian army at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War no less than three thousand infantry (Thus. 2, 20). It was situated sixty stadia from $\mathbf{A}$ thens (id. 2, 21), in a fertile and wellcultivated district (Luce. Icarom. 18). The people dealt in charcoal (Ar. Ach. 34 and 332, and Schol. Ach. 34); they were regarded as stout soldiers (Ar. Asch. 180 sq.). From Thus. 2, 1, 20, and Diod. 14, 32, it follows that Acharnae was seven miles northwest of $\Lambda$ thens at the foot of Mt. Parnes. It doubtless occupied with its suburbs the territory embraced by the villages of Menidi and Epano-Liossia, one and one half miles from each other, where traces of an ancient township and ruins have been found. On Acharnae see Leake, Athens, II, 35-38; Bursian, Geogr. I, 334 ; Milch. Marten vol Attika, Text ii, 42 ; and A.M. XIII (1888), 337 ff.



32. Mountains of Attica with their images and altars - Marathon and its objects of interest -The spring Maca-ria-The marsh.

1. Пevтeגıkov: the correct ancient name for Pentelicus was Brilessus (Thuc. 2, 23 ; Strabo, 9, p. 399, etc.), but it was sometimes called Pentelicus, as by Pausanias and Vitruvius $(2,8,9)$, a name derived from Pentele, an Attic deme (Steph. Byz. s.v. Пevtè $\eta$ ) on the southern slope of the mountain, near which were the quarries (Strabo, l.c.; Theoph. De lapid. 1, 6 ; cf. Xen. De vectig. 1, 4 ; Livy, 31, 26). Mt. Pentelicus is the pyramid-like mountain, at the northeast extremity of the Athenian plain, ten miles from Athens. The white surface of the ancient quarries can be clearly seen from the Acropolis. Its height is three thousand six hundred and thirty-five feet. The monastery of Mendeli borders on the site of the ancient deme Pentele. The quarries are in the gullies above the monasteries. - 2. Hápvŋs: Parnes was one of the three chief ranges of mountains in Attica, the other two being Hymettus and Brilessus or Pentelicus (Theoph. De sign. temp. 3, 43). As the location of these two is known, it follows that Parnes is the still loftier range (four thousand six hundred and thirty-five feet) which bounds the plain of Athens on the north, forming with its offshoots the great mountain barrier between $\Lambda$ ttica and Boeotia. This is confirmed by ancient authorities. Cf. Plato, Critias, p. 110 v, with Schol.;

Thuc. 4, 90 ; Athen. 5, p. 216 A , etc. On the west Parnes joins Mt. Cithaeron. The modern name of the range is Ozea. See also Thuc. 2, 23; Ar. Nub. 324 ; Aristot. Resp. Ath. 19 ; Strabo, 9, p. 399; Lucian, Bis acc. 8; id. Icarom. 11 ; Stat. Theb. 12, 620 sq.; Steph. Byz. s.v. IÍ $\rho \nu \eta s .-3$. ' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \tau-$ ros: Hymettus is the regular, flattopped chain of hills which bounds the plain of Athens on the east. It rises south of Mt. Pentelicus, from which it is divided by a valley about three miles wide, and extends southward almost in a straight line until it ends at Cape Zoster. The higher portion of the range (three thousand three hundred and seventy feet) north of the glen of Pirnari, which divides the chain into two, was called in ancient times the Great Hymettus; the lower part to the south of the glen was called the Lesser or Waterless (Anydrus) Hymettus (Theoph. De sign. temp. 1, 20). The honey of Hymettus was renowned (Hor. Odes, 2, 6,13 sqq. ; id. Sat. 2, 2, 15; Cic. De fin. 2, 34, 112 ; Ovid, Met. 10, 284 sq .; Strabo, 9, p. 399, etc.). The story goes that when Plato was a babe the bees of Hymettus filled his mouth with honey (Aelian, Var. Hist. 10, 21; Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, pp. 382, 390). Poets spoke of the flowery and fragrant Hymettus (Ovid, Met. 7, 72; Stat. Theb. 12, 622). Hymettus was also famous for its marble, which is a bluishgray streaky marble, far inferior to Pentelic in quality (Strabo, 9, p. 399; Hor. Odes, 2, 18, 3 sq. Pliny, N. H.
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of Athens，and one and one half miles south of Cephisia．Marusi obviously preserves the surname of Amarysian Artemis．See C．I．A．II，1722，1723， 1724.

37．＇A $\mu$ d́puvoos dv Ev̉ßolq ：A maryn－ thus was a village distant seven stadia from Eretria（Strabo，10，p．448），where an annual festival was held by the Carystians as well as the Eretrians in honor of the Amarynthian Artemis （Livy，35，38）．Cf．Strabo l．c．on the part of the Eretrians in this festival． The site of the sanctuary has been iden－ tified，with some probability，in the foundations of some buildings to the east of Eretria（see Lolling，A．M．X （1885），p．354）．

45．＇Axapval $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os：Acharnae be－ longed to the tribe Oeneis（Steph．Byz． s．v．＇A $\chi \alpha \rho \nu a$ ）．It was the largest of all the demes of Attica，and furnished to
the Athenian army at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War no less than three thousand infantry（Thuc．2，20）． It was situated sixty stadia from Ath－ ens（id．2，21），in a fertile and well－ cultivated district（Luc．Icarom．18）． The people dealt in charcoal（Ar．Ach． 34 and 332，and Schol．Ach．34）；they were regarded as stout soldiers（Ar． Ach． 180 sq．）．From Thuc．2，1，20， and Diod．14，32，it follows that Achar－ nae was seven miles northwest of Ath－ ens at the foot of Mt．Parnes．It doubtless occupied with its suburbs the territory embraced by the villages of Menidi and Epano－Liossia，one and one half miles from each other，where traces of an ancient township and ruins have been found．On Acharnae see Leake， Athens，II，35－38；Bursian，Geogr．I， 334 ；Milchh．Karten von Attika，Text ii， 42 ；and A．M．XIII（1888）， 337 ff．



32. Mountains of Attica with their images and altars - Marathon and its objects of interest - The spring Maca-ria-The marsh.

1. Пevtedıkov: the correct ancient name for Pentelicus was Brilessus (Thuc. 2, 23; Strabo, 9, p. 399, etc.), but it was sometimes called Pentelicus, as by Pausanias and Vitruvius $(2,8,9)$, a name derived from Pentele, an Attic deme (Steph. Byz. s.v. Пє $\epsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ) on the southern slope of the mountain, near which were the quarries (Strabo, l.c.; Theoph. De lapid. 1, 6; cf. Xen. De vectig. 1,4 ; Livy, 31, 26). Mt. Pentelicus is the pyramid-like mountain, at the northeast extremity of the Athenian plain, ten miles from Athens. The white surface of the ancient quarries can be clearly seen from the Acropolis. Its height is three thousand six hundred and thirty-five feet. The monastery of Mendeli borders on the site of the ancient deme Pentele. The quarries are in the gullies above the monasteries. - 2. Hápvŋs: Parnes was one of the three chief ranges of mountains in Attica, the other two being Hymettus and Brilessus or Pentelicus (Theoph. De sign. temp. 3, 43). As the location of these two is known, it follows that Parnes is the still loftier range (four thousand six hundred and thirty-five feet) which bounds the plain of Athens on the north, forming with its offshoots the great mountain barrier between $\Lambda$ ttica and Boeotia. This is confirmed by ancient authorities. Cf. Plato, Critias, p. 110 v, with Schol.;

Thuc. 4, 96 ; Athen. 5 , p. 216A, etc. On the west Parnes joins Mt. Cithaeron. The modern name of the range is Ozea. See also Thuc. 2, 23; Ar. Nub. 324 ; Aristot. Resp. Ath. 19 ; Strabo, 9, p. 399; Lucian, Bis acc. 8; id. Icarom. 11 ; Stat. Theb. 12, 620 sq.; Steph. Byz. s.v. П́́ $\rho \nu \eta s .-3$. ' $\Upsilon \mu \eta \tau$ rós: Hymettus is the regular, flattopped chain of hills which bounds the plain of Athens on the east. It rises south of Mt. Pentelicus, from which it is divided by a valley about three miles wide, and extends southward almost in a straight line until it ends at Cape Zoster. The higher portion of the range (three thousand three hundred and seventy feet) north of the glen of Pirnari, which divides the chain into two, was called in ancient times the Great Hymettus; the lower part to the south of the glen was called the Lesser or Waterless (Anydrus) Hymettus (Theoph. De sign. temp. 1, 20). The honey of Hymettus was renowned (Hor. Odes, 2, 6, 13 sqq.; id. Sat. 2, 2, 15; Cic. De fin. 2, 34, 112 ; Ovid, Met. 10, 284 sq .; Strabo, 9, p. 309, etc.). The story goes that when Plato was a babe the bees of Hymettus filled his mouth with honey (Aelian, Var. Hist. 10, 21; Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, pp. 382, 390). Poets spoke of the flowery and fragrant Hymettus (Ovid, Met. 7, 72; Stat. Theb. 12, 622). Hymettus was also famous for its marble, which is a bluishgray streaky marble, far inferior to Pentelic in quality (Strabo, 9, p. 399; Hor. Odes, 2, 18, 3 sq. Pliny, N. H.
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17, $6 ; 36,7,114$ ). Hymettus is still, as of old (Ovid, Mrs Ain. 3, 687), remarkable for the wonderful purple glow which comes over it as seen from Ethens by evening light. Socrates drained the cup of hemlock at the hour when the sunset glow was on Hymettus (Plato, Phaedio, 116 в, c).
15. 'AyXєซ ${ }^{\prime}$ os: this mountain, not elsewhere mentioned in ancient writers, is probably the range of hills now known as Tourko-Vouni, extending northward from Athens in the directon of Cephisia, which forms the watershed of the Athenian plain. The chain terminates in the conical rocky hill which towers aloft northeast of Athens, nine hundred and ten feet above the sea, and is doubtless the ancient Lycabettus (cf. Plato, Critias, p. 112 ィ; Antig. Histor. Mirab. 12; Phot. Lex. s.v. Hápuns, etc.). Pausanias fails to
mention Mt. Aegaleus, a chain of hills, extending southwest from Mt. Parnes to the strait of Salamis. It forms the western boundary of the Athenian plain, dividing it from the Thriasian plain, in which is Eleusis.
 was a member of an ancient confederany called the Tetrapolis, consisting of Marathon, Oenoe, Probalinthus, and Tricorythus (Strabo, 8, p. 383 ; Steph. Byz. s.v. Teтрdтodıs; Prut. Theseus, 14 ; Diod. 4, 57), four towns said to have been founded by Deucalion and later merged by Theseus into a single state with the other petty communities of Attica. Three of these towns were situated between Prasiae and Rhamnus on the east coast of Attica, in the following order from south to north : Probalinthus, Marathon, Tricorythus (Strabo, 9, p. 309). Oenoe was near
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the others, but somewhat inland. The plain of Marathon, in which occurred the famous contest in 490 в.c. between Athenians and the Persians, is a cres-cent-shaped stretch of flat land curving round the shore of a spacious bay, and bounded westward by a semicircle of steep mountains rising abruptly from the plain. The northeast corner is a narrow rocky promontory running southward far-into the sea, now known as Cape Stomi or Cape Marathon; the southern end of the plain is terminated by Mt. Agrieliki, an eastern spur of Mt. Pentelicus. The length of the plain from northeast to southwest is about six miles; its breadth varies from one and one half to two and one half miles. The shore is a shelving, sandy beach, well suited for the disembarkation of - troops. A great swamp occupies most of the northern end of the plain. 22. ráфos: this is to be recognized in a mound conical in shape, of light reddish mold, about thirty feet high and two hundred paces in circumference, situated in the southern part of the plain, about half a mile from the sea
and about three fourths of a mile north of the marsh. It is now popularly called Soros. It was excavated by the Greek government in April-June, 1890. At a depth of about nine feet below the present surface of the plain was found an artificial floor about eighty-five feet long and twenty feet broad, upon which rested a layer of ashes, charcoal, and human bones. Also later a trench was discovered containing the remains of the victims sacrificed to the heroic dead. The black-figured vases found with the bones and ashes of the dead belong to the period of the Persian wars; hence there is no doubt that the human remains are those of the one hundred and ninety-two Athenians who fell at Marathon (Hdt. 6, 117). No traces have been found of the mound over the remains of the fallen Plataeans and slaves.
26. Mi入rıáSov: Hdt. 6, 132-136, and Corn. Nep. Miltiades, 7 sq., narrate the events which led to the trial and death
 $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{v}$ : cf. Hdt. 6, 117, where it is related that in one instance blindness was the
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result of meeting a hero; cf. Schol. Ar. Av. 1490. - 32. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ \&ßovtal ... 'Hpa$\kappa \lambda$ ea: cf. $1,15,3$. This was one of the two most revered shrines of that hero in Attica; the other was at Cynosarges (Harpocr. s.v. 'H $\mathrm{H} \dot{\mathrm{A} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a) . ~ H d t . ~ 6, ~ 108, ~}$ 116, tells how, before the battle, the Athenians encamped in the precinct of Heracles at Marathon. Here games were celebrated in honor of the hero;
the prizes were silver cups (Pind. Olymp. 9, 134 sqq., and Schol.).
45. Makapla: the story of Eurystheus's defeat and death in Attica, whither he had marched against the children of Heracles who had found a refuge in the Tetrapolis, is told also by Strabo, 8, p. 377; Diod. 4, 57; and is the theme of Euripides's Heraclidae. Cf. Thuc. 1, 9 ; Isoc. Paneg. 58 sq.
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58. $\lambda(\mu \nu \eta$ : cf. $1,15,3$. This swamp occupies most of the northern end of the plain. It is now covered with reedgrass, and is separated from the sea by a narrow strip of sandy beach. Between the marsh and the mountain slopes is the modern village of KatoSouli. Herodotus, in his account of the battle, does not mention the marsh, but it was represented in the painting of the Painted Porch $(1,15,3)$ and is mentioned Schol. Plat. Menex. 358, and Aristid. Panath. p. 203.
33. Brauron - Image of Artemis Rhamnus and Nemesis Rhamnusia-

Different Peoples of Ethiopia - Atlas - Nemesis without wings.

1. Bpaupáv: Brauron was one of the twelve confederate towns of Attica before Theseus'stime (Strabo, 9, p. 397). Strabo (9, p. 399) locates it on the east coast of Attica between the demes of Prasiae and Stiria to the south, and Myrrhinus, Probalinthus, and Marathon to the north of it. Its position on the coast is known from Hdt. 4, 145 ; 6, 138 ; and there was a river Erasinus at Brauron (Strabo, 8, p. 371). This leads to its identification with Vraona, a village which meets the conditions








and the name of which appears to be the modern equivalent of Brauron. 5. छбóvov: see $1,23,7 ; 3,16,7-11 ; 8$, 46, 3, with Frazer's notes.
2. 'Papvov̂s: Rhamnus was a deme of the tribe Aiantis (Steph. Byz. s.v. 'Pa uvô̂s), situated on the northeast coast of Attica, north of Tricorythus (Strabo, 9, p. 399). It was one of the fortresses of Attica into which the people collected their property when in dread of Philip of Macedon (Dem. 18, 38, p. 238). The place was chiefly famous for its temple and image of Nemesis (Strabo, 9, pp. 396, 399 ; Pliny, N. H. 36, 17 ; Hesych., Said., and Phot. Lex. s.v. 'Pa Antiphon belonged to Rhamnus (Suid.
 six and one half miles north of NatoSoult, which agrees well with the sixty stadia estimated by Pausanias. The site is an isolated rocky height of considerable natural strength, jutting out into the sea, and upon which are the ruins of the fortress. Not far away on a terrace at the head of a deep and woody glen are the ruins of two emples, that of Nemesis and a smaller one, probably of Themis. - 10. N $\epsilon \mu \hat{\varepsilon}$ $\sigma \in \omega s$. . iepóv: upon the terrace already mentioned, one hundred and fifty feet wide and facing the sea, lie
the remains of the two temples. They stand side by side, but not quite arallei to each other, the larger being on the north side toward the sea. The larger temple is seventy-one feet long by thirty-three feet broad on the stylebate. It was a peripteral hexastyle Doric temple, with twelve columns on each of the long sides. The outer columps are unfluted except for a very small distance at the top and near the bottom, which indicates that the femple was never finished. The interior consisted of pronaos, cella, and opisthodomos, arranged in the usual way. The lower portions of seven columns on the south side and one in the pronaos are still standing. The architectural features render it probable that the temple was built about the middle of the fifth century b.c. The discovery of an inscription (C.I.A. III, 811) on a statue dedicated to Nemesis, and of fragments of the sculptures described lo Pausanias, prove that this was the sanctuary of Nemesis. The smaller tomale was a templum in antis, consisting of cella and pronaos, thirty-five feet long by twenty-five feet wide. Inscriptions and statues found in the tomple prove that it was in use at least from the fifth to the second century bic. and was in all probability a temple of
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Themis. Some authorities hold that it was the original sanctuary of Nemesis; if so, it continued in use after the larger temple was built.
16. Nєرforws: the image of Nemesis was ten cubits high (Zenob. v. 82; Hesych. s.v. 'Pa ${ }^{2}$ was much admired for its beauty and size (Strabo, 9, p. 396 ; Pliny, N. H. 36,17 ). Ancient writers disagree as to the sculptor of the image. According to Pausanias, Zenobius (l.c.), the lexicographers, and others, the image was by Phidias; according to Pliny and Strabo
(l.c.) it was the work of Agoracritus of Paros, a pupil of Phidias. Probably it was by the latter under the supervision of Phidias. The story of the block of marble is doubtless a popular fable. Part of the colossal head of the statue is in the British Museum, and fragments of reliefs from the pedestal are in the National Museum at Athens. Furtwängler conjectures that the Ceres of the Vatican is a copy of the Nemesis of Rhamnus (Meisterw. p. 119).
 The Table of the Sun was in the land
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of the long-lived Ethiopians, who dwelt on the Indian ocean. "It was said to be a meadow in the suburb of their city; every night the rulers set forth great piles of the boiled flesh of all kinds of quadrupeds, and every day all who chose came and partook of the meat, in the belief that it was produced spon-
taneously from the ground." According to Hdt. 3, 17 sq., and others, King Cambyses sent spies to see and report on it.
33. Naбapêves : cf. Hdt. 4, 184, 172 and 182 , who says that the inhabitants of Mt. Atlas were called Atlantes, but does not identify them with the Nasamonians, whom hedescribesseparately.

Ch. 34, 1







 65







 story that Nemesis, and not Leda, was the mother of Helen, see Apollod. 3, 10, 7; Tzetzes, Schol. Lycophr. 88.
 $\delta \dagger_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ : there were two demes in Attica called Oenoe, one near Marathon, which is probably here referred to, and one on the Boeotian frontier, four miles southeast of Eleutherae (see 1, 15, 1, note; Hdt. 5, 74 ; Thuc. 2, 18).
34. Oropus -Temple of Amphiaraus -The Dream Oracle.

1. ग̀̀े ${ }^{\prime} \Omega \rho \omega \pi$ lav: the district of Oropus was long a bone of contention between Attica and Boeotia (Strabo, 9, p. 399). Originally it was Boeotian. It fell into the hands of the Athenians probably at the end of the sixth century (Hdt. 5, 77), and continued under
their control until the fortification of Decelea (Thuc. 8, 60), when the Boeotians recovered it. Probably in 383 B.c. the Oropians voluntarily surrendered their land to the Athenians (Isoc. 14,20 ), but in 360 b.c. the Boeotians regained possession (Diod. 15, 76; Xen. Hell. 7, 4, 1; Aesch. 2, 85); but in 338 в.c., after his conquest of Thebes, Philip restored Oropus to Athens. There were further changes of control, as in Strabo's time, when it was Boeotian (Strabo, 9, pp. 391, 403), but afterwards it became and continued Athenian, as in Pausanias's day. The plain of Oropus extends along the shore for about five miles; inland it narrows to a point two or three miles from the shore where the Asopus issues from a beautiful defile. The site of the town













 ä $\gamma \alpha \lambda \mu a$ 入єvкov̂ $\lambda i ́ \theta o v . \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau a l ~ \delta e ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \beta \omega \mu o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ 3 ~$




of Oropus is now occupied by Skala Oropou, a hamlet on the shore of a bay within sight of Eretria, from which it is separated by a strait forty stadia in width. - 7. iepòv rov 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ффарáov: the sanctuary of Amphiaraus is distant about four miles southeast of Oropus. The distance is greatly understated by Pausanias. The place is now called Mavrodhilissi. The ruins of the sanctuary were excavated by the Greek Archaeological Society in 1884-1887. The remains of the temple are in the western end of the precinct. It appears to have been a Doric temple, about ninety-five feet long by forty-three feet
wide, consisting of a cella, fronted by a portico of six columns between two antae; it was not peripteral. In front of the temple, about thirty feet from it, are the foundations, twenty-eight feet by fourteen feet, of the large altars here described by Pausanias. Amphiaraus, the seer and hero, took part in the Calydonian boar hunt, the Argonautic voyage, and the expedition of the Seven against Thebes.
2. $\delta \beta \omega \mu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ : the great altar was divided into five parts, dedicated to various gods and heroes here enumerated. The existing remains seem to show that it was formed by uniting several
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separate altars which stood side by side. Why the worship of the divinities mentioned should have been united at a single altar can only be conjectured. - 27 . \&̀ Mad入人̂: according to Plutarch (De defectu oraculorum, 45) and Dio Cassius (72, 7), the oracles of Amphilochus at Mallus, like those of his father at Oropus, were imparted in dreams. The charge for one of these infallible communications of Amphilochus was only two obols (Lucian, Alexander, 19; id.

Deor. concil. 12), while Amphiaraus at Oropus charged not less than nine obols (C.I.G.G.S. 235).
28. $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ : the custom of throwing money, as a thank offering, into springs and rivers is often mentioned in ancient writers. The younger Pliny (Ep. 8, 8, 2) speaks of coins at the bottom of the Clitumnian spring. Romans threw money annually into the Lacus Curtius in fulfillment of a vow made for the health of Augustus (Suet. Augustus,
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57). The Egyptian priests, at a certain festival, threw money into the Nile (Seneca, Quaest. Nat. 4, 2, 7).
35. The Attic islands: Patroclus, Helene,Salamis-History of SalamisObjects of interest on the island-Vast size of Ajax - Geryones and Hyllus.

1. Nîrot: on the island of Patroclus, see $1,1,1$, note. The island beyond Sunium is now called Makronisi, and lies off the southeast coast of Attica. It is bare and rugged, nine hundred and twenty feet above the sea at its highest point, and about eight miles long from south to north. Strabo ( 9 , p. 399) and Pausanias ( $8,14,12$ ) speak of it as desert. The isle of Cranae, according to Homer (Il. Г, 445), was the retreat of Paris and Helen. Pausanias elsewhere ( $3,22,1$ ) identified Cranae with an island off Gytheum. Others, however, identified it with this island off Sunium (Eur. Helena, 1670 sqq.; Strabo, 9, p. 399 ; Schol. Hom. Il. $\Gamma, 445$ ).
2. Eadap/s: the island of Salamis is
in the shape of an irregular crescent, with its horns facing westward. Its length from north to south is about nine miles; its greatest breadth from east to west is about ten miles; the highest point is about twelve hundred and fifty feet above the sea. In ancient times the island produced honey and olives (Eur. Troad. 794-799) and cheese (Strabo, 9, p. 395). The town of Salamis was on the Bay of Ambelaki, facing towards Piraeus. Strabo (9, p. 393) says there was a still older city called Salamis, facing towards Aegina. In the agora of Salamis was a statue of Solon, erected in the early part of the fourth century в.c. (Aeschin. 1, 25; Dem. 10, 251, p.420). Some ruins on the northwest promontory near the monastery of the Panagia Phanaromene have been identified as those of the fort Budorum, captured in 429 в.c. by the Peloponnesians, who were, however, compelled to evacuate it the next day (Thuc. 1, 93 sq.; Diod. 12, 49). 8. тग̂s'Aбwाov̂: Diodorus $(4,72)$ says
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that Salamis, one of the twelve daughters of Asopus, was carried off by Poseidon to the island which afterwards bore her name, where she bore to the god a son Cychreus, who became king of the island. The island is said to have been once called Sciras and Cychrea after the heroes Scirus and Cychreus (Strabo, 9, p. 393 ; Steph. Byz. s.v.
 speaks of "the Cychrean shores."9. $\Phi$ (Xatov: when the Lacedaemonians acted as arbitrators between Athens and Megara for the possession of Salamis, Solon is said to have alleged that Philaeus and Eurysaces, two sons of Ajax, received the Athenian citizenship and surrendered the island to Athens (Plut. Solon, 10). Pausanias makes Philaeus a son of Eurysaces and grandson of Ajax. But Pherecydes (quoted Biogr. Gr., ed. Westermann, p. 187) and Herodotus $(6,35)$, with whom later writers agree, make Philaeus a son of Ajax. Cf. J. Töpffer, Attische Genealogie, pp. 269 sqq. - 12. ávartá-
rous: the surrender of Salamis to Cassander seems to have happened in 318 b.c. (Polyaen. 4, 11 ; Droysen, Gesch. d. Hell. II, 1, 230). For the next ninety years it was probably held by a Macedonian garrison. In 229 b.c. Aratus restored it to Athens (Plut. Aratus, 34 ; Paus. 2, 8, 6 ; Droysen, III, 2, 57). At this time the punishment here alluded to may have been inflicted.
19. $\tau \bar{\Psi}$ Alavtı: the Athenian epheboi regularly took part in the annual festival of Ajax on the island of Salamis, when the features were a procession, a sacrifice to A jax, athletic sports, and a regatta. (Hesych. s.v. Aldavtela; C.I.A. II, 467-471.) See Pauly-Wissowa, Real-Encycl. I, 926 sqq. s.v. Aianteia. - 20. Eúpuod́kovs $\beta \omega \mu$ о́s
 rysaces, named Eúpuodicetov, was in the quarter of Melite, in the neighborhood of the Colonus Agoraeus, beside or in the agora. (Harpocr. s.vv. Évovodikelov
 etc.)
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 '́s $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta ̂ \mu a$ ov̉ $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\eta} \nu$ поıท̂бal, каí $\mu \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o \hat{v} \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon-$








25. dто0avóvtos Alavtos: the usual legend is to the effect that when Ajax fell on his sword at Troy the purple hyacinth sprang from his blood inscribed with the letters AI AI, the first syllable of his name twice repeated (Ovid, Met. 10, 210 sqq.; 13, 391 sqq.; Pliny, N. H. 21, 66 ; Schol. Theoc. 10, 28; Eustath. on Hom. Il. B, 557, p. 285). The legend given by Pausanias differs in that the flower sprouted in Salamis, and was not the hyacinth. - 31. Es тò
$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \in \theta$ os : the story of the discovery of Ajax's bones is told also by Philostratus (Heroica, 2, 3), who states that the bones were those of a man eleven cubits tall. The grave of Ajax was at Rhoeteum in the Troad (Apollod. Epit. Vat., ed. Wagner, p. 67; Quint. Smyrn. $5,653 \mathrm{sqq}$.), where there was beside the tomb a sanctuary, with a statue of the hero, which Mark Antony carried off and Augustus restored (Strabo, 13, p. 595).
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36. Other antiquities on Salamis Psyttalia - Monuments on the sacred way to Eleusis - Anthemocritus - Mo-lottus-The seer Scirus-Cephisodorus
-War of Athenians with Philip, son of Demetrius.














of Ajax at Salamis the epheboi would row to the trophy and offer sacrifice to Zeus of the Trophy. For ancient accounts of the battle of Salamis see Aesch. Persae, $350 \mathrm{sqq} . ;$ Hdt. $8,78 \mathrm{sqq}$. ; Diod. 11, 15 sqq.-4. Kuxpéas : Cychreus enjoyed divine honors at Athens (Plut. Thes. 10). There are various forms of the legend connecting Cy chreus with the serpent. According to Apollod. 3, 12, 7, and Diod. 4, 72, he slew an enormous serpent which devastated Salamis; according to Hesiod, cited by Strabo, 9, p. 303, the serpent was bred by Cychreus, and called the serpent of Cychreus, but it was expelled by Eurylochus because it ravaged the island; Demeter, however, received it at Eleusis. A later explanation, found in Steph. Byz. s.v. Kuxpeios ááos and elsewhere, is that Cychreus was himself surnamed Serpent (Ophis) on account of his cruelty, for which he was expelled by Eurylochus. Pausanias' story of his appearance as a serpent in the battle, with these tales, point to the
conclusion that in the original myth Cychreus was himself the serpent.
8. $\Psi$ vтtá $\lambda_{\epsilon t a}$ : Psyttalia, now called Leipsokoutali, is a rocky island about a mile long, but low and narrow, at the southern entrance to the strait of Salamis. Cf. Strabo, 9, p. 395, who calls it "the eyesore of Piraeus." See Aesch. Persae, 447 sqq.; Hdt. 8, 76 and 95 ; Plut. Aristides, 9, for accounts of the massacre of the Persians on the island. Pausanias alone mentions the number of the Persians who landed on the island.
14. Sסòv iepáv: after treating the islands, Pausanias returns to Athens and proceeds thence to Eleusis along the Sacred Way. This is the road by which the initiates in the Mysteries went from Athens to Eleusis (Harpocr. s.v. iepd ódos; Athen. 13, p. 594). The distance is about twelve miles. The present highroad from Athens to Eleusis follows closely the Sacred Way. It starts from the Dipylum, running in a northwesterly direction, and
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 $\theta \in ́ \nu \tau о s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ каì тоúтоv $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i \nu$, ö $\tau \epsilon \Pi \lambda о v \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \omega$




after passing the deserted monastery of Daphni, descends rapidly towards the shore, which, after entering the Thriasian plain, it skirts the rest of the way to Eleusis.
20. 'Avéroкрitov: Anthemocritus was sent by Pericles, shortly before the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War, to Megara and Sparta to complain that the Megarians were encroaching on the sacred land. He died on the embassy and the Athenians, charging that the Megarians had murdered him, declared war against Megara, and voted that Anthemocritus should be buried beside the Thriasian Gate, that is, the Dipylum. See Plut. Pericles, 30 ; Harpocr. s.v. 'A $\nu \theta \epsilon \mu$ óк $\iota-$ tos. - Modotrov̂ тe táфos: according to Plutarch, Phocion, 12-14, Phocion, who was sent to the relief of Plutarch tyrant of Eretria when the latter was threatened by Philip in 350 в.c., was superseded by Molottus, who fell into the hands of the enemy. - 22 . $\mathbf{\Sigma k i p o v : ~}$ Scirum is manifestly located beside a torrent at some point on the Sacred Way between the Dipylum and the Ce-
phisus, which Pausanias does not reach till $1,37,3$. There are some traces of a stream which crossed the Eleusis road about one and one half miles from the l)ipylum, the probable site of Scirum. See Milchh. Kart. 1a and Text ii, 15. The place had a bad reputation as the haunt of prostitutes and gamblers (Steph. Byz. s.v. Exipos; Alciphr. Epist. 3, 8, 25 ; Harpocr. $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \dot{\text { d́\& a). At }}$ the festival of Scira, on the twelfth day of Scirophorion, the priestess of Athena, the priest of Poseidon-Erechtheus, and the priest of Helios went in procession from Athens to Sciruin (Harpocr. and Suid. s.v. Exipov; Schol. Ar. Eccles.
 from Dodona is stated only here. Philochorus, frag. 42, speaks of him as an Eleusinian seer named after Athene Sciras. The Megarians, on the other hand, contended that the name of the seer was from their notorious hero Sciron (cf. 1, 39, 6; 1, 44, 6 and 8; Harpocr. s.v. $\Sigma \kappa(\rho o \nu)$. Suidas and Photius, Lex. s.v. $\Sigma \kappa i \rho o s$, derived the name from the Salaminian hero Scirus. - 25. EkıpdSos. . . 'A $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \eta \text { âs : already mentioned, } 1 \text {, }\end{aligned}$

 ท้ $\rho \omega o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{̣} ~ \pi о \tau а \mu \hat{\varphi}$. $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu ~ \delta \grave{̀} \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau a \iota ~ К \eta \phi \iota \sigma o-5$






 $\nu a v \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ i \sigma \chi v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \pi \lambda i ́ t a s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ M a \kappa \epsilon \delta o ́ v a s ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a ~$











1, 4 and note. Some late writers (Pollux, 9,96 , et al.) speak of a sanctuary of Sciradian Athena at Scirum, but they probably confused Scirun with the Phalerum temple. If one had been here, Pausanias would probably have mentioned it ; other geographical writers are equally silent (Strabo, 9, p. 393 ; Steph. Byz. s.v. $\mathrm{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (pos).
 Polyb. 17, 10 , who speaks of the embassy of Cephisodorus to Rome as taking place in Ol. 145, 3 (198-197 в.c.),
shortly before the battle of Cynoscephalae, in which Philip V of Macedonia was defeated by the Romans under Flamininus. We have no information concerning Cephisodorus beyond what Pausanias tells us here. On the death of Alexander, see $1,10,1$.
37. Other monuments of distinguished men on the Sacred Way - Acestium Phytalus - Antiquities across the Cephisus - Temple of Cyamites - Harpalus - Temple of Apollo - Cephalus and his descendants.
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 known of this man. Pausanias's expression leaves it uncertain whether the picture was a portrait of Heliodorus or a painting by him. Michaelis (Parthenon, 41 A), Preller (Ausg. Aufs. p. 120), and Schubart (Jb. f. Ph. LXXXVII, 301) took the latter view. -6. 'Akeनtiu: Acestium is also mentioned in a list of noble women pre-
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon_{\text {ous }}$ 'A $\left.\alpha a \rho \nu \epsilon \omega s\right)$. Another inscription (C.I.A. II, 1414), found in the precinct of Demeter at Eleusis, mentions a statue of Sophocles, brother of Acestium, set up there by his wife. Acestium and her brother probably lived about the beginning of the first century в.c. The office of torchbearer ( $\delta a \delta o \hat{o}$ -
$\chi$ os) was the second most important in the Eleusinian mysteries, the first being the hierophant. It was hereditary in the family of the Ceryces $(1,38,3)$.
13. Saktáסas: the deme Laciadae belonged to the tribe Oeneis (Steph. Byz. and Photius, Lex. s.v. Makıd́dac). Among its members were Miltiades and Cimon (Plut. Cimon, 4 ; id. Alcib. 22). - 18. Фúta入ov: the spot where Phytalus was believed to have received the first fig-tree from Demeter was called Hiera Syce (iєpd $\sigma u \kappa \hat{\eta}$, cf. s.vv. Photius, Etymol. Magn., Athen. 3, p. 74 p , etc.). Here the processions rested on their return from Eleusis ; and here Apollonius the sophist was buried (Philostr. Vit. Soph. 2, 20, 3). The incorrect form $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho a \nu$ in the second









 $\rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ o i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \kappa o ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a ı \delta o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{̣ ̂}$ К $\eta \phi \iota \sigma \hat{\cdot} \cdot \kappa a \theta \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \delta \grave{~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~} \pi a \lambda a \iota o v ̂ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ' $\mathrm{O} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ -

 $\kappa о ́ \mu \eta \nu$.


line of the epitaph quoted by Pausanias proves that the inscription was of late origin.
 the sources of the Cephisus are at the south foot of Mt. Parnes and the west foot of Mt. Pentelicus. Thence it flows southwest through the green stretches of olive groves the length of the Athenian plain. At the point where the road to Eleusis crosses it probably stood the ancient bridge built for the convenience of the pilgrims by Xenocles of Lindus (Anthol. Palat. 9, 147). As the procession was filing over this bridge occurred the fire of jeers and jibes, often mentioned as one of its characteristic features (Ar. Ran. 384 sqq.; Strabo, 9, p. 400 ; Hesych. s.v. $\gamma \in \phi v \rho i \sigma \tau a l)$ -

Oeosćpov: Theodorus lived in the first half of the fourth century B.c. He often played the Antigone of Sophocles; Aeschines in his youth acted with him, taking inferior parts (Dem. 19, 246, p. 418). Ilis pathetic playing brought to tears the cruel tyrant Alexander of Pherae (Aelian, Var. Hist. 14, 40). His voice was renowned for its naturalness, and its adaptation to the character he was representing (Arist. Rhet. 3, 2, 22 sqq. p. 1404 в).-27. Mvๆ$\sigma \iota \mu \dot{x} \eta s$ : see Apoll. 2, 5, 5, who tells of her delivery by Heracles from the centaur Eurytion, whom she was being forced to marry.
33. $\beta$ curós: the site of this ancient altar, according to Milchh. Kart. II, 16 , is to the west of the Cephisus,
${ }^{\text {ch. }} \mathbf{3 7 , 5}$
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \phi \theta \eta, \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho a s \delta_{\epsilon} \chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ä入入ovs $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s$

where now stands the church of St. Sabas. As to Zeus Meilichius, see 2, 9 , $6 ; 2,20,1$. He was especially appealed to in expiatory and purificatory ceremonies. When Xenophon returned from the expedition of the ten thousand, he offered burnt offerings to Zeus Meilichios (Anab. 7, 8, 3-5). The festival of the Diasia in his honor was annually celebrated outside Athens on the 23d of Anthesterion (FebruaryMarch) (Thuc. 1, 126; Schol. Ar. Nub. 408). See Preller-Robert, Gr. Myth. I, 130; Miss Harrison, Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion, pp. 13 ff .36. ©roffktov: the tomb of Theodectes is also mentioned, Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. p. 837 c , according to which the altar had fallen into ruins at the time of the writer. He was a rhetorician, a pupil of Isocrates, who afterwards wrote
tragedies (Suid. s.v. Өeoঠéкт $\eta$ s). 40. Kvapi(tov: nothing is known of the hero Cyamites beyond what Pausanias tells us here (cf. Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. p. 837 c ; Hesych. Phot. Lex. s.v. Kva $\mu$ i $\eta$ )s). The site of this shrine may be that of a small chapel of St. George, at the western edge of the olive wood on the north side of the road to Eleusis.
50. $\Pi$ veoviknv: Athenaeus (13, p. $595 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{c}$ ) tells at length of the infatuation of Alexander's treasurer Harpalus for the Athenian hetaera Py thonice, and of the two sumptuous tombserected by him to her memory, one at Babylon, the other on the Sacred Way to Eleusis. Cf. Diod. 17, 108. Plutarch (Phocion, 22) mentions the cost of the latter tomb as thirty talents (about $\$ 32,500$ ). Dicaearchus, quoted Athen. 13, pp. $594 \mathrm{e}-595 \mathrm{~A}$, describes the exact site of
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the tomb, showing it must have stood in the pass of Daphni. The site belonged to the deme Hermus, of the tribe Acamantis(Harpocr. Suid.; Steph. Byz. s.v. "E $\rho \mu \mathrm{os}$ ).
55. Leporv: the site of this sanctuary of Apollo is probably occupied by the picturesque mediaeval monastery of Daphni, which stands at the middle of the pass over Mt. Aegaleus, on the south side of the road. The monastery was probably founded in the thirteenth century by the Burgundian dukes of Athens, and is renowned for its Byzantine mosaics.-57. Kéфa入ov :
this legendary connection of the Attic hero Cephalus with the island of Ce phallenia is as old as Aristotle, who spoke of Cephalus as residing in the islands called Cephallenian after him (Arist. frag. 507, ed.Vd. Rose). Strabo ( 10, p. 456) also tells the story of the joint expedition of Cephalus and Amphitryo, and the subsequent settlement of Cephalus in Cephallenia. The legend is probably based merely on the similarity of the names.
69. 'Aфpoiltrs vaós: the remains of this temple of Aphrodite are to be seen in the pass of Daphni about a mile west
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of the monastery, on the north side of the road. Many inscriptions are cut in niches in a rugged wall of rock to the rear of the sacred precinct, containing dedications to Aphrodite (C.I.G. 507509 ; C.I.A. III, 3823). The precinct was excavated in 1891 and 1892 by the Greek Archaeological Society. Outside the precinct at its southeast corner are the foundations of a large quadrangular building, eighty-two feet by thirtyeight feet, composed of rude masses of stone, as at Tiryns, doubtless "the wall of inwrought stones" mentioned by Pausanias.
38. The Rhiti-Crocon - Eumolpus - The daughters of Coleus - Cory - Zarex - The Cephisus at Eleusis The Rharian plain - The hero Eleusis - Attic boundaries toward Boeotia Eleutherae - Antiope and her children.

1. Oi 8 e 'Petrol: the Rhiti at the present time consist of a large pond of clear salt water fed by a number of copious salt springs, formed by damming up the water of these springs by
means of a stone dike. It is probable that in ancient times the water of the salt springs was not dammed up, but was allowed to flow directly into the sea in brooks. Here took place the first skirmish of the Peloponnesian war, resulting in the defeat of the Athenian cavalry (Thus. 2, 19).
2. Kpókwvos: Crocon was the legendary ancestor of the priestly family of the Croconids at Athens. He is here spoken of as husband of a daughter of Celeus, which is inconsistent with the tradition that Crocon was son of Triptolemus, who was a son of Celeus (Taus. 1, 14, 2). See Dekker's Anec. I, 273 ; Harpocr. s.v. Ko七pw $\delta \mathbf{\delta a l}$; Suid. s.v. Kv $\rho \omega \nu i \delta a u . \quad$ Cf. J. Töpffer, Attische Genealogies, pp. 101 sqq. - 11. тov̂ $8 \boldsymbol{q}$ $\mu$ au тov̂ $\Sigma \kappa a \mu \beta \omega v \iota \delta \omega ิ v:$ Scambonidae was the principal deme of the tribe Leontis (Harpocr. and Steph. Byz. s.v. $\Sigma_{\kappa \alpha \mu \beta \omega-}$ $\nu(\delta a \iota)$. Its site has been much disputed. Hitzig-Bluemner, following K. O. Müllir, Attika, 223, locates it in the Eleusinian plain, directly behind the Rhiti.
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See Milchh. Text ii, 48. By others it was regarded as a city-deme, located either to the northwest of Athens, perhaps at the beginning of the Sacred Way (so Frazer, l.c.; Milchh. Demenordnung des Kleisthenes, p. 19; v. Wilamowitz, Hermes, XXII (1887), 120 sq .), or south or southeast of the city (Lolling, Topogr. 308, 3, and Loeper, A.M. XVII, 376 f.). Judeich puts it directly north of the Acropolis, just beyond Cydathenaion at the southwest foot of Mt. Lycabettus. See Topogr. 160. - 14. Eй
 Xıovns : for a similar tradition, see Lyc. c. Leocr. 98 ; Apollod. 3, 15, 4 ; and Schol. Eur. Phoen. 854. Others say simply that he was a son of Poseidon (Isoc. 4, 68; 12, 193; Hyg. Fab. 46). See J. Töpffer, Attische Genealogie, pp. 24 sqq. - 19. тòv E Eüodmov: Pausanias doubtless refers to the

Homeric hymn to Demeter, v. 154, where we read in our texts duv́rovos Eiju $\lambda_{\pi} \pi o v$, but the epithet mentioned by Pausanias occurs in the following line, being there applied to Celeus, narpos dirnvopos. In the text used by Pausanias the epithets were perhaps transposed. Eumolpus is not mentioned at all in the Iliad or the Odyssey.
19. 'EXevotviols $\mu \dot{\alpha} \times \eta$ §s: the legendary war between Athens and Eleusis probably had its basis in fact. The usual tradition is that the general of the Eleusinians was Eumolpus (Thuc. 2, 19 ; Plat. Menex. p. 239 в ; Isoc. 4, 68 ; 12, 193 ; Lyc. c. Leocr. 98) and that he was slain by Erechtheus (Apollod. 3, 15, 4 ; Schol. Eur. Phoen. 854). Pausanias asserts here and elsewhere (1, 5,$2 ; 1,27,4)$ that not Eumolpus but his son Immaradus was slain by Erechtheus. - 25. "Opךpos: our text of the hymn to Demeter mentions
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four daughters of Coleus, as follows:

 $\dot{\alpha}^{\pi} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu(\mathrm{vv} .106 \mathrm{sqq}$.). Various explanations have been given of the utter inconsistency. It would seem that Pausanias's text differed from ours, or that the text of Pausanias is erroneous, or that Pausanias through indvertence said Homer when he meant possibly Orpheus or some other poet. -27. K $\dagger$ pug: other traditions are to the effect that Ceryx was by Hermes a son of Herse (C.I.G. 6280) or Pandrosus (Pollux, 8, 103; Schol. Homs. II. A, 334), the other daughters of Cecrops. See J. Töpffer, Attische Genealogie, pp. 80-92.
 $1,39,3$. His shrine is mentioned by Hesychius (s.v. 'I $\pi \pi$ rooowiteiov) and by Steph. Byz. (s.v. Z $\dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \xi$ ), who here coplies Pausanias.
 Eleusinian Cephisus rises in Mt. Cithae-
ron, near Eleutherae, and flows into the sea a little to the east of Eleusis. For most of the year the bed of the stream is almost dry, but occasionally it is filled with a violent torrent, which overflows its banks and devastates the plain. Dem. 54, 28, p. 1279, speaks of the havoc wrought by these destrucfive floods. Hadrian caused an embankment to be raised for the protection of Eleusis (Eusebius, Chron. 2, p. 166, ed. Schöne). - 37. 'Eptrévv: see Plat. Theaet. p. 143 m, where Euclides escorts the sick and wounded Theaetetus from the port of Megara as far as Erineus on the road to Athens, a distance of about fourteen miles. - 39. $\lambda_{\text {not inv }}$ Ho-
 (Met. 7, 438) also names the Cephisus as the dwelling-place of Procrustes, but Plutarch (Thes. 11) and Diodorus (4, 59) locate the hold of the robber at Hermes or Hermes on Mt. Corydallis. The famous story of the beds of Procrustes is given by Apollodorus
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(Epitoma Vat., ed. R. Wagner, pp. 54 sq .).

40. 'EAevotvooss: Eleusis, now known as Levsina, a town of about twelve thousand inhabitants, is situated near the southwest corner of the Thriasian plain, at the east end of a low rocky hill a mile long, which runs parallel to the seashore at a distance of a few hundred yards. The ridge of the hill was the acropolis of Eleusis; the town lay on the level ground at its foot. The remains of the sanctuary of Demeter are at the eastern foot of the hill. Here the rock has been leveled to form an artificial terrace, on which the group of buildings which composed the sanctuary was placed. The site has been completely excavated by the Greek Archaeological Society, 1882-1887. In the Homeric hymn to Demeter (vv. 270 sqq.) the goddess bids the people of Eleusis build her a great temple and altar. The old temple was burned by the Persians in 480 or 479 b.c. (Hdt. 9, 65). The new sanctuary was built or at least begun under Pericles, and Strabo ( $9, \mathrm{p} .395$ ) and Vitruvius (7, praef. 16) name Ictinus as the architect. Plutarch (Pericles, 13) mentions Pericles's part, but ascribes the work to other
architects. The building ranked in antiquity among the finest examples of temple architecture. The site of the temple of Triptolemus mentioned by Pausanias is altogether uncertain. 41. vaós: this is, with great probability, conjectured to be the small temple whose foundations are preserved about thirty paces northeast of the Great Propylaea. It consists of a cella with two porticoes, having two Doric columns between antae. - 42. фpéap тe ка入oúprvor Kad入ixopov: the well, Callichorum, is mentioned in the Homeric hymn to Demeter (vv. 270 sqq.). It was discovered in 1892 just south of the Great Propylaea. Solemn oaths were sworn by women beside the well (see
 ${ }^{\text {'Pápıov: see Homeric hymn to De- }}$ meter (vv. 450 sqq.) concerning the Rharian plain, which lay waste and leafless while Persephone was under ground, but became abundantly fertile with the return of spring. The exact situation is not known. The name of the plain is from Rharus, father or grandfather of Triptolemus, who had received Demeter hospitably on her wanderings in search of Persephone. Cf. Suidas s.v. 'Paplas.
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 тєixos as usual designates a fortificationwall, which, as the excavations have shown, surrounded the sacred precinct.
 of the name is Eleusinus (Harpocr. and Suid. s.v. 'Eגevolvia). According to one legend Eleusis, or Eleusinus, was the king who received Demeter when she came to the city in search of her daughter, but in the common legend it was Ce leus who received Demeter. See Hom. Hymn to Dem. vv. 96 sqq.; Paus. 1, 39, 6; Schol. Ar. Eq. 698.
57. 8pot: from Eleusis the road to Eleutherae, which is at the same time the highroad from Athens to Thebes, goes northwest across the plain. The
gray walls and towers of Eleutherae are at the entrance of the pass over Mt. Cithaeron. The ruins of Eleutherae are important as one of the finest extant specimens of Greek fortification. Both Strabo ( 9, pp. 411 sqq.) and Pausanias (here, and 0, 1, 1, 6) represent Eleutherae as the frontier town of Attica and immediately adjoining Plataea in Boeotia. Eleutherae claimed to be the birthplace of Dionysus and to have been founded and named by him (Diod. 3, 66, 1; 4, 2, 6). Here tradition placed the graves of Argives slain in the war of the Seven against Thebes (Eur. Suppl. 756-759; Plut. Thes. 29). - 62. vaós . . . Dıovórov: see $1,20,3$ and note.
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## 39









66. $\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ : a copious spring at the western foot of the hill of Eleutherae is usually identified as this spring, in which the twin babes Amphion and Zethus were washed by the shepherd who had found them in the neighboring cave. For the legend of Antiope, see Paus. 2, 6, 1-4; Apollod. 3, 5, 5 ; Dio Chrys. Or. 15, p. 261.
39. Antiquities on the road from Eleusis to Megara - The spring Anthius - Sanctuary of Metanira Graves of those slain before Thebes Alope and Cercyon-Theseus-Mythical history of Megara.

1. 'ETtpa $\delta \bar{e}$ d $\delta \dot{d}$ : : the distance from Eleusis to Megara by road or railway is about fourteen miles. After passing along the low ridge which terminated in the acropolis of Eleusis, the road skirts the shore for the rest of the way. From two pointed summits of Mt. Cithaeron known as Mt. Cerata, or "the horns," a chain of hills advancing
southward one third of the way from Eleusis formed the boundary between Attica and the territory of Megara (Strabo, 9, p. 395; Diod. 13, 65 ; Plut. Them. 1). - 2. фpéap . . . "Avelov ка$\lambda_{0}$ únevov: this is perhaps the spring $^{\prime}$ now called Vlika, one and one half miles west of Eleusis. The Flowery Well is doubtless the Mapөévod фpéap (Hom. Hymn to Dem. vv. 98 sqq.), beside which the goddess sat, sad at heart, underneath an olive-tree. The stone on which Demeter sat was known as
 Apollod. 1, 5, 1 ; Hesych. s.v.). Pausanias places the meeting of the goddess outside the city, not at the well Callichorum in the city, as some writers supposed (see $1,38,6$, note).
2. iepòv Meravelpas: on Metanira see Hom. Hymn to Dem. 161 and 206 ; Nonn. 19, 82 ; Apollod. 1, 5, 1.— тáфo七 tûv és $0 \dagger$ ßas : the common soldiers of the Argive army under the Seven
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against Thebes were buried at Eleutherae (Eur. Suppl. 756-759; Plut. Thes. 29), but the generals were buried near Eleusis (Plut. Thes. 29). Euripides (Suppl. 634 sqq.) tells the story of Theseus compelling the Thebans by force of arms to give up the Argive dead for burial, but Plutarch (l.c.) follows the story acceptable to the Thebans, that it was by persuasion.
 187 for the story of Alope and Hippothoon. It was the theme of one of Euripides's tragedies (Harpocr. s.v.
' $\mathrm{A} \lambda 6 \pi \eta$ ). Hippothoon gave his name to an Attic tribe (1,5,2; 1, 38, 4). Poseidon turned Alope at her death into a spring named for her (Hyg. l.c.), which was at Eleusis (Hesych. s.v.

 Athenian Phorbas, the trainer of Theseus, invented the art of wrestling; but Ister, whom Pausanias perhaps followed, ascribed the invention to Theseus himself (Schol. Pind. Nem. 5, 89). -27. Torav̂тa . . . ávךкоvтa: at this point ends the description of Attica,
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and there follows up to the close of the book the description of Megara ( $\dot{\eta}$ Mevaрккウ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}, 2,19,8$; $\dot{\eta} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ Merapls, 9, 19, 2).
 cf. $1,42,2$. Pausanias here sides with the Attic tradition, so that the whole section is a polemic against the domestic Megarian tradition. The proof that Megara originally belonged to Attica is not given, for the statement that the Megarian king Pylas left the land to the Athenian Pandion merely indicates that Megara was for a time governed by Attic princes. Strabo (9, p. 392) also maintains that Attica and Me-
gara originally belonged together, but 'he presents better evidence than Pausanias. - 32. тáфos . . . Mavסlovos: see $1,5,3 ; 1,41,6$. 36. Kó8pov . . . $\beta a-$

 bo, 9, p. 393, agree with Pausanias's account of the conquest of Megara by the Dorians.
46. Meүapta: the paternity of Megareus is in dispute. Hyg. Fab. 157 says he was a son of Poseidon by Oenope, daughter of Epopeus; Apollod. $3,15,8$, that he was a son of Hippomąnes and came from Onchestus to help Nisus, but was killed by Minos;
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Steph. Byz. s.v. Mérapa, that he was a son of Apollo ; Plut. Quaest. Gr. 16, that he was a son of Onchestus.
 ßartheîrau: cf. 1, 44, 3. Hence Ovid speaks of "the Lelegian Walls" and "the Lelegian shores" of Megaris(Met. $7,443 ; 8,6)$. A colony of Leleges from Megara, led by Pylus son of Cleson, was said to have founded Pylus in Messenia $(4,36,1)$. - 54 . $\Sigma_{k i p \omega v a: ~ t h e ~ A t h e-~}^{\text {a }}$ nians represented Sciron as a murderer and robber slain by Theseus $(1,3,1$; $1,44,8)$. Megarian writers, on the contrary, assert that he was an excellent man, the friend of the good and the foe of the bad (Plut. Thes. 10). He made the highroad from Megara to the Isthmus of Corinth ( $1,44,6$ ). The Athenians distinguished between Sciron the robber and Scirus an early settler of Salamis, but the Megarians
identified them (see $1,35,2$, note; Plut. l.c.; Harpocr. s.v. Ekipov). The Megarians admitted that he was slain by Theseus, not however when he was robber-hunting, but when he wrested Eleusis from the Megarians (Plut. 1.c.; Paus. 1, 36, 4).
40. Spring of Theagenes - Sithnidian Nymphs -Images of Roman Emperors, of Artemis Soteira, and of the Twelve Gods-Olympieum with temple of Zeus-Statue of Zeus by Theocosmus - Contests of Megarians and Athenians over Salamis-Antiquities on the Acropolis - Caria.
 modern town of Megara occupies the site and preserves the name of the ancient city. It is on the slopes of a hill with a double summit, about one and one half miles from the sea. The plain about Megara is six or seven miles long
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by as many wide, and is inclosed by hills except toward the sea. Of the two citadels mentioned by Pausanias ( $1,40,6 ; 1,42,1$ ), the eastern and lower hill was doubtless the acropolis called Caria, the higher western hill was the Acropolis of Alcathous. The ancient remains are extremely scanty. Megara was noted in antiquity for the size of its private houses and the massive style of its public buildings (Isocr. de Pace, 117). - 2. Өeayívŋs . . . Ovyarípa . . . Kùえ $\omega v$ : see 1, 28,
 an aqueduct, half a mile north of the western hill, furnishing a copious supply of water, may be the water of the Sithnidian nymphs. (Baedeker, Greece ${ }^{3}$, p. 153.) - 10. $\pi$ pòs $\tau$ d̀ ăкра тfीs Tepavias: see for similar explanation of the name Gerania, Etymol. Magn. p. 228, s.v. Г $\epsilon \alpha$ veca. It probably originated in the work of a native Mega-
rian named Dieuchidas, who began his history of Megara at the point where Hellanicus's work on Deucalion's flood left off. (See Frag. Hist. Gr., ed. Müller, IV, 388.) Mt. Gerania is the range of mountains traversing Megaris from sea to sea, and forming a natural boundary between Central Greece and Peloponnesus. The highest summit is four thousand five hundred feet above the sea-level. The region is very wild, with only three passes across the mountain, all of which are difficult. The railway passes over the third along the sea-cliffs of the southern coast.
14. elkoves: many of the inscriptions carved on the pedestals have been found, with the names of Julius Caesar, Augustus, Tiberius, Nero, Hadrian, Marcus Aurelius, Caracalla, and Gallienus; Hadrian, as a benefactor of Megara, was especially popular. See
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C.I.G.G.S. 62, 63, 65-81, 3491. -17. катабрацб́vтаs тク̀v Meүaplסa : this took place near Pagae ( $1,44,4$ ). Herodotus $(9,14)$ tells how Mardonius advanced against Megara and his army ravaged the Megarian territory. Theognis (v. 775) attributed to Apollo the deliverance of the city.
27. $\Sigma \omega \tau$ еlpas ä $ү a \lambda \mu a$ : this image was by Strongylion (§3), and a replica of it was at Pagae ( $1,44,4$ ). Coins of the two cities give an identical type of Artemis, which may therefore be that of this statue. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. pp. 4,8 sq., with pl. A, i.- 30. Прagl-

Teldous: archaeologists who believe in two sculptors named Praxiteles (see 1, 2,4 , note) attribute these images to the elder Praxiteles. This seems to Frazer (note l.c.) and others a gratuitous and baseless assumption. Pausanias mentions other statues by Praxiteles at Megara (1, 43, 5 and 6; 1, 44, 2). If there were two sculptors of this name and works of both were here, why did not Pausanias distinguish between them as between the elder and the younger Polyclitus ( $6,6,2$ )?
 cinct wassituated in the saddle between the two hills, near the northwest foot

















 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma \phi \omega ̂ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ \phi u \gamma a ́ \delta a s, ~ o v ̂ s ~ \Delta o \rho v к \lambda \epsilon i o v s ~$
of the eastern acropolis. Here many inscriptions have been found mentioning the Olympieum. See C.I.G.G.S. 1-14; Mitth. VIII, 183 sq.-35. \$06-
 pav: cf. Thuc. 2, 31 ; Plut. Pericles,
 cosmus, see also 6, 7, 2; 10, 9, 8. The remark about the collaboration of Phidias was probably due to the similarity of this statue with the gold and ivory Zeus at Olympia, on which it was closely modeled. The passage is of interest as throwing some light on the process of making a gold and ivory statue. See A. S. Murray, History of Greek Sculpture, II, 117. On coins of Megara Zeus is represented as sitting
in the attitude of the Zeus at Olympia, grasping a sceptre in his raised left hand and holding an eagle or a Victory in his outstretched right hand. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. pp. 4 sq., with pl. A, iii.
 the story that Solon, to arouse the Athenians from their lethargy, composed verses inciting them to engage in the struggle once more for the possession of Salamis, and, feigning madness, rushed into the market-place and recited his verses. The people were stirred, once more fought with Megara, and conquered Salamis. See Plut. Solon, 8; Dem. 19, 252; Diog. Laert. $1,2,46 \mathrm{sq}$.

Ch. 41, 1
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 $\sigma \alpha \iota$ ठє̀ aủtò $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ v́ovta Kâ $\rho a$ є̈ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu$.

55. \&s тìv akpóтodıv . . . Kaplav: Steph. Byz. s.v. Kapia makes a similar statement, perhaps copied from Pausanias. - 57. Nukтe入lov: see Verg. Aen. 4, 303; Ovid, Met. 4, 15. In the nocturnal rites of Dionysus the mystery of the death and resurrection of the god seems to have been set forth. Licentious orgies under the cloak of these rites were put down by the Romans. See Servius on Virgil l.c. -'Aфpoifits 'Eтьбтpoфlas: PrellerRobert, Gr. Myth. I, 368, interprets 'Eтıनт towards) as meaning "she who turns the hearts of man to love." The converse of Epistrophian Aphrodite was Apostrophian Aphrodite (9, 16, 3 sq.). There was another sanctuary of Aphrodite at Megara ( $1,43,6$ ). - 58 . Nukтós: Rohde, Psyche, 342, rem. 1, brings this in close connection with Dionysus Nyctelius, and recalls that Dionysus was established at Delphi before Apollo. See also Bouché-Leclercq, Histoire de la divination dans l'antiquité, II, 256.-60. Bpúaf̣ıs: Bryaxis was a con, temporary and rival of Scopas. He
sculptured the frieze on the north side of the Mausoleum at Halicarnassus (Pliny, N. H. 36, 30 sq.). Columella (de re rustica, 1, praef. 31) mentions him as one of the great masters of sculpture, along with Lysippus, Praxiteles, and Polyclitus. A considerable number of his works are known to us by name. See Brunn, Gesch. d. gr. Künstler, I, 383 sqq. An Asclepius by Bryaxis is mentioned also by Pliny (N. H. 34, 73). Both Asclepius and Hygieia, separately and jointly, appear on coins of Megara, and the types were probably modeled after these statues of Bryaxis. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. pl. A, vi, vii; Wroth, Jour. Hell. Stud. V,
 vov Méyapov: for Megarian coins with image of Demeter, see Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, pl. A, xii.
41. Monument of Alcmena - Rhus - Tomb of Hyllus - Temples of Isis, of Apollo, and of Artemis - Alcathous and the Lion of Cithaeron - Heroum of Pandion - Hippolyte - Tereus, Procne, and Philomela.
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 of the death of Alcmena in the territory of Megara and of the contest of the Heraclidae occurs only in Paisanias. According to Pherecydes in Anton. Lib. 33 (fr. 39), she died in Thebes, where, however, there was no grave of her ( $9,16,7$ ). According to another legend, her grave was at Haliartus in Boeotia (cf. 9, 32, 5; Prut. Lys. 28; de genio Socr. 5, p. 578^).
11. is $\mathrm{X} \omega \mathrm{plov}$ 'Pov̂v : cf. Plat. Thes. 27, whosays that the Megarians pointed out a grave of the Amazons in their city, on the way from theagora to the place called Rus. The grave of the A mazons here mentioned by Plutarch is probably the tomb of Hippolyte mentioned by Pau-
sanies (1, 41, 7). - 14. 'AXe $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \psi^{\prime} \psi$ : the river god Achelous was worshiped also at Oropus. Ephorus, quoted by Macrobias, Saturn. 5, 18, 0 sqq., says that Achelous is the only river-god warshaped by all men, as the proper name Achelous is used in a general sense to designate water. This designation was given by the oracles of Dodona (School. II. $\Omega, 616$ ). There was a sanctuary of Achelous near the Ilissus at Acthens (Plato, Phaedrus, p. 230 в). ${ }^{\prime} Y \lambda \lambda_{0}$ : cf. 1, 44, 10, and see 8,5 , 1, where Pausanias corrects his present statement by saying that this invasion took place in the reign of Echemus, not of Orestes. So Herodotus (9, 26) represents Echemus, the conqueror of
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Hyllus, as king of Tegea. According to Diod. 4, 58, Hyllus challenged Echemus, not Echemus Hyllus.
28. тòv Kı日alpóviov $\lambda$ fovta: the scholiast to Apoll. Rhod. 1, 517 supplements Pausanias's version of the story of Alcathous and the lion of Cithaeron.
33. Meyapeiotv: according to the

Megarian tradition as given by Pausanias, Timalcus was a contemporary both of Theseus, who slew him, and of Alcathous, who married his sister. This, Pausanias argues, was impossible, since Alcathous was the son, and Theseus the great-grandson, of Pelops through his mother Aethra.
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58. Alөvias 'A $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \mathrm{a} \text { as: } \\ \text { cf. } 1,5,3 .\end{aligned}$ Hesych. s.v. $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ Atevca says that Athena was worshiped by the Megarians under the title Altuia,"diver-bird," because, transforming herself into a diver and hiding Cecrops under her wings, she had carried him to Megara. Lycophron (Alexandra, v. 359) refers to Athena under this title. The bluff of Athena Aithuia is perhaps the spit of land now called Skala, jutting into the sea on the south side of the hill of Nisaea.
61. $\mu v \hat{\mu} \mu a$ ' $I \pi \pi 0 \lambda v$ ving $_{\text {: }}$ the tomb seems to have been called the Rhomboid (Plut. Thes. 27), but Bursian, p. 376 a, 1 , would here translate $\rho \circ \mu$ -及ociós kreiselförmig. The Amazonian shield is represented as a crescent in shape on some works of art. See Baumeister, Denkm. pp. 62, 369, 2015. It also appears in art as an oval shield with two notches, one on each side (Baum op.cit. p. 59), or as an unbroken oval. See also Baum. p. 2038; Roscher's Lexikon, I, 272.
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 Tereus, Procne, and Philomela, see 1, 5,4 and note.
42. The Acropolis of Alcathous and its antiquities - Alcathous and Apollo
-Image of Memnon - Council House - The temple of Athena - Temple and images of Apollo - Nature of ebony End of the sons of Alcathous - Heroum of Ino.













 building of the walls of Megara by Alcathous. Theognis (vv. 773 sq.) represents Apollo himself as fortifying the acropolis in honor of Alcathous. Ps.Verge. Chris, vv. 105 sqq., has Megara fortified by the joint labor of Alcathous and Apollo and tells how one of the stones, when struck, gave forth a musical tone like the note of a lyre. Ovid (Met. 8,14 sqq.) calls the walls of Meara "vocal," due to Apollo's laying down his gilded lyre upon them; and he elsewhere (Tristia, 1, 10, 39) speaks of "the walls of Alcathous."
 the Greeks called the statue of Memnon was a colossal statue, carved out of a single block of hard breccia, which with a companion statue stood in front of a temple of A menophis III at Thebes. The temple is gone, but the statues still remain. Each is about sixty feet high. Strabo (17, p. 216) says one was complete, but of the other the upper
part had been thrown down by an earthquake, and that once a day, at sunrise, a sound proceeded from the part of the broken statue which remanned in its chair ; he himself visited the statue and heard it. The explanaton usually given is that the sound was caused by the expansion of the air in the crevices at sunrise, due to the increase of temperature. - 18. pos tass Zúpıy pas кa入oupévas: the Greeks gave the name of $\sigma \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma_{\gamma} \epsilon s$ or "pipes" to the great necropolis which is hewn out of the rock in the range of limestone hills to the west of Thebes. Each sepulchral chamber is approached through a series of passages, all subterranean and hew out of the rock. The Theban kings of the eighteenth and nineteenth dynasties are buried there. See Strabo (17, p. 816), Melian (Nat. Anim. 6, 43), Ammianus Marcellinus (22, 15, 30), and Parrot et Chipiez, Histoire de l'Art dans l'Antiquité, I, 260 sqq.
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 $\kappa \alpha ́ \theta \eta \tau a i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ a ́ \nu i \sigma \chi \chi o \nu \tau о s ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda i o v ~ \beta o a ̂, ~$
 $\chi \circ \rho \delta \bar{\eta}$.








 $\sigma v \nu \nprec \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. A ï $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ov̂ $\nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda_{\kappa} \alpha^{\prime} \theta o v \delta_{\iota} \alpha \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \alpha^{-}$





40. $\pi \lambda(v \theta o v:$ as to the use of the unburnt brick in ancient Greek architecture, see Frazer's note on 5, 16, 1. An inscription (C.I.G.G.S. 42) dating between 242 в.c. and 223 в.c. speaks of the repair of the temple of Apollo at Megara. Here was also another sanctuary of Apollo (1, 44, 2).-41.
'Adpravos: Hadrian was a lavish patron of Megara (cf. 1, 44, 6) and in return the Megarians named a tribe after him (C.I.G.G.S. 72, 74, 101) and erected many statues in his honor (note on
 катทфópos roís Alyuitiols . . . غolkaft छoávors: this passage has been cited,
















 $\beta \omega \mu$ о̂ $\xi$ گ́ $\lambda \omega$.





on very insufficient grounds, to prove the direct dependence of early Greek art on the art of Egypt. SeeOverbeck, Gesch. d. gr. Plastik ${ }^{4}$, I, 37 sq.; A. S. Murray, History of Greek Sculpture ${ }^{2}$, I, 76 sq. This dependence, however, has been maintained on other grounds by some writers. Thus the archaic male figures known as the Apollos of Orchomenos, Tenea, etc., are believed by these authorities to have been
modeled, directly or indirectly, on Egyptian statues. See Collignon, Histoire de la Sculpture Grecque, I, 117 sq .; Furtwängler, Meisterw. d. gr. Plastik, pp. 712 sqq. - 46. фúd $\lambda a$ oủk हैфท фṽetv: this absurd belief was not shared by Theophrastus (Hist. Plant. 4, 4, 6) or Pliny (N. H. 12, 17 sqq.). Cf. Paus. $2,19,3 ; 4,32,1 ; 7,5,5$.
64. Tòv vekpòv Tท̂s 'Ivoûs: cf. 1, 44, 7 sq .; 4, 34, 7 .

Ch. 43, 2
 коӨє́à тє ỏ $\nu о \mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ r o \iota s ~ \phi a \sigma i \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кaì $\begin{aligned} \text { vóià ä } \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \text { àvà } \pi a ̂ \nu \text { écros. }\end{aligned}$





 $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi$ тoùs vavayoús, фával סє̀ av̉тov̀s тウ̀̀ $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ ' I \phi \iota-$






 $15 \tau \epsilon \theta a ́ \phi \theta a \iota$ Sè $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \lambda \kappa \alpha ́ \theta o v ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' I \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \pi o \lambda \iota \nu . ~ Є ै \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$
43. Iphigenia - Adrastus - Graves in the Prytaneum - The rock Anacle-thra-Graves in the city - The Aesymnium - Worship of Iphinoe - Temples of Aphrodite and of Dionysus with their images - Temple of Tyche with image by Praxiteles - Temple with statues by Lysippus - Coroebus and his tomb in the market-place.

1. 'Iфıүevelas $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \Psi \mathbf{\varphi o v}:$ on the Iphigenia legend, consult Roscher, Lexikon s.v. Hdt. 4, 103 relates the story here referred to him. Strabo, 7, p. 308, mentions a sanctuary of the Virgin in the city of Tauric Chersonese, and says that on a cape called Parthenium, about eleven miles from the city, there
was a temple with an image of her. Herodotus does not mention the identification of Iphigenia with Hecate. 9. "Aбpartos: Dieuchidas, the native historian of Megara, quoted by Schol. Pind. Nem. 9, 30, says that the actual grave of Adrastus was in Megara, while a cenotaph of him was at Sicyon. -12. 'Apréןiסos iepóv: not identical with the temple of Artemis Soteira mentioned above, but situated probably in the neighborhood of the Prytaneum.
2. iv $\delta \mathbf{e k} \tau \bar{\varphi} \pi \rho u \tau a v e l(\varphi:$ it is perhaps to be inferred that when a hero enjoyed especial honor, his grave also was placed in a prominent position. -







 бí $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \tau o s-\tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta a \nu o ́ v \tau o s ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀$ 25 इav ${ }^{2} i o \nu o s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi i a \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ v ̀ ß \rho ı \nu, ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉-~$









3. 'Avak $\lambda \eta \theta^{2}$ ( $\delta a$ a: in the Etymol. Magn. p. 96 , s.v.'A $\begin{gathered}\text { vaк } \lambda \eta \theta \rho l \text {, this rock is called }\end{gathered}$ Anaclethris, and a similar story is told of the origin of the name.
4. тd́фot Meyapeiortv: only the heroic and worshiped dead were buried within the walls of a city. The account shows that the men who fell in the battles against the Persians were regarded as heroes in the religious sense. The epitaph composed by Simonides on the Megarian dead is preserved (C.I.G. G.S. 53). Of other great Greeks, Coroebus and Orsippus were buried in the agora of Megara (1, 43, 8; 1, 44, 1); Thersander in that of Elaea (9, 5, 14);

Euphron in that of Sicyon (Xen. Hell. 7, 3,12 ); Philopoemen in that of Megalopolis (C.I.G. 1536); and Brasidas in front of the agora of Amphipolis (Thuc. 5, 11). -22. т̀̀ 8 è Alov́uvıov: according to Pausanias the Aesymnium, which was the grave of the heroes, must have been within the Council House. It was probably a chamber in which the offl-
 met. Here was probably a tomb of Aesymnus, a mythical personage invented to explain the name. See PaulyWissowa, I, 1090, s.v. Aisymnetes; Busolt, Griech. Staats-und Rechtsaltertümer ${ }^{2}$, pp. 46 ff.

Ch. 43,6



 Sè $\tau \alpha$ îs кópaıs $\chi$ oòṣ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ ' I \phi ı \nu o ́ \eta s ~ \mu \nu \eta ̂ \mu a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́-~$ 40 рєь $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ \mu о v ~ к а i ̀ ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$, каӨà каì $\tau \hat{\eta}$

 'Aбтuкратєías каì Mavтov̂s. Өvjarépes סè ग̄ $\sigma a \nu$ По入veíSov тov̂ Koıpávov $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ "A $\beta a \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ M e \lambda a ́ \mu \pi o \delta o s ~ e ́ s ~ M e ́ \gamma a p a ~$











40. тй "Exaípyn кal" $\Omega \pi$ เסь: cf. 5, 7,
 кovto és $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$, Hyperborean maidens perhaps identical with Artemis herself. See Roscher, Lexikon, I, 2810 sqq.; Preller-Robert, p. 299.
 two descendants of Melampus, Polyidus and Echenor, are named as founders of sanctuaries of Dionysus. Melampus himself was an important patron of Dionysus worship. Polyidus was an illustrious seer (Il. N, 663 sqq.; Pind.

Ol. 13, 105, with Schol.). Echenor is called son of Polyidus in Hom. 1.c. and Schol. Pind. Ol. 13, 78.
53. 'Aфposírŋs vaós: named Aphrodisium in Plut. Agesilaus, 27 ; Xen. Hell. 5, 4, 58 mentions this temple, and says that once when Agesilaus was in Megara a vein in his leg burst as he was ascending from the sanctuary of Aphrodite to the government office. -55. Hê日 : Peitho is the personification of persuasion to love, and Paregorus of consolation in unfortunate
*








 65 тov̂ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ S \epsilon i ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \epsilon ́ к \theta \epsilon \epsilon ̂ \nu a \iota . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i ́-~$

 тoùs $\pi a i ̂$ 人as ảmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ фaбì áp $\pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, є́s ồ Kópol-





love. Peitho is frequently portrayed in art, especially on vases, but Parr gorus is not elsewhere mentioned. 56. "Epws kal "I $\mu$ epos kal Höos: see Preller-Robert, p. 502, concerning these personifications of Love, of Desire, and of Yearning. Urlichs, Skopas, p. 89, conjectured that these three images of Scopas stood facing the old ivory image of Aphrodite and the two inages of Peitho and Paregorus by Praxiteles, each triplet of images being placed on a single pedestal. - 58. Tú$X \eta s$ : the type of Fortune on coins of Megara, representing the goddess as a draped woman standing with a cup in her right hand and a horn of plenty in
her left, may be copied from Praxiteles' statue. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. p. 7, with pl. A, xiv. -60. $\Lambda$ viбเாтos: probably a pedestal found in Megara, consisting of a number of ancient blocks of gray marble, and bearing the inscrip-
 oıntos $\epsilon$ 'mole, supported this group of statuary. The inscription seems to date from the end of the fourth century в.c. See A.M. X (1885), 145-150.
 è $\pi \eta \kappa \kappa \tau \lambda$. : Statius, Theb. $1,579 \mathrm{sqq}$. , and Conon, Narrat. 19, tell the story of Coroebus and Psamathe, with more picturesque details.

Ch. 44,2













 є́кóvт८ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \nu \eta ̂ \nu a \iota, \gamma \nu o ́ \nu \tau \iota ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \zeta \omega \sigma \mu e ́ \nu o v ~ \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon i ̀ \nu$

 des $(4,70)$ speaks of this as a village in the territory of Megaris at the foot of Mt. Gerania. The remains of the village are to be seen about six miles northwest of Megara, at the entrance to the pass which leads through the mountains to the Isthmus of Corinth. Three forms of the name occur, Tripodiscus (Thuc. l.c.), Tripodiscium (Strabo, 9, p. 394), and Tripodisci (Paus.).
 verses are preserved in Anthol. Palat. 7, 154.
44. Orsippus - Temple of Apollo Prostaterius with statues - A Gymnasium with antiquities - Antiquities of Nisaea and of Pagae - Worship of Melanthus in Aegosthena-Grove of Autonoe in Erenia, and of the flute-
player Telephanes-The Scironian Way and the rock Moluris-Ino and Melicertes - The robber Sciron - Temple of Zeus Aphesius - Images of Aphrodite, of Apollo, and of Pan - Tomb of Eurystheus - Temple of Latoan Apollo.

1. "Opotrros: a copy of the epitaph on Orsippus's grave was found in Megara in 1769 engraved on a block of stone, in the Megarian dialect (C.I.G. 1050 ; C.I.G.G.S. 52). This. epitaph was manifestly the source of Pausanias's information. The victory of Orsippus was won in Ol. 15 ( 720 в.c.). See Euseb. Chron. vol. 1, p. 195, ed. Schöne. The war in which Orsippus gained distinction was probably waged against Corinth, which claimed, under the Bacchid dynasty, suzerainty over Megara, till the Megarians revolted and











threw off the yoke (Schol. Pind. Nem. $7,155)$.
2. 'Aדбג ${ }^{2} \omega$ vos lepóv: two inscriptions, dating from the third century B.c., with dedications to Tutelary Apollo have been found at Megara
 raibes: there were also images of Latona and her children by Praxiteles in a temple at Mantinea ( $8,9,1$ ). The one group was perhaps a replica of the other. Coins of Megara present a group of Apollo standing between Latona and Artemis, probably a copy of the Praxitelian group. See Imhoof-Blumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. pp. 7, 154, with pls. A, x, FF, ii.-13. Nupфáowv : since Pausanias, after mentioning the old gymnasium, quits Megara and proceeds to the port, the Gate of the Nymphs must have been on the south side of Megara, and probably through this the road to the port passed. An inscription (C.I.G.G.S. 31) mentions a certain Matroxenus, who was "master of the gymnasium in the Olympieum." This gymnasium in the Olympieum (1,

40, 4) was probably the new one. - 14. $\pi \cup р a \mu(\delta o s ~ \sigma X भ \mu a:$ on some coins of Me gara an obelisk appears between two dolphins, probably a copy of the pyramidal image of Apollo. See ImhoofBlumer and Gardner, Numism. Comm. on Paus. p. 6, with pl. A, viii. - 15. EL-入elturov. . . Lepov: Homer (II. $\Lambda, 270$ ) mentions these goddesses in the plural.
16. is $\delta \hat{\text { en }}$ rd linivelov: Thuc. 4, 66 says the distance from Nisaea to Megara was about eight stadia. When Megara joined the Athenian alliance about 459 b.c., the Athenians constructed and garrisoned two long walls between Me gara and Nisaea (Thuc. 1, 103). But in 424 b.c. the Megarians seized the walls and razed them to the ground. Phocion rebuilt them in the following century (Plut. Phocion, 15); and Strabo speaks as if they still existed in his time. At present hardly any remains of these walls can be pointed out. The hill of St. George on the eastern side of the harbor appears to have been the acropolis of Nisaea, mentioned by Pausanias. Ruins of the fortifications may

Ch. 44, 4











 $\lambda \epsilon \omega \phi o ́ \rho o v \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a ~ \delta \epsilon i ́ \kappa \nu v \tau a \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ e ́ \chi o v \sigma a ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma o ́ т a s ~$
be traced. - 26. vffors of $\mu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{d} \lambda \eta \mathrm{M}$ vqua : the lower hill on the western side of the harbor appears to have been what Thuc. 3, 51, and Pausanias call the island of Minoa. Thucydides (1.c.) speaks of it as an island off Megara, not far from the shore, to which it was united by a bridge built over a shoal. The Megarians used the island as a fort, but in 427 в.c. it was captured by the Athenians and fortified by them, with a view to blockading Megara. In 424 they captured Nisaea also (Thuc. $4,69)$. In the treaty of 423 they retained Minoa and Nisaea but under rigid restrictions. (Thuc. 4, 118).
29. Mayal: Pagae or Pegae (so, Attic writers and others, Thuc. 1, 103, 107, 111, 115; Plut. Pericles, 19) was a port on the west coast of Megaris, on the Gulf of Corinth. The distance from Pagae to Nisaea was one hundred and twenty stadia (Strabo, 8, p. 334). When Megara joined Athens in 450 b.c., the Athenians took and held Pagae for
some years, but evacuated it in 445 b.c., when they concluded the Thirty Years' Peace with Sparta (Thuc. l.c.).-AL yofeeva: the ruins of Aegosthena are to be found on the west shore of Megaris, at the head of a bay now called Porto Germano, formed by a western projection of Mt. Cithaeron on the north and by the mountains of Megara on the south. The walls of the town are amongst the finest and best preserved of ancient Greek fortifications. The place is rarely mentioned by ancient writers. Xen. Hell. 5, 4, 17 sq. tells of a storm which in 378 в.c. wrought havoc in a Lacedaemonian army under Cleombrotus as they were approaching Aegosthena; and Xen. Hell. 6, 4, 25 sq. tells how the Lacedaemonian army, retreating after the disaster at Leuctra, were met at Aegosthena by reënforcements under Archidamus.-31. $\pi$ érpa: Pausanias has now turned northward and is following the road to Pagae, a port on the Gulf of Corinth. In the

ỏเซтov́s, Є่s ท̂̀ oi M





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ Г \lambda \iota \sigma a ̂ \nu \tau \iota ~ a ̉ \pi o \theta a \nu o ́ \nu \tau a ~ o i ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \eta ́ к о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ П a \gamma a ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$










 50 aủ $\lambda \eta \tau o v ̂ ~ \Sigma a \mu i o v ~ T \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu o v s \cdot \pi o \iota \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \phi o \nu ~ K \lambda \epsilon o-~$



neighborhood of Tripodisci there rises on the south of the road a height now called Karydi ("walnut-tree "), on the rocky summit of which there are many holes. These holes gave rise to the fable which is cited here by Pausanias.
40. Me入á $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text {тобos: Mr. A. B. Cook, }}$ Cl. Rev. VIII (1894), 381 sqq., presents some specious reasons for holding that Melampus was originally a goat deity.
49. éx Meүápwv: from Megara Pausanias proceeded to Corinth by the route now followed by the highroad
and railway, along the southern shore close to the sea. He therefore passed through the necropolis now to be seen a little to the southwest of Megara. -50. T $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \phi$ ávous: Telephanes, the Samian flute-player, was a contemporary of Demosthenes, who speaks well of him ( 21,17, p. 520 ). He is mentioned also in Athen. 8, p. 351 e. The epitaph on his tomb by Nicarchus is preserved in Anthol. Palat. 7, 159. - 53. $\lambda\left(\theta \Psi\right.$ коух ${ }^{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{n}$ : Dodwell (Tour, II, 178) thus describes this stone: "A soft and

Ch. 44, 8















 70 Хоцєעíols $\lambda \iota \mu o ̀ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta о к о v ิ \nu \tau а ~ \Phi \rho i ́ \xi o v ~ \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o \nu ~ a i \sigma \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon-~$


porous compound of petrified shells and marine substances, that are easily decomposed and crumbled into dust." Cf. Curtius, Peloponnesus, I, 8.-
 vos кal ds тó\&e кт入.: Strabo (9, p. 391) describes the difficulties and dangers of this famous pass along the sea-cliffs, known in antiquity as the Scironian road (Hdt. 8, 71). Alciphron (3, 70) speaks of the robbers who here lay in wait for travelers. It was easy to make such a pass impassable. Hence, after the annibilation of Leonidas and his men at Thermopylae, the Peloponnesians blocked the Scironian road and
built a fortification-wall across the isthmus (Hdt. 8, 71).
 . . . Meגıképtףv : Schol. Pind. Isthm. Introd. p. 515, ed. Boeckh, and Schol. Lycophron, 229, agree in saying that Ino fled with the infant Melicertes over Mt. Gerania and flung herself and him from the Molurian rock into the sea. Cf. Zenob. 4, 38 ; Lucian, Dialogi Marini, $8,1 .-68$. $\lambda$ déctat : this is the wellknown story of Helle and Phrixus, who wereon the point of being killed through the wiles of their stepmother Inn, and who were carried away through the air on the ram with the golden fleece. It is


















told at greater length by Zenobius (4, 38) and Hyginus (Fab. 2).
76. Tต̂v 'I $\sigma 0 \mu$ (avv: cf. $2,1,3$. Schol. Pind. Isthm. Introd. p.614, ed. Boeckh, explains that when the corpse of Melicertes was washed ashore on the Isthmus, a famine befell Corinth, and an oracle declared it would not cease until the people paid the due obsequies to Melicertes and honored him with funeral games. When they afterwards omitted the games, the famine came again, and the oracle told them that the honors paid to Melicertes must be eternal. All the most famous Greek games - the Isthmian, Nemean, Olympic, and Pythian-appear to have been originally funeral games.-80. xt-
 tortoise (Schol. Eur. Hippol. 979). The death of Sciron is depicted on vasepaintings, and in some of them the tortoise is represented as waiting below for its prey. It is also the subject of one of the sculptured metopes of the so-called Theseum at Athens.
85. Diós . . . 'Aфебlov кa入oupívov vaós: the site of this sanctuary, aboutan hour and a half southwest of Megara, on an eminence above the road to Corinth, was excavated in 1889. The temple was a tiny building, about twenty feet long and fourteen feet wide, consisting merely of a cel!a with a portico facing southeast. See A.M. XIV (1889), 327; 'E $\phi$. ${ }^{\text {A } \rho \chi . ~ 1890, ~ p p . ~} 35$ sqq., 63 sq.

Ch. 44, 10
 $\kappa \eta ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тウ̀̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ‘ H \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta a s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \alpha v ̂ \theta a ~ a ̀ \pi o \theta a \nu \epsilon i \nu ~$


 $\mu \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' А \rho к а ́ \delta a ~ " E \chi є \mu о \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о v \sigma \iota \nu . ~$
91. Eujpuoflus: according to Apollod. 2, 8, 1, Eurystheus, after his defeat in Attica, fled in his chariot, but was overtaken at the Scironian rocks and slain by Hyllus, who cut off his head and brought it back to Alcmena. According to Eur. Heracl. 859 sqq., Iolaus took Eurystheus prisoner at the Scironian rocks, and brought him back
to Alcmena, who had him put to death.

- 94. ' Amd ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$ avos iepóv: after passing the long line of the Scironian cliffs the road descends into a little plain beside the sea, where at present is a small settlement named Kineta. The sanetuary of Latoan Apollo was probably in this neighborhood. -95. Izod "Yatov: see 1, 41, 2, note.


## APPENDIX

## A. MANUSCRIPTS

The text of Pausanias has been handed down to us in wretched condition. It contains a number of bad faults and a great many lacunae for which the author is not to blame. The extant manuscripts are without exception of late date and were not transcribed by the best copyists. Schubart, to whom we are indebted for the first careful collation of the manuscripts, has shown that they go back to one archetype, but that there already existed in the archetype a varia lectio, introduced above the lines and on the margin, so that the copyists had really two recensions to choose from. In some instances they preferred the reading of the text; in others they chose the marginal reading; and at times they even took both, either by noting the variation on the margin or by embodying the two ideas in the text. The manuscripts are more than twenty in number and date chiefly from the 15th century. Hitzig has brought the critical apparatus of the Schubart-Walz edition up to date and has revised the text in the light of forty years of modern scholarship. For a full discussion of the manuscripts consult the prefaces of Schubart, Hitzig, and Spiro. The principal manuscripts are divided by Hitzig into three classes, in order of excellence as follows :

Class I.-Codex Leidensis $16 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{La}$, of the 15 th and 16 th centuries; in five parts by four different hands.
Codex Parisinus 1410, Pc, written by Michael Suliardus in 1491 ; closely related to La.
Codex Parisinus 1411, Pd, of the 15 th century; closely related to Pc.
Codex Angelicus 2 c ii, Ag, of the 14th or the beginning of the 15 th century ; akin to La and Pd.
Codex Laurentianus Plut. LVI 10, Fa, of the 15 th century, with marginal glosses; it accords very frequently with Ag.
Codex Laurentianus Plut. LVI 11, Fb, of the 15th century; it is perhaps copied from Fa.
Class II. - Codex Vaticanus 56, Vt, of the 16th century.
Codex Mosquensis, M, probably of the 14 th century.
Codex Monacensis 404, Mo, of the 16 th century; it is al-
most identical with M.
Codex Venetus $413, \mathrm{Vn}$, of the 15 th century.
Codex Leidensis $16 \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{Lb}$, of the 15 th century.
Class III. - Codex Parisinus $1399, \mathrm{~Pa}$, of the 15 th century.
Codex Neapolitanus iii A $16, \mathrm{~N}$, of the 15 th century.
Codex Vindobonensis Hist. Gr. XXIII, Va, of the 16th
century.
Codex Vindobonensis Hist. Gr. LI, Vb, of the 16 th century.

## B. EDITIONS

| Editor | Place | Publisher | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Editio Princeps (M. Musurus) | Venice | Aldus | 1516 |
| Xylander-Sylburgius | Frankfort | Hered. A. Wecheli | 1583 |
| Xylander-Sylburgius | Hanover | Typis Wechelianis | 1613 |
| Kuhnius | Leipzig | Fritsch | 1696 |
| Facius | Leipzig | Weigel | 1794 |
| Clavier | Paris | Eberhart | 1814 |
| Siebelis | Leipzig | Weidmann | $1822-1828$ |
| Bekker | Berlin | Reimer | $1826-1827$ |
| Schubart and Walz | Leipzig | Haln | $1838-1839$ |
| Dindorf | Paris | Didot | 1845 |
| Schubart | Leipzig | Teubner | $1853-1854$. |
| Schubart | Leipzig | Teubner | 1875 |
| Weise | Leipzig | Tauchnitz | 1877 |
| Hitzig and Bluemner | Leipzig | Reisland | 1896 |
| Spiro | Leipzig | Teubner | 1903 |

For a full description of the early editions and translations, see Dibdin, T. F., An Introduction to the Knowledge of Rare and Valuable Editions of the Greek and Latin Classics, London 1827 ; Moss, J. W., Manual of Classical Bibliography, London 1825; Schweiger, F. L. A., Handbuch der klassischen Bibliographie, Leipzig 1830-1834.

## C. TRANSLATIONS

| language | Translator | Place | Publisher | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin | Calderinus | Venice | Bernardin | 1498 |
| Latin | Amasaeus | Rome | - | 1547 |
| Latin | Loescher | Basle | Oporinus | 1550 |
| Latin | Amasaeus | Florence | Torrentinus | 1551 |
| Latin | Amasaeus (with Sylburg ed.) | Frankfort | Wechel | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1624 \\ 1670\end{array}\right.$ |
| Italian | Bonnaccinoli | Mantua | Osanna | 1593 |
| Italian | Nibby | Rome | Poggioli | 1817 |
| German | Goldhagen | Berlin | Reimer | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1785-1766 \\ 1798-1799\end{array}\right.$ |
| German | Wiedasch | Munich | Fleischmann | 1826-1833 |
| German | Siebelis-Reichardt | Stuttgart | Metzler | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1827 \\ 1858\end{array}\right.$ |
| German | Schubart | Stuttgart | Hoffmann | 1857-1863 |
| French | Gedoyn | Paris | Nyon | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1731 \\ 1733 \\ 1797\end{array}\right.$ |
| French | Clavier | Paris | Eberhart | 1822-1828 |
| English | U. Price | London | Evans | 1780 |
| English | Thomas Taylor | London | Jeffry | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1793 \\ 1824\end{array}\right.$ |
| English | Frazer | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { London } \\ \text { New York } \end{array}\right.$ | Macmillan | 1890 |

## D. COMMENTARIES AND WORKS BEARING ON PAUSANIAS

## 1. WHOLE BOOKS AND LARGER TREATISES

Harrison, Jane E., and Verrall, Margaret de G.: Mythology and Monuments of Ancient Athens, being a translation of a portion of the Attica of Pausanias by Mrs. Verrall with introductory essay and archaeological commentary by Miss Harrison, London and New York, Macmillan, 1890; Hitzig, H., and Bluemner, H.: Pausaniae Graeciae Descriptio, edited with apparatus criticus by Hitzig, with commentary etc. by Hitzig and Bluemner, Leipzig, Reisland, 1896 ; Frazer, J. G.: Pausanias's Description of Greece, translated with a commentary. Six volumes, London and New York, Macmillan, 1898; Imhoof-Blumer, F., and Garıner, P.: Numismatic Commentary on Pausanias (J. H. S. vi, 1885, 50-101; vii, 1886, 57-113;
viii, 1887, 6-63); Kalkmann, A.: Pausanias der Perieget, Untersuchungen über seine Schriftstellerei und seine Quellen, Berlin 1886; Gurlitt, W.: Ueber Pausanias, Graz 1890; Bencker, M.: Der Anteil der Periegese an der Kunstschriftstellerei der Alten, Munich 1890; Heberdey, R.: Die Reisen des Pausanias in Griechenland (Abh. d. arch. epigr. Seminars der Universităt Wien x, Vienna 1894).

## 2. IMPORTANT ARTICLES

v. Wilamowitz, Hermes xii (1878), 365 ff. ; Schoell, Hermes xiii (1879), 432 ff.; Brunn, Jb. f. Kl. Philol. xxx (1884), 23 ff.; Enmann, ibid. 497 ff.; v. Sybel, ibid. xxxi (1885), 177 ff.; Lolling, Gött. Gel. Anz. 1890, 627 ff.; Weil, Berl. Philol. Woch. 1890, 1101 ff.; Fischbach, Wien. Stud. xv (1893), 161 ff.; Kalkmann, Arch. Anz. 1895, 12 ff.; Wachsmuth in Pauly-Wissowa, Realencycl. Supplem. i, 200 ff., 1903 ; Carroll, George Washington University Bulletin vi (1907), No. 3, 61 ff.

## 3. SELECT DISSERTATIONS

Böckh: De Pausaniae stilo Asiano, 1824; Brause: Commentationes criticae de quibusdam locis Pausaniae Periegetae, 1851; Krueger: Theologumena Pausaniae, 1860 ; Hitzig: Beitr. z. Texteskritik d. Pausanias, 1873; IIitzig: Weitere Beitr. z. Texteskritik d. Pausanias, 1876; Koenig: De Pausaniae fide et auctoritate in historia mythologia artibusque Graecorum tradendis praestita, 1832 ; Pfundtner: Pausanias Periegeta imitator Herodoti, 1866 ; Pfundtner: Des Reisebeschreibers Pausanias Lebens- und Glaubensanschauungen, 1868; Scheffer: Ueber die Persönlichkeit des Periegeten Pausanias, 1880; Storch: Syntaxeos Pausanianae part. I de anacoluthis, 1869; Storch: Syntaxeos Pausanianae capp. viii, 1872; Wernicke: De Pausaniae Periegetae studiis Herodoteis, 1884 ; Rueger: Die Präpositionen bei Pausanias. Beitrag zur historischen Syntax der griechischen Sprache, 1889.

## E. ATHENS AND ATTICA

## 1. GENERAL WORKS

On the older literature pertaining to Athenian topography, consult Léon, Comte de Laborde, Athènes aux $\mathbf{x v}^{\mathbf{e}}$, $\mathbf{x v i}^{\mathrm{e}}$ et $\mathrm{xvir}^{\mathrm{e}}$ siècles, Paris 1854, and Judeich, Topographie von Athen, pp. 14 ff . We give below the more important works that have appeared since the beginning of the seventeenth century.

Spon, J., and Wheler, G.: Voyage d'Italie, de Dalmatie, de Grèce et du Levant, fait aux années 1675 et 1676. Vols. i, ii, iii, Lyons 1678 ; vols. i, ii, Amsterdam 1679 and The Hague 1724; Wheler, G.: A Journey into Greece by George Wheler, Esq., in company with Dr. Spon of Lyons, etc., London 1682 ; Stuart, J. and Revett, $N$.: The Antiquities of Athens, 4 vols. London, i 1762, ii 1789 , iii 1794, iv 1816 ; new edition 1825-1830; Chandler, R.: Travels in Greece; or an account of a tour made at the expense of the Society of Dilettanti, Oxford 1776 ; Clarke, E. D.: Travels in Various Countries of Europe, Asia, and Africa, London 1814 : Athens in ii, 462-596; Dorlwell, E.: A Classical and Topographical Tour through Greece during the years 1801, 1805, 1806. Vols. i, ii, London 1819 ; Miller, K. O.: "Attika," Ersch and Gruber's Realencyklopädie Sekt. 1,Bd. vi,1820, 228 ff.; Hawkins, J.: On the Topography of Athens. Walpole's Memoirs relating to European and Asiatic Turkey, etc., London 1817, 2d ed. 1818, 480 ff .; Gell, W.: Itinerary of Greece, containing one hundred routes in Attica, Boeotia, Phocis, Locris, and Thessaly, London 1819; Unedited Antiquities of Attica, by the Society of Dilettanti, London 1817; 2d ed. 1833; Leake, W. M.: The Topography of Athens, London 1821; Topography of Athens and the Demi of Attica, 2 vols., London 1841; Leake, W. M.: Travels in Northern Greece, vols. i-iv, London 1835 ; Pittakis, K.: L'Ancienne Athènes, Athènes 1835; Wordsworth, Chr.: Athens and Attica, London 1836 ; 4th ed. 1869 ; Wordsworth, Chr.: Greece, a Descriptive, Historical, and Pictorial Account, London 1839 ; 2d ed. 1859; revised by II. F. Tozer 1882 ; Mure, W.: Journal of a Tour in Greece and the Ionian Islands, with renıarks on the recent history, present state, and classical antiquities of those countries. Vols. i, ii, Edinburgh and London 1842 ; Ross, L.: Wanderungen in Griechenland, Halle 1851; Ross, L.: Archäologische Aufsätze (i Leipzig 1855, ii hrsg. v. K. Keil 1861); Rochette, R.: Sur la topographie d'Athènes, Paris 1852 ; Breton, E.: Athènes décrite et dessinée, Paris 1862 ; 2d ed. 1868: Welcker, F. G.: Tagebuch einer griechischen Reise, vols. i, ii, Berlin 1865 ; Göttling, C. W.: Ges. Abhandlungen a. d. klassischen Alterüme, vol.i Halle 1854, vol.ii Munich 1863 ; Forchhammer, P. W.: Topographie von Athen, Kiel 1841 ; Penrose, F. C.: Principles of Athenian Architecture, London 1851; De Laborde, L.: Athènes aux xvé, xvie $^{\mathbf{e}}$, et xvir $^{\mathrm{e}}$ siècles, Paris 1854 ; Vischer, W. : Erinnerungen und Eindrücke aus Griechenland, Basel 1857, 103-216; Bursian, K.: Geographie von Griechenland, Leipzig 1862-1868: Attica in i, 264-325; Ulrichs, H. N.: Reisen und Forschungen, Berlin 1863 : ii, 133 ff.; Dyer, T'. H.: Ancient Athens, its History, Topography, and Remains, London 1873; Milchhoefer, A.: "Athen,"

Baumeister's Denkmäler, Munich 1885: i, 144 ff.; Milchhoefer, A.: Die attischen Demen, Berlin 1887 ; Milchhoefer, A.: Schriftquellen zur Topographie von Athen (published with Curtius' Stadtgeschichte von Athen, Berlin 1891); Hertzberg, G. F.: Athen, Halle 1885 ; Mahaffy, J. P.: Rambles and Studies in Greece, 3d ed. revised, London 1887; Curtius, E.: Attische Studien, Göttingen, vol.i 1862, vol. ii 1865; Curtius, E. : Die Stadtgeschichte von Athen, mit einer Uebersicht der Schriftquellen zur Topographie von Athen von A. Milchhoefer, Berlin 1891; Curtius, E.: Ges. Abhandlungen, Berlin 1894; Wachsmuth, C.: Die Stadt Athen im Altertum, vol. i 1874, first half vol. ii 1890, Leipzig; Wachsmuth, C.: Neue Beiträge zur Topographie von Athen (Abh. d. K. S. Ges. d. Wiss. xli); Lolling, H. G.: Topographie von Athen, Müller's Handbuch d. kl. Alt., 1st ed., iii, 290 ff.; Jahn, O., and Michaelis, A.: Arx Athenarum a Pausania descripta, Leipzig 1901 ; Michaelis, A.: Tabulae arcem Athenarum illustrantes, Leipzig 1901; Giardner, E. A.: Ancient Athens, New York 1902 ; Butler, H. C.: The Story of Athens, New York 1902 ; Kaotpiotıs: Tà $\mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon \hat{a} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, 3d ed., Athens 1895 ; Ambrosoli, S.: Atene, brevi cenni sulla città antica e inoderna, Milan 1901 ; Baedeker, K.: Greece, 4th ed., Leipzig 1904; Meyer: Turkei und Griechenland, 5th ed., 1901; Murray: Handbook for Travellers in Greece, 7th ed. revised, London 1900 ; Guides Joanne: Athènes et ses Environs, Paris 1890 ; Wachsmuth: "Athenai,"' Pauly-Wissowa, Realencycl. Supplem. i, 159 ff., Stuttgart 1903; Harrison, Jane E.: Primitive Athens as described by Thucydides, Cambridge 1906.

## 2. PERIODICALS

American Journal of Archaenlogy, founded 1885: Series i, vols. i-xi (1885-1896) ; Series ii, since 1897. (A. J. A.)

Annual of the British School at Athens, since 1894-1895.
Antike Denkmäler, a collection of valuable plates published at irregular intervals. (Ant. Denkm.)

Archäologische Zeitung, vols. i-xliii (1843-1885). (Arch. Zeit.)
Archäologischer Anzeiger: appendix to the Jahrbuch, but paged separately. (Arch. Anz.)

Bulletin de Correspondance hellénique, since 1877. (B. C. H.)
 1883, and since then annually. ('E $\phi$. 'A $\rho X$.)

Jahrbuch des Kaiserlich-Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, since 1886. (A. Jb.)

Jahreshefte des Oesterreichischen Archäologischen Instituts in Wien, mit Beiblatt, since 1898. (Jh. Oesterr. Arch. Inst.)

Journal of Hellenic Studies, since 1880. (J. H. S.)
Mittheilungen des Kaiserlich-Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Athenische Abtheilung, founded 1876, since 1886 with slight change of title. (A. M.)

Papers of the American School of Classical Studies at Athens: vols. i-vi (to 1897).
 1871. (Пр.)

Revue archéologique: Series i, 1844-1860; Series ii, 1860-1882 ; Series iii, 1883-1902 ; Series iv, 1903- . (Rev. Arch.)

Revue des Étules grecques, since 1888. (R. Ét. Gr.)

## 3. ATLASES, MAPS, PLANS, VIEWS

Atlases. - Curtius, E.: Sieben Karten von Attika, Gotha 1868 ; Curtius, E., and Kaupert, J. A.: Atlas von Athen, Berlin 1878, 12 large folio plates; Curtius and Kaupert: Karten von Attika, mit erläuterndem Text, Berlin 1881 ff.

Wall Maps. - Reinhard, H.: Athenae in us. scholarum, Stuttgart 1868; Curtius and Kaupert: Vienna 1900 ; Loeper, R.: Cybulski's Tabulae xiv, a. b., Leipzig 1903.

Views. - The photographs of Rhomaides, the English Photograph Co., and the collection of the German Archaeological Institute; Barth's Bookstore's "'E $\lambda$ 人ás, a collection of views of Athens and Greece" - all in Athens; Reconstruction of Ancient Athens by Joseph Hoffmann, Ed. Holzel's Kunstverlag in Vienna, 1880 ; Paul Acker, Les Villes antiques, Athènes. Restauration archéologique, Paris 1899; Model of Ancient Athens, after Curtius and Kaupert, H. Walger, Berlin 1880.

## 4. SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR EXCURSUSES

## (1) Walls and Fortifications

For the earlier literature, consult Wachsmuth, Curtius, Frazer, and Hitzig-Bluemner (ll. cc.).

Leake: Topography of Athens, 300-375; Wachsmuth: Stadt Athen, ii, 1-50; Curtius: Stadtgeschichte, 104 ff.: Milchhoefer: " Peiraieus," Baumeister's Denkm., ii, 1195 ff.; Karten von Attika, Text i, $24 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ ii, $1 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ von Alten: Karten von Attika, Text i, 10-22 ; Angelopoulos: Пєрі̀ Пєєраи̂s каì
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda_{\ell} \mu e^{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ aủrov̂, Athens 1898; Carroll: The Site of Ancient Phalerum, George Washington University Bulletin iii, 1904, No. 3, 82 ff.; Frazer: on Paus. 1, 1, 2 ; 1, 2, 2; 1, 2, 4; 1, 28, 3; Hitzig-Bluemner: on Paus. 1, 1,$2 ; 1,2,2 ; 1,2,4 ; 1,28,3$; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 36-72, 542-563; Judeich: Topographie von Athen, 107-154.

## (2) The Market-Place of Athens

The literature on the Agora is most fully given by Wachsmuth, ii, 305, note 1, and Hitzig-Bluemner, note on Paus. 3, 1. The most important references are :

Wachsmuth: Die Stadt Athen, i, 152-172, 180-182, 199-212 ; ii, 305 ff.; Pauly-Wissowa Supplem. 1, 181 ff.; Curtius: Attische Studien, ii, Der Kerameikos und die Geschichte der Agora von Athen ; Stadtgeschichte, $169 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Ges. Abhandlungen, i, $339 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Leake: Topography of Athens, 98-134; Kaupert: Die Rekonstruktion der Agora des Kerameikos, Berl. Philol. Woch. vii (1887), 571 ff.; Lange: Haus u. Halle, 1885, 60 ff.; Weizsäcker: Jahrb. f. kl. Philol. 1887, 577 ff.; Verh. d. 39. Philologenvers. in Zurich 1888, 210 ff.; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 14 ff.; Fallis: Pausanias auf der Agora von Athen, Munich 1895 ; Dörpfeld: Ant. Denkm. ii, Taf. 37, Text p. 1; Milchhoefer: Berl. Philol. Woch. 1900, 351 f., 379 ff.; Frazer: Pausanias ii, pp. 55 ff., etc.; Hitzig-Bluemner: on Paus. 1, 3, 1, etc.; Garilner: Ancient Athens; 126 ff., 381, 455 ; Judeich: Topographie von Athen, 293-339.
(3 a) "The Enneacrunus Episode"
For a more complete statement of the literature on the Enneacrunus question, consult Hitzig-Bluemner, i, 166 ff ., and Frazer, Pausanias. ii, $114,117 \mathrm{f}$. Here follow the more important titles:

Leake: Topography of Athens, i, $127 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Curtius: Attische Studien, ii, 15 ff.; Stadtgesch. 88-294; Ges. Abhandl. ii, 401-408; Wachsmuth: Stadt Athen, i, 272-284; Rh. Mus. xxiii, 35 ff.; Unger: Sitzungsber. d. Akad. Münch. phil. hist. Cl. (1874), 263 ff.; Löschke: Die Enneakrunos-episode bei Pausanias, Progr. Dorpat (1883), 9 ff.; Dörpfeld: A. M. xvi (1891), 444 ff .; xvii (1892), 92 ff., 439-445; xix (1893), 143 ff.; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens (1890), 88 ff .; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 18-23, 149-151, 535-538; Gräber: Die Enneakrunos, A. M. xxxi (1906), 1-64; Judeich: Topographie (1905), 180 ff .; Watzinger: A. M. xxvi (1901), $305 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Miss Harrison: Primitive Athens as described by Thucydides, Cambridge 1906.

## (3b) The Dionysium in Limnis

Curtius: Stadtgesch. 76 ff .; Wilamowitz: Hermes, xxi (1886), 615 ff .; Oehmichen: Sitzungsber. Akad. Münch. phil.-hist. Kl. ii (1889), 122 ff.; v. Maass: De Lenaeo et Delphinio, Progr. Greifswald, 1891/1892, 111 ff. ; Pickard: A. J. A. viii (1893), 56 ff.; Dörpfeld: A. M. xvii (1892), 439 ; xix (1894), $506 \mathrm{ff} . ; \mathrm{xx}$ (1895), $161 \mathrm{ff} ., 368 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Milchhoefer: Philol. lv (1896), 171 ff.; Wachsmuth: Abh. Gesellschaft d. W. Leipz. (1897), 33 ff. ; v. Prott : A. M. xxiii (1898), 205 ff.; Bates: Trans. Am. Philol. Assoc. xxx (1899), 97 ff.; Carroll: Class. Rev. xix (1905), 325 ff.; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 111 ff., 123 ff., 148 ff.; Judeich: Topographie, 261 ff.; Miss Harrison: Primitive Athens, 83-100; Schrader: A. M. xxi (1896), 265 ff.; Capps: Class. Philol. ii (1907), 25 ff.

## (4) The So-called Theseum

Wachsmuth: Die Stadt Athen, i, 357-365 ; Leake: Athens, i, 498-512; Curtius: Stadtgeschichte, 120-136, 294-296; Dörpfeld: A. M. ix (1884), 326 ff.; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 112-122, 146-149; Gardner : Ancient Athens, 410 ff.; Graef and Baumeister: Baumeister's Denkm. 17741786 ; Ross: Das Theseion und der Tempel des Ares in Athen, Halle 1852 ; Pervanoglu: Philologus, xxvii (1868), 660-672; Sauer: Das sogenannte Theseion und sein plastischer Schmuck, Leipzig and Berlin 1899 ; Bates: A. J. A.v (1901), 37 f.; Lolling: Nachr. der Gött. Ges. d. Wissensch. 1874, 17 ff.; Judeich: Topographie, 325 ff.
(5) The Olympieum

Stuart and Revett: The Antiquities of Athens, London 1794, 11-17; Dodwell: Travels in Greece, i, 387 ff. ; Leake: Athens, i, 513-516; Dyer: Ancient Athens, 272-279 ; Milchhoefer: Athen, 177 f.; Bevier: Papers of Am. School at Athens, i (1882-1883), 183-212; Guide Joanne: i, 98 f.; Baedeker: 49 f.; Lolling: Athen, 321 f.; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 188 ff.; Penrose: J. H. S. viii (1887), 272 ff.; Penrose: Principles of Athenian Architecture (ed. of 1888), with pl. xxxvii, xxxviii, xxxix ; 'Eф. 'A $\rho \chi$., 1883, 195 f.; Berl. Philol. Woch. vii (1887), 702 ; Frazer: Pausanias, ii, 178 f.; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 116-119, 498-499, etc.; Judeich: Topographie, 340 ff.

## (6) The Theatre of Dionysus

Dyer : Ancient Athens, 307-343 ; Julius : Zeitschr. f. bild. Kunst, xiii
 $6 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ ibid. for 1878, 8 ff .; Wheeler: Papers of An. School at Athens, i (1882-1883), 123-179 ; Milchhoefer: Athen, 190-192 ; Mïller: Die griech. Bühnenalterthümer, 82-101; Dörpfeld: ibid. 415 ff.; Kawerau: Baumeister's Denkm. 1734-1738; Guide Joanne: i, 69-72; Baedeker: 53-55; Bötticher: Die Akropolis von Athen, 236-255; Haigh: The Attic Theatre, Oxford 1898; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 271-295; Frazer: Pausanias, ii, 222 ff.; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 123-125, 398-399, 453-454, etc.; Dörpfeld and Reisch: Das griechische Theater, Athen 1896; Dörpfeld: A. M. xxii (1897), 439 ff.; xxiii (1898), 326 ff.; xxiv (1899), 310 ff .; xxviii (1903), 383 ff. ; Puchstein: Die griechische Bühne: eine architektonische Untersuchung, Berlin 1901 ; Judeich: Topographie, 276 ff. ; Capps: University of Chicago Studies in Class. Philol. i (1893), 93 ff. ; Class. Rev. viii (1894), 318 ff . ; A. J. A. $\times$ (1896), 287 ff .
(7) The Acropolis of Athens

Beulé: L'Acropole d'Athènes, 2 vols. 1853-1854 (2d ed. 1862); De Laborde: Athènes aux $\mathbf{x v}^{\ominus}$, $\mathrm{xvi}^{\ominus}$, $\mathrm{xvir}^{\ominus}$ siècles, 1854 ; Wachsmuth: Die Stadt Athen im Altertum, 1874 ; Burnouf: La Ville et l'Acropole d'Athènes, 1877; Bötticher: Die Akropolis von Athen, Berlin 1888; Gregorovius: Geschichte der Stadt Athen im Mittelalter, 1889 ; Curtius: Die Stadtgeschichte von Athen, 1891 ; Miller: History of the Acropolis of Athens, A. J. A. viii (1893), 473 ff.; Luckenbach: Die Akropolis von Athen, 1896 ; Gross: Die Akropolis von Athen und ihre Kunstdenkmäler, Progr. Kronstadt, 1900 ; Michaelis: Arx Athenarum a Pausania descripta, with atlas, 1901; Hachtmann: Die Akropolis von Athen im Zeitalter des Perikles, Gymnasialbibl. Heft 35, Gütersloh 1903 ; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 343 ff.; Primitive Athens, 5-65; Garlner: Ancient Athens, 208 ff.; Judeich: Topographie, 190-255; Dörpfeld, Petersen, Wolters: Die Ausgrabungen der Akropolis, A. M. xi (1886) - xiv (1890).

## (8) The Propylaea

Wheler: Journey in Greece, 358 f.; Stuart and Revett: ii (1787), 37 ff., with pl. i-xiii ; Leake: Athens, i, 527 f.; Beulé: L'Acropole d'Athènes, i, 162 ff.; Ivanoff: Sulla grande Scalinata de' propilei dell'Acropoli d'Atene, Annali dell' Instituto, xxiii (1861), 275-293; Dyer: Ancient Athens,

362 ff.; Julius: A. M. i (1876), 216-228; ii (1877), 192-194; Robert: Aus Kydathen, 172-194; Bohn: Die Propylaeen der Akropolis zu Athen (Berlin and Stuttgart 1882) ; Milchhoefer: Athen, 200-202; Dörpfeld: A. M. x (1885), 38-56, 131-144; White: 'E $\phi$. 'A $\rho$ X. 1894, 1 ff.; Boetticher: Die Akropolis von Athen, 175-187; Lolling: Athen, 339-341; Baumeister's Denkm., 1414-1422; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 350-367; Curtius: Stadtgesch. 130 ff.; Weller: A. J. A. [N. S.] viii (1904), 33-70; Judeich : Topographie, 207 ff.

## (9) The Temple of Athena Nike

Michaelis: Arch. Zeit. xx (1862), 249-267; Bötticher: Philologus xxi (1864), 41-72; Pervanoglu, Bulletino dell’ Instituto, 1868, 162-164; Julius : A. M. i (1876), 224 ff.; Michaelis, ibid. 279 ff.; Curtius: Arch. Zeit. xxxvii (1879), 97 f.; Bohn: Arch. Zeit. xxxviii (1880), 85-91; A. M. v (1880), 259-267, 309-316; Kekulé: Die Reliefs an der Balustrade der Athena Nike (Stuttgart 1881); Petersen: Zeit. f. d. oest. Gym. xxxii (1881), 261-282 ; Baumeister's Denkm., 1021-1027; Wolters: Bonner Studien, 1890, 92-101; Friederichs-Wolters: Gipsabgüsse, Nos. 747-804, pp. 281-290; Yorke: J. H. S. xiii (1892-1893), 272-280; Kavvadias : 'E $\phi$. 'Apx. 1897, $174 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Dörpfeld: A. M. xxii (1897), 227 ff. ; v. Wilamowitz: Deutsch. Lit. Zeit. 1898, 383 ff.; Furtwängler : Meisterw. 207-222 ; Judeich : Topographie, 204 ff .

## (10) The Parthenon

The literature on the Parthenon is given fully in Jahn-Michaelis, Arx, $53 \mathrm{ff} .^{1}$ We give only the principal titles:

1. Architecture. - Michaelis: Der Parthenon, Leipzig 1871; Fergusson, The Parthenon, London 1882 ; Penrose: Principles of Athenian Architecture, new ed., London 1888; Magne: Le Parthenon: Etudes faites au cours de deux missions en Grèce 1894-1895, Paris 1895; Dörpfeld: A. M. vi (1881), 283-302; xix (1894), 529-531; xxvii (1902), 379 ff.; v. Sybel: Baumeister's Denkm. ii, 1171-1188; Boetticher: Akropolis, 110 ff.; Furtwängler: Meisterw. 162 ff.; Miss Harrison: Ancient Athens, 430-480; Gardner: Ancient Athens, 257-352; Judeich: Topographie, 225-237.
2. Sculpture.-Petersen: Die Kunst des Pheidias, Berlin 1873; Waldstein: Essays on the Art of Phidias, Cambridge 1885 ; Puchstein: A. Jb., v (1890), 79-117; Sauer: A. M. xv (1891), 59-94; Furtwängler: Meisterw. 184 ff., 223-250 ; Six: A. Jb., ix (1894), 83-87 ; Pernice: A. Jb., x (1895),
${ }^{1}$ For subsequent literature see Frazer, ii, 310 f. ; Hitzig-Bluemner, i, 271-273; Judeich, 225-237, 1-7.

93-103; Wizemann: Die Giebelgruppen des Parthenon, Stuttgart 1895. Schwerzek: Erläuterungen zu der Reconstruction des Westgiebels des Parthenon, Vienna 1896 ; Michaelis: A. Jb., xi (1896), 300-304; Malenberg. A. Jb., xii (1897), 92-96; Treu: A. Jb., xii (1897), 101 ff. ; Omont: Dessins des sculptures du Parthenon, Paris 1898; Murray: The Sculptures of the Parthenon, London 1903.

## (11) The Erechtheum

For literature on the Erechtheum, cf. Frazer, ii, 338 f.; Hitzig-Bluemner, i, 284 f.; Judeich, 'Topographie, 243-245.
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## EXCURSUSES

[For Bibliography see Appendix E]

## EXCURSUS I. THE HARBORS AND FORTIFICATIONS OF GREATER ATHENS

In this discussion it may be said that I accept the following :
(1) The site of Old Phalerum is to the west of the Bay of Phalerum, on the eastern slope of the hill of Munychia and extends eastward along the Bay, the view held by Leake and Gardner. Other topographers locate it either (a) at Trispyrgi, crowned by the chapel of St. George at the southeast corner of the Bay, as Ulrichs and Frazer, or (b) on a conspicuous rocky elevation about one and one fourth miles north of St. George and 1400 yards from the sea, near the chapel of the Savior, as Milchhoefer and Judeich.
(2) Where Thucydides and Pausanias refer to the three harbors of the Piraeus, they always mean (a) the greater harbor, (b) the oval basin southwest of the hill of Munychia, now known as the harbor of Zea or Pashalimani, and (c) the small harbor, southeast of Munychia and west of the Bay, the old harbor of Phalerum now known as Munychia or Fanari.
(3) Cape Colias was what is now known as the promontory of St. George, at the southeast corner of the Bay, frequently falsely called the site of Old Phalerum.
(4) The so-called Third Long Wall of Athens, usually called the Phaleric Wall, has never existed except in the fancy of certain topographers, notably Wachsmuth, Curtius, Frazer, and Judeich. In this I agree with Leake, Angelopoulos, and Gardner.

For a complete discussion of these views and of the passages in ancient authors involved, see my paper, "The Site of Ancient Phalerum," The George Washington University Bulletin, Vol. III, no. iii, pp. 82-90, October, 1904.
A. History. - Three periods are to be distinguished in the history of the fortifications of Athens: (1) The period of the Acropolis fortifications, dating from prehistoric times; (2) that of a pre-Persian city wall in
addition to the Acropolis fortifications; and (3) that of the fortifications of Greater Athens, including Piraeus, dating from the rebuilding of the city after the Persian Wars.

1. The fortifications of the Acropolis date from prehistoric times, but were not of any practical importance after the Persian Wars, when the Acropolis ceased to be a citadel and became the sacred precinct of Athena. The primitive wall about the hill was similar to the walls about the citadels of Mycenae and Tiryns, and the story goes that the Athenians employed the Pelasgians to fortify the Acropolis. The wall was known as
 natural contours of the rock, and its course may be traced on plans of the Acropolis, where remains are indicated on the south, east, and west sides. At the west end was a kind of terraced outwork, known as the Enneapylon, or the Nine Gates, to which the name Pelargikon was given par excellence. The exact arrangement of the gates is not known, but they were doubtless set within one another in a series of bastions or terraces.

The Pelargikon doubtless existed intact up to the sixth century b.c. The Pisistratidae made use of the Acropolis with its fortifications as their citadel. After their occupation the Pelargikon was held to be under a curse and was no longer used for profane purposes. It was either demolished by the Persians or was removed for the embellishment of the Acropolis as a sacred precinct. It was never restored, but considerable portions of the outworks doubtless survived to imperial times.
2. The Pelargikon was for a long time the only fortification of Athens. It is probable that in the seventh century, certainly not later than the time of Solon, the enlarged city was surrounded with a wall. The course and extent of this wall cannot be determined in detail, as actual remains fail us, but we can in general identify its course. We infer that it was of narrower compass than the Themistoclean Wall (Thnc. 1, 93), that the older city developed round the Acropolis (Herod. 7, 140), and that the rivers, the Ilissus and the Eridanus, were recognized as boundaries to the south and north respectively (Plat. Critias, p. 112 A). An important factor for the course of the earlier wall is the gate of Hadrian with its inscriptions, which distinguish "the city of Theseus" from "the city of Hadrian." A similar landmark to the north is seen by some topographers in the gate mentioned by Paus. 1, 15, 1, at the north entrance of the market. (Judeich.)

Assuming these two points as fixed, on the northeast and southwest sides, we can conjecture the course of the wall from the configuration of
the land. Thus the wall probably ran from where the arch of Hadrian was built later, westward to the Philopappus hill, thence northwest over the Pnyx to the Hill of the Nymphs, thence over Market hill to the northwest gate. From there it ran in semicircular fashion, first eastward, then southeast, then southwest, to the Arch of Hadrian. Thus could originate very well the oracle's observation regarding the wheel-formed city, with the Acropolis as the hub. The entire course was about three miles. Others regard the Dipylum as the site of the northwest gate of the early city wall as well as of the later, basing their argument on Thucydides's $(6,57)$ narrative of the assassination of Hipparchus. Hippias is superintending the arrangements for the Panathenaic festival "outside in the Ceramicus ( $\epsilon \xi \omega$
 betrayed, rush within the gates ( $\epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) and slay Hipparchus near the Leocorium. This would make the circuit somewhat greater. Concerning the material and the style of building we can only conjecture, but probably they were much the same as in the later wall. This wall was probably neglected in the sixth and early part of the fifth centuries, as it seems to have afforded no protection whatever against the Persians. After the Persian War very little of it was left standing. Cf. Thuc. $1,89,3$, శ̀ेv
 єїті́кєє кт入.
3. The first strong fortification of Athens falls in the time when the enthusiasm of the Athenians was stirred over the victories of Salamis and Plataea, and is coincident with the expansion of the city which began soon after those battles. We have an account of the rebuilding in Thuc.










The date of Themistocles's archonship during which he induced the Athenians to begin the fortification of the Piraeus was $493-492$ b.c. It is likely, however, that the work was not prosecuted in earnest until after the Persian Wars, when the city walls were being built and brought to a finish. The work of fortification was inaugurated under Themistocles,
continued under Cimon, and completed under Pericles. Similarly the north wall of the Acropolis dates from the time of Themistocles. The south and east walls were built by Cimon out of the spoils won by him from the Persians at the battle of the Eurymedon in 468 b.c.

The construction of the Long Walls was a later work. According to Thuc. 1, 107, the Athenians began to build the Long Walls to the sea, namely the wall to Phalerum and the wall to Piraeus, about 460 b.c. The walls were completed within four years, apparently soon after the battle of Oenophyta in 456 b.c. (Thuc. 1, 108 ; Plut. Cimon, 13). Those who hold to the construction of a Middle Wall, usually known as the South Piraeic Wall, date its construction in 445 b.c. on the untrustworthy evidence of Andocides $(3,7)$ and Aeschines $(2,174)$. For a full discussion of the so-called Third Long Wall, see the paper already mentioned, pp. 88-90. At the beginning of the Peloponnesian War the fortifications were still intact (Thuc. 2, 13). The Piraeus fortifications and the Long Walls were demolished by the Lacedaemonians after the defeat at Aegospotami in 404 b.c. (Plut. Lysander, 14 ; Diod. 13, 107 ; 14, 85). The walls of Athens were apparently spared.

During 394-392 b.c. the Piraeus fortifications and the Long Walls were restored, chiefly under Conon (Xen. Hell. 4, 8, 9-10, Diod. 14, 85). The Long Walls may have been destroyed again in 256 b.c. by Antigonus when he withdrew his garrison from Athens (Paus. $3,6,6$ ) : at any rate they were half in ruins in 200 b.c. when Philip V of Macedon attacked Athens (Livy, 31, 26). During this time the city wall had undergone extensive improvements after the battle of Chaeronea, 338 b.c. (Aeschin. 3, 27, 31 ; Liban. ad Dem. 30, 221, 1), and had been restored, according to inscriptions, under Habron, the son of Lycurgus, in $307 / 306$ в.c. (C.I.A. II, 167), and under Euryclides and Micion (C.I.A. II, 379). The final ruin occurred when Sulla in 87-86 b.c. assailed Athens. He razed the fortifications of the Piraeus and burnt the arsenal and the docks; he utilized what was left of the Long Walls in building the mound against the city close to the Dipylum; and he destroyed the city wall from the Dipylum to the Piraeus gate (Plut. Sulla, 14 ; Appian, Mithrid. 41 ; Strabo, 9, p. 396). Probably from that time the Piraeus fortifications and the Long Walls were a memory only. The extension of the city circuit occurred under the Emperor Hadrian. This enlargement of the city to the south and southeast is confirmed by the inscription on the gate of Hadrian, and by actual remains of the wall, which enable us to trace its course. The extension measured nearly $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles. With the Hadrian Wall, the story of the ancient
fortifications is completed. Not to antiquity but to the later Middle Ages belongs what has been usually known as the "Valerian Wall," which connected the northern part of the city with the Acropolis (see W. Vischer, Kl. Schr. II, 385 Anm. 1, and Judeich, Topographie, 103 and 154).
B. Description. - The fortifications of Greater Athens naturally fall into three sections, which we shall treat in the following order: (1) The Extent and Course of the City Wall ; (2) Fortifications of the Piraeus and its Harbors; (3) The Construction of the Long Walls uniting the city with its port.

1. To determine the line of the wall, we must rely partly on the literary evidence, partly on the study of the configuration of the land and of extant remains. We naturally begin at the Dipylum, where substantial remains of the Themistoclean wall, with later additions, were excavated in 1872-1874. The Dipylum was a double gate, that is, there was an outer and an inner entrance, separated by an inclosed court about $133^{\circ}$ feet long; and each of these entrances consists of two gates, each about 11 feet wide, hinging on a pillar in the middle. The outer gate stands about 25 feet back from the outer surface of the city wall, and the approach to it is flanked by towers on both sides. So strong a defense was doubtless constructed because the low land about this gate made it the most vulnerable spot of the city. Here Philip V of Macedon in 200 b.c. made his unsuccessful assault (Livy, 31, 24) ; with a body of cavalry Philip forced his way through the outer gate into the court, where the missiles of the enemy poured down upon him, and he had great difficulty in extricating himself; beside this gate Sulla built the mound by which he captured the city (Plut. Sulla, 14).

The same excavations brought to light what was taken to be another gate, southwest of the Dipylum at a distance of 60 yards. Some have named it the Sacred Gate, but Dörpfeld believes it was merely an opening in the wall for the passage of the Eridanus, and that the term "Sacred Gate" is merely another name for the Dipylum, as through it the săcred processions passed on their way to Eleusis. (A. M. XIII, 1888, p. 214 ; XIV, 1889, pp. 414 f.)

Between the Dipylum and the so-called Sacred Gate there are considerable remains of the old city walls, consisting of an inner wall of polygonal limestone blocks nearly 8 feet thick, and an outer wall, built at a later time to strengthen the inner, about 14 feet thick, composed of an outer and inner facing of conglomerate blocks with the space between filled with earth. Beyond the Sacred Gate to the southwest both walls are
prolonged for about 40 yards to the rocky slope of the Athanasius hill, where they come to an end. Here the inner wall, mostly of limestone, reaches at times the height of 13 feet. The outer wall is about 30 feet distant from the inner; it consists of quadrangular blocks of conglomerate, and is preserved in part to a height of sixteen courses. Northeast of the Dipylum the inner and the outer wall may be traced for about 55 and 40 yards respectively; the inner wall is well preserved, but the outer is in a ruinous condition. Of these walls and gates, the lower polygonal part of the inner wall dates from Themistocles's fortifications. The Dipylum was probably built by Pericles. The outer wall probably dates from the Macedonian period.

From the Athanasius hill, the course of the wall up to the Hill of the Nymphs is clearly marked. Thence it ran in a southeasterly direction, following the configuration of the land, over the Hill of the Nymphs, along the ridge of the Pnyx to the Hill of the Muses. Beyond this point we can conjecture its course partly from certain landmarks, partly from literary evidence. Thus the wall continued eastward from the summit of the Hill of the Muses, and probably included the terrace of the Olympieum, the southeast corner of which seems to have formed the angle whence the wall turned northward (see Strabo, 9, 404, and Judeich l.c.). Its course northward probably extended in the direction of the present English Church, thence northwestward on the line of the present Stadion Street as far as the Police Court on the 'Odo's Nourдиaтокотєíov, where there was unmistakable evidence of its presence. Thence, making a turn, it proceeded in a southwesterly direction in a line parallel with the Piraeus Street, until it met the double wall extending northeast from the Dipylum.
2. In spite of the ruin effected by time and the hand of man, enough has been preserved to enable one to trace the line of fortification-wall almost entirely round the peninsula of Piraeus. The sea-wall skirts the shore at a distance of about 20 to 40 yards. It is from 9 to 12 feet thick and consists of carefully cut blocks of native limestone without mortar; in some parts the wall is still standing to a height of 9 feet, and is flanked by towers at intervals of 55 to 66 yards.

The mouths of the harbors were contracted by moles which ran out to meet each other and left only a narrow entrance between their extremities. Thus the harbor of Cantharus, which has a mouth 336 yards wide, was protected by moles each 141 yards in length, narrowing the entrance to about 54 yards. As Zea consisted of a circular basin extending inland with a mouth only about 108 yards broad, it needed less elaborate fortifications. Walls ran along the channel leading to the basin on each side,
and at the inner end of the channel on either side were towers of solid masonry built out into the water. Munychia, being semi-elliptical in shape, was originally altogether too accessible, and required extensive constructions to convert it into a harbor that was safe in time of war. Its moles have been regarded as the most magnificent specimen of ancient Greek fortification that has survived. The southern mole built on a reef is about 206 yards long; the northern mole, resting partly on a spit of land, partly in the sea, is about 31 feet wide and 184 yards long. The entrance to the harbor, between towers terminating each mole, was 40 yards in width. In times of danger heavy chains, coated with tar, were stretched across the entrances of the harbors from tower to tower. The wall running round the peninsula joined the harbor fortifications.

On the landward side, the wall started from the northeast corner of the Munychia harbor, ran along the coast a short distance northward, ascended the hill and followed the plateau first westward and then northward, connected with the Long Walls, then turned westward across a bight of the harbor, and then followed the rocky promontory of Eetionia southwestward to the sea. Four gates can be distinguished on the landward side, the principal one being just outside the northern Long Wall.

The hill of Munychia was from early times the acropolis of Piraeus. In the latter part of the sixth century a strong fortress was here constructed by the tyrant Hippias (Arist. Resp. Ath. 38). After the Spartan occupation it was seized by Thrasybulus and his band of patriots who restored the democracy. Demetrius Poliorcetes (294 b.c.) demolished the Munychian fortress, and built a fortress on the Museum hill at Athens.
3. Though but scant traces of the Long Walls can now be detected, remains were visible to seventeenth and eighteenth century travelers. In 1676 Wheler noticed the foundations in many places (Journey, p. 420). A century later Stuart (Wachsmuth, Stadt Athen, II, 188) saw remains of the walls 12 feet thick, with square towers at intervals. Leake (I, 295 ff .) traced the foundations of the northern Long Wall for a mile and a half, beginning half a mile from the head of the great harbor, and running in the direction of the entrance to the Acropolis. These foundations, 12 feet thick, consisted of large quadrangular blocks of stone. The southern Long Wall was not so easily traceable, except at its junction with the wall about Munychia, and for half a mile thence toward Athens. See Leake, I, 417 ff . The modern highroad from Athens to Piraeus, constructed in 1835 , is largely laid on the foundations of the northern Long Wall (Wachsmuth, II, 188).

The southern Long Wall joined the landward Piraeus Wall directly north of the summit of the Munychia hill, and west of the Bay of Phalerum ; the northern, where the Piraeus Wall turned westward, toward the north of the harbor. Starting northeastward, they first converged, then ran parallel to each other at a distance of 550 feet until they approached Athens, when they again diverged. "The northern wall seems to have joined the ring-wall of Athens on the west side of the Nymphaeum hill near the modern Observatory; while the southern wall joined the city wall on the summit of the Museum hill. At the point where the Long Walls began to diverge as they approached Athens, they were joined by a cross-wall in which there was a gate."

Thucydides's estimate $(2,13)$ of the extent of the fortifications of Greater Athens is as follows: Circuit of city (exclusive of space between Long Walls), 43 stades ; Piraeus Wall, 40 stades ; Phaleric Wall, 35 stades ; circuit of Piraeus peninsula, 60 stades, of which 30 were guarded. Gardner (p. 71) shows that, as judged by extant remains and geographic conditions, the circuit of the city wall as stated by Thucydides is far too great; the length of both the Long Walls is too short ; the figures given for the circuit of Piraeus is about correct. He says the discrepancy may be adjusted by taking the figure for the city walls to include the portions of the Long Walls down to where they became parallel, and where a cross-wall is marked in Curtius's map. Roughly measured, the circuit of the old city wall was 28 stades; the additional piece thus added is about 15 stades, making a total of 43 stades. This enables the two Long Walls to diverge more widely at the Piraeus so that about half the wall might be left undefended, as Thucydides states.

By the completion of the Long Walls the city of Athens and its port were converted, as the orator Aristides says (13, vol. I, 305, ed. Dindorf) into one vast fortress a day's journey in circumference. Taking Thucydides's figures the total was 178 stades or nearly 20 miles.

## EXCURSUS II. THE AGORA OF ATHENS

The determination of the site of the ancient Agora of Athens and its monuments is the turning-point of almost the whole study of Athenian topography. Yet it is this section of the city which presents the most difficult problems to the archaeologist, and about which there exists the most uncertainty. This is due to a number of causes. Of all parts of the city, the market-place and its neighborhood have been most seusitive to changes
of population, most subject to growth and decay, and thus its appearance has changed with every important epoch of Athenian history - Greek, Roman, Byzantine, Venetian, Frank, and Turkish. Of the many buildings in and about the Agora of the fifth century only one remains, an evidence of the destruction and decay that have here taken place. Again, with the passing centuries the lie of the land has changed, and relief-maps of Athens of the fifth century and of the twentieth century would show decided differences of level. Furthermore, while literary references to the market-place are numerous, they tell us of its life, of its frequenters and their occupations, but give scant information as to its site, its extent, and the relative location of its buildings and monuments. Even Pausanias's hints as to direction are indefinite and obscure, and throw little light on many questions of the utmost importance to modern scholars.

Finally, archaeologists and topographers differ among themselves in their interpretation of the testimony of antiquity. Basing their conclusions upon the description of the one authority, Pausanias, they have so differed in their interpretations of the same statements that we have eight or more ground-plans meant to show the relative location of the various buildings.

The topography of the Agora is accordingly uncertain, and it will require further excavations to put it on a basis of sound knowledge. In the meantime we shall follow the lead of Dr. Dörpfeld.
A. Historical Development of the Agora. - The Agora of Athens, like the Roman Forum, was at all periods the centre of the political and commercial life of the city. There are likewise many analogies in the historical and topographical development of the Agora and the Forum.

When the Greeks first established communities they were in danger of robbers by land and of pirates by sea. Hence they built their settlements upon a rock which they fortified against the attacks of their enemies.

Thus originated the citadels, or 'Aкротóגas, of primitive Greece, of which the Acropolis of Athens became the most celebrated. The low ground nearest to the citadel became the place of parley and of barter with neighboring tribes. And this constituted the primitive 'A $\mathbf{A}$ opá, a term first used to denote a gathering of the people at the call of the king or chief, then the place of such gatherings, and later the general place of meeting for commercial and political purposes.

Thucydides $(2,15)$ says that before the centralization under Theseus the Acropolis constituted the primitive city, together with the ground lying under it, especially to the south. In proof of this statement he cites the location of a number of ancient sanctuaries, and of the spring which


Fig. 1. Tie Athenian Agora (Antike Denkmäler, II, 37)
furnished water for the early inhabitants. From this it seems clear that the hollow ground to the southwest of the Acropolis, bounded by the Areopagus, Pnyx, and Museum hills, was the site of the original Agora. The Roman Forum presents a striking analogy. The hollow ground between the Palatine and Capitoline hills, with its spring of Juturna and its primitive cults, there became the place of parley and of barter, the embryo centre of the later political and commercial life of Rome.

Thus the Agora, at first a place of truce-making and of buying and selling, became with the growth of the city the place for law courts, for shrines of the gods, for business centres - for in ancient times law and religion and commerce went hand in hánd. But as society became more highly organized, the Agora for busmess would gradually separate from the Agora of politics and religion, and thus the territory covered by the various activities of the market-y pace would gradually spread.
B. Course and Extent of the Agora. - We can trace in general terms the course of the Athenian Agora. The centre of the growing city gradually shifted northward and westward. Hence, as law and politics and business deinanded greater accommodations, the Areopagus became the centre round which the market spread, chiefly round its western slope, until the district lying north and northwest of it was entirely devoted to public buildings. The political Agora naturally kept as much as possible to its old haunts, while the business Agora spread in a northwesterly direction, toward the principal gate of the city - the Dipylum.

Hence the Agora is not to be regarded as a rectangular space carefully laid off, as in the plans of Curtius and of others, but rather as a long rambling quarter of the town, approached by the avenue from the Dipylum, with the Colonus Agoraeus as its northwest limit; east of this hill and north of the Areopagus was its principal section, but it stretched round the western slope of the Areopagus and embraced the older sites between the Areopagus and the Pnyx and extending toward the Acropolis.
C. Site of Buildings and Monuments mentioned by Pausanias. - We shall now endeavor to locate the buildings and monuments mentioned by Pausanias.

Pausanias entered Athens at the Dipylum, and proceeded along the Dromos, a broad avenue extending in a southeasterly direction, until he entered the Ceramicus at the foot of the Colonus Agoraeus. He then mentions as the first building on the right-hand side the Royal Colonnade, and in its immediate neighborhood the Colonnade of Zeus the Deliverer and the temple of Apollo the Paternal. These three buildings were doubtless in a line just beneath the Colonus hill, as indicated on the plan. (See Fig. 1, facing p. 236.)

The next group, which Pausanias expressly says were near each other,the Metroum or sanctuary of the Mother of the Gods, the Buleuterium or Council House of the 500, and the Tholos or Rotunda, - appear to have stood at the southern end of the market-place, just at the northern foot of the slope of the Areopagus, for reasons given in the Notes. Above this group of buildings on the northern slope of the Areopagus stood the statues of the Eponymi. Pausanias now follows the main thoroughfare round the western slope of the Areopagus, with these buildings to his left, while opposite, on his right, in a conspicuous spot known as the "orchestra," were the statues of Harmodius and Aristogiton.

The next group - the Odeum, the fountain Enneacrunus, the temples of Demeter, Persephone, and Triptolemus or the Eleusinium, and the temple of Eucleia - are discussed in-Excursus III. As is there argued (p. 251), the Enneacrunus is at the foot of the Pnyx hill, the Odeum near it on the traveler's right, the temples of the Eleusinian deities to the south of the Areopagus, and the temple of Eucleia a little farther on.

After visiting the Eucleia shrine, Pausanias turns directly back and gives us a clew to his movements by stating that the monuments he next visits are above the Ceramicus and the Royal Colonnade. These are the temple of Hephaestus, and the shrine of Aphrodite Urania located on the Colonus hill, as shown in Excursus IV, the former being identical with the so-called Theseum.

After describing these temples to the west of the Agora, Pausanias once more enters the market-place and describes three objects whose site has aroused considerable discussion - the Painted Colonnade, the Hermes Agoraeus, and a market-gate with a trophy upon it.

The exact site of the three depends upon (1) the site of the buildings earlier mentioned, (2) the point at which Pausanias again entered the market-place, and (3) whether we assume that the Agora was single in its form or double, consisting of a business and a political section.

Another factor to be taken into consideration is one not mentioned by Pausanias, namely a row of Hermae noted in Harpocration s.v. 'Eppai :
 In what direction, then, did these Hermae run? Other important passages for the solution of this important topographical question are Xen. Hipparch. 3, 2, where the Hermae are mentioned as the starting and concluding point for the sacred processions; Schol. Aristophanes, Eq. 297, which
 Trag. 33, which locates the Hermes as ó áyopaîos ó $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v \pi o k i ́ \lambda \eta v . ~$

The Harpocration passage has been variously interpreted, and the theories as to the site of the Painted Colonnade, and in fact as to the form of the market-place, have turned largely on the direction given the row of Hermae.

1. Some take it to mean that the row of Hermae connected the Royal with the Painted Colonnade. So Curtius(Att. Stud. II, 25, Stadtgesch. p. 170), who locates the latter on the east side of the market, just below the Colonnade of Attalus. The market-gate he locates between the Painted and the Attalus Colonnades, with the Hermes Agoraeus just before it.
2. Many topographers, however, set the Painted Colonnade on the west border of the market, north of the Royal Colonnade, the gate between the two halls, with the Agoraeus close by, and the row of Hermae extending across the market from the two colonnades. See Wachsmuth, I, 201 ff ., Lange, Haus und IIalle, p. 64, Bursian, De Foro, p. 12.
3. Lolling (p. 314) and Miss Harrison (p. 126) locate the Painted Colonnade on the northern boundary of the market; the former has the Hermae running from the market-gate right and left to the two Colonnades ; Miss Harrison, however, has it meet at its right corner the north side of the Colonnade of Attalus, while west of this is the gate with the Hermes Agoraeus, but she has the Hermae extending in two rows from the northwest corner of the market, one eastward to the Painted Colonnade, the other southward to the Royal Colonnade.

Thus there is considerable doubt as to the site of this celebrated Colonnade. The choice seems to lie between the north side and the southern half of the east side, just below the Colonnade of Attalus. The advantage of the latter hypothesis is that it permits the row of Hermae to run from west to east, dividing the market into a political and a commercial section, the Colonnade of Attalus being at the southeast corner of the latter. This would account for Pausanias's failure to mention this Colonnade, and this view is perhaps open to fewest objections. But the whole question is problematical, and can only be settled, if at all, by excavations.
D. Sites in the Neighborhoor of the Agora, mentioned by Pausanias. Pausanias now fairly leaves the Agora, and passes to the description of two buildings not far distant to the east - the gymnasium of Ptolemy and the sanctuary of Theseus. All we know as to their site from Pausanias is that they were near each other and " not far from the Agora." Further on he comes to the Anaceum or sanctuary of the Dioscuri, while near at hand just above the Anaceum lay the precinct of Aglaurus, the site of which can be approximately determined, and which serves as a fixed point for the
determination of all the monuments mentioned as in its vicinity. About 65 yards west of the northern porch of the Erechtheum is the staircase used by the Arrephori in descending to the precinct of Aglaurus on the northern slope of the Acropolis. Hence the monuments previously mentioned were at intervals north of the Acropolis and east of the Agora. Hard by was the Prytaneum, the centre and hearth of the state. As Pausanias is moving regularly eastward, it probably lay a little to the east of the Aglaurus precinct, and it doubtless stood somewhat high on the Acropolis slope, since when Pausanias leaves it to go to the Serapeum he speaks of descending to the lower parts of the city.
E. Sites and Monuments of the Agora, not mentioned by Pausanias.- It is natural that Pausanias should not mention every building and statue in the region of the Agora, especially as he leaves the commercial market altogether out of consideration. We append therefore a brief list of objects known from other topographical and literary sources as being in or near the Agora at the time of his visit :
i. The Colonnade of Attalus, to the east of the market, of which extensive remains still exist.
ii. The Colonnade of Hadrian, east of the Attalus Colonnade and north of the Acropolis-the northern side of the western façade of which is still in good condition, consisting of a wall before which stand a row of detached Corinthian columns, originally eighteen in number.
iii. A Propylaeum of four columns, known as the Propylaeum of Athena Archegetis, regarded as the entrance to a Roman market-place. The gate and broken columns of the market still stand south of the Hadrian Colonnade.
iv. The Tower of the Winds, or the Horologium of Andronicus Cyrrhestes, one of the most conspicuous extant monuments of Athens, east of the Roman market-place.
v. The Altar of the Twelve Gods, erected by Pisistratus in the marketplace, to which the various roads of Attica converged and from which miles were measured. Of this there are no remains and the site is uncertain.
vi. The Leocorium, in the neighborhood of which Larmodius and Aristogiton slew Hipparchus. Its site, though it cannot be definitely fixed, was certainly in the Agora.
F. The Commercial Agora.- The commercial market surrounded the political Agora on all sides excepting the south, as we conclude from Pausanias's description, from certain approximately determined limits of the market, and from the site of the Colonnade of Attalus. More accurate boundaries cannot be determined. We must regard the whole commercial
market, in the manner of oriental bazaars, as a quarter of the city intersected by narrow streets, lined with stalls or booths. At least in classical times it had this form, and preserved it in large measure in Hellenistic and Roman times. The sections for shops were called кúкдol (Harpocr., Hesych., s.v. кúклоs, Suid. s.v. кúкло, Schol. Ar. Eq. 137, Poll. 10, 18, 82, etc.), or бкпиаі (Harpocr., Suid., s.v. $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu i \tau \eta s$, Isoc. 19, 33, Dem. 18, 169, 54, 7, etc.), or $\kappa \lambda i ̂ v a l(T h e o p h r . ~ C h a r . ~ 23, ~ 8) . ~ I n ~ t h e m ~ s t o o d ~ t h e ~ c o u n t e r s ~(\tau \rho a ́ \pi e \zeta a \iota, ~$ Plat. Apol. p. 17 c, Hipp. Min. p. 368 в, Theoph. Char. 9, 4), with the wares of the merchants. The market-halls came relatively late, chiefly after the middle of the fourth century (Xen. de Vect. 3, 13), and previously to that time were used only for flour and grain.

As in the bazaar of to-day, only certain goods were sold in certain кú$\kappa \lambda o l$, and the sections took the name of the goods offered for sale in them. Unfortunately, we cannot determine the exact location of any of them, except, perhaps of the metal and iron market (rà $\chi^{a} \lambda \kappa \hat{a}, ~ B e k k . ~ A n e c d . ~ I, ~ 316, ~$ 23, ó бío $\eta \rho o s$ Xen. Hell. 3, 3, 7), on the Market hill, and the rag market, Kєрк $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ áyopá, near the Heliaea, apparently to the southeast of the


The names of the кúk入o preserved to us are very numerous. This is especially true of provisions of all sorts. The general name for the pro-
 were sold in separate кúкло, as e.g. meats (тà крє́a, cf. Theophr. Char. 9, 4, 22, 7, Poll. 7, 25), birds (oi őpvı日es, Dem. 19, 245, Ar. Av. 13 and Schol.), and
 sc. áyopá, Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. p. 849 d), single groups were distinguished, as that of salt fish ( $\tau$ apıхón $\omega \lambda \iota$, Athen. 3, p. 120 A, Theophr. Char. 6, 9,

 stalls for garlic ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ бко́poda, Schol. Ar. Ran. 1068), onions ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ крó $\mu \mu v a$, Eustath. Od. $\theta, 260$ ), etc. We might name also the кv́кло for fresh cheese ( $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau v \rho o ́ s, ~ L y s . ~ 23, ~ 6), ~ p e r f u m e r i e s ~(\tau a ̀ ~ a ́ p \omega ́ \mu ~ \mu a \tau a, ~ S c h o l . ~ A r . ~ P a c . ~ 1158), ~$,
 áyopá, Poll. 7, 78), etc. An especial place was assigned to the bankers (ai трárє̧̧a, Theophr. Char. 5). There was, finally, also a horse market (oi ìmoc, Theophr. Char. 23,7) and a slave market ( $\tau \grave{a ̀ ~ a ́ v \delta \rho a ́ m o \delta a, ~ P o l l . ~ 7, ~}$ $11,10,19)$.

The great territory covered by the commercial market, apart from the circles and rows of booths, was itself intersected by streets, dwellings, and public buildings. Most prominent of all were the streets leading to the

Thriasian gate and the great Dromos leading to the Dipylum. The buildings along this are known especially from Pausanias's description (1, 2, 4-6). Traces of single buildings mentioned by him are found, as for instance of the Pompeium, near the gate, and of the monument of Eubulides. Another fixed point is the northeast corner of the Market hill. The northern boundary is uncertain. From the Dipylum to the Market, colonnades lined the Dromos, before which were bronze statues of eminent men and women (Paus. 1, 2, 4, cf. Himerius, 3, 12). They served as places of barter and trade, which alternated with sanctuaries mentioned by Pausanias. Of the places on the southern side of the Dromos we hear nothing from Pausanias, but near the end of the Dromos we may with certainty set the Long Colonnade (Maкpà $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ roá). This was doubtless the same as the Stoa Alphitopolis, the great grain-hall of Athens. On the Market hill directly behind the Long Colonnade was the Hephaesteum. On the southwest corner of the hill was located the Eurysaceum (C.I.A. IV, 2, $597 d 22$ ), in which the son of Salaminian Ajax was honored.

What we know of the territory north and east of the Dromos all arranges itself apparently along the old street extending from the Thriasian gate.

## EXCURSUS III. THE ENNEACRUNUS AND ITS NEIGHBORHOOD

There is great truth in Leake's statement (Topography, p. 45) that " the fountain Enneacrunus is the most important point in Athens for the elucidation of the topography of Pausanias." The discussion that has centred about the site of this fountain, mentioned by Pausanias in 1, 14, 1, has involved many other important monuments and has occasioned so much debate that the so-called "Enneacrunus Episode" has called forth a vast amount of literature and a countless number of divergent views from classical scholars and archaeologists.

Fortunately, the actual discovery of the original Callirrhoe and the investigation into the system of water-works installed by Pisistratus - the result of Dr. Dörpfeld's scientific work - have made possible the final solution of the problem and have caused many other difficulties in Athenian topography to disappear. With the greater light we now possess it seems surprising how far afield the early topographers were. Yet they did not have the benefit of those excavations which have made pre-Persian Athens almost as well known to us as the Athens of the Periclean age.

The questions involved in the Enneacrunus investigation have been so thoroughly discussed by Miss Harrison (who presents Dr. Dörpfeld's views) in her latest work, Primitive Athens as Described by Thucydides, Cambridge, 1906, that it will be necessary in this Excursus merely to state the points at issue and the results attained, referring the reader to this work for the arguments. As I agree with Dr. Dörpfeld and Miss Harrison in all particulars, with one important exception, my statement is largely a summary of their views.

The problem that vexed the earlier topographers was this: The place in the text devoted to Pausanias's description of the fountain Enneacrunus, earlier called Callirrhoe, and the adjacent buildings, naturally demands that the fountain and these monuments should be in close proximity to the objects in the market-place described in adjoining chapters. Yet tradition and classical authors locate a fountain Callirrhoe, called at times Enneacrunus, on the banks of the Ilissus, and Thucydides $(1,15)$ speaks of a sanctuary of Olympian Zeus (and other shrines) as being in its neighborhood - naturally identified with the celebrated Olympieum and adjacent sanctuaries.

The explanations that have been given may be classified as follows: 1. Leake, Curtius, and others, relying chiefly on Thucydides 1,15 , hold that the Enneacrunus was certainly in the valley of the Ilissus, and believe that the fountain and the other buildings mentioned as adjacent to it are for some reason inserted here out of the topographical order. Various theories are propounded to justify the break in the narrative. 2. Wachsmuth, Frazer, and others who agree with Leake as to the position of the Enneacrunus, but who cannot accept so great a deviation from the topographical order in Pausanias's description, think that Pausanias must have seen or been shown some other spring close to the end of the Agora, which he mistook for Enneacrunus. 3. Dr. Dörpfeld, on the contrary, both insists on the topographical order, and takes the testimonies of Thucydides and Pausanias as evidence of the presence of the fountain called Enneacrunus within the limits of the Agora, adjacent to the Pnyx hill. Proving his faith by his works, he made excavations to find it, and in so doing he has not only discovered what he believes to be the fountain CallirrhoeEnneacrunus, but has also demonstrated the ancient system of water-works installed by Pisistratus.

The difficulties involved have been removed by showing that the nature of the primitive city required the fountain to be not far from the Acropolis; that the statements of Thucydides and Pausanias are entirely in accord;
that there were really two fountains named Callirrhoe, one of which - that in the market-place - changed its name, with its enlarged functions, to Enneacrunus; that there was a duplication of certain sanctuaries about the Acropolis and adjacent to the Ilissus; and finally that excavations have laid bare and explained the Pisistratean water-system and proved the accuracy of Pausanias.

In this Excursus it will suffice to notice, first, the statements of ancient writers bearing on Enneacrunus ; second, the probable sites of adjacent shrines; third, the results of excavations.

## A. Ancient Writers on Enneacrunus

1. The famous passage in Thucydides, 2, 15, 3-6, ${ }^{1}$ is to this effect:

Before the synoikismos under Theseus, "what is now the Acropolis was the polis, together with what is below it, especially towards the
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \tau o v ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu e ́ v o v), ~ t h e ~ l a t t e r ~ p h r a s e ~ b e i n g ~ a d d e d ~ e v i d e n t l y ~$ as a detail or afterthought. Then follow many reasons in proof of this
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$, "The sanctuaries are on the Acropolis itself, those of other deities


 side are situated toward this part of the city more than elsewhere, as that of Zeus Olympius, and the Pythium, and that of Ge, and that of Dionysus

 Thucydides is arguing that the ancient city was limited to a certain portion of the later city, namely the Acropolis and its slopes especially southwards, and proves it by naming certain primitive shrines in or near this section. "Furthermore," he proceeds, "other ancient sanctuaries are




 viठatı $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a u$, - "And the spring which is now called Enneacrunus, from

1 On the interpretation of this passage, see A. W. Verrall, Class. Rev. xiv (1900), 274 ff. ; Mitchell Carroll ibid. xix (1905), 325 ff.; Judeich, Topographie, 51-56 and n. 4 ; Miss Harrison, Primitive Athens, 7 ff. ; Capps, Class. Philol. ii (1907), 25 ff.
the form given to it by the tyrants, but which formerly, when the wells were visible, was named Callirrhoe - this spring, being near [i.e. to the Acropolis district], they used for the most important purposes, and even now it is still the custom derived from the ancient (habit) to use the water before weddings and for other sacred purposes." The concluding sentence

 "And furthermore the Acropolis is still to this day called by the Athenians, because of the ancient settlement here, the polis."

Thus the whole argument was merely to prove that the primitive city comprised the Acropolis together with such territory about it, especially but not entirely towards the south, as could in a loose and popular way be regarded as actually pertaining to and included in the Acropolis. Thucydides states that those ancient sanctuaries which are outside are placed towards this part of the city more than elsewhere and that the Enneacrunus is near.

It seems then, on the face of it, that a settlement stretching from the Acropolis to the Ilissus, half a mile off, would be much too large for primitive Athens. Hence this passage calls for the determination of ancient sanctuaries of Zeus Olympius, of Pythian Apollo, of Ge, and of Dionysus in the Marshes, on the slopes of the Acropolis, and of the Enneacrunus fountain near at hand.
2. Pausanias, after his account of the statues of the Tyrannicides and his mention of the Odeum, speaks thus of Enneacrunus $(1,14,1): \pi \lambda_{\eta}$



 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$. After thus mentioning temples of Demeter and Kore, and of Triptolemus, Pausanias continues (1, 14, 1-4) in a way that suggests, though it does not assert, that these temples were in a precinct known as the Eleusinium. In section 5 Pausanias remarks, "Still farther on is a
 of Pausanias calls for evidence as to the site of (1) the Odeum, (2) the temples of Demeter and Kore, and of Triptolemus, and (3) the temple of Eucleia - all of which were in the Enneacrunus neighborhood. ${ }^{1}$

[^5]
## B. Sites of Mónuments in Neighborhood of Enneacrunus

1. The Olympieum. - This is one of the sanctuaries mentioned in the passage of Thucydides as being "outside" the Acropolis, but towards this
 conjunction with the Pythium and the sanctuaries of Ge and of Dionysus in the Marshes. Cf. Paus. 1, 18, 6-8, where the great precinct of Olympian Zeus near the Ilissus is described in detail, with which also a Pythium is associated. Till recently it was inferred that this was the sanctuary Thucydides had in mind; if this is too remote we must show there is evidence for another Olympieum and another Pythium in Athens, adjacent to the Acropolis. Dörpfeld cites, as proof of such a sanctuary northwest of the Acropolis, Strabo, 9, p. 404, where we are told the Athenians

 There is convincing evidence of a Pythium on the Long Rocks northwest of the Acropolis, and Dörpfeld interprets this passage as referring to the Acropolis Wall. Though there are no certain remains of this Olympieum, it must have been adjacent to the Pythium, the exact site of which has been determined.
$\dot{u} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\psi}{ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{\psi}$. . . $\beta \iota a \sigma \theta a l$ $\sigma \phi \varepsilon a s$. This naturally refers to a spring adjacent to the primitive fortified settlement and gives the later name.

 spring by the Ilissus.


 may result from a confusion of the Callirrhoe with the Enneacrunus tradition. By the time this work was compiled, the old Callirrhoe at the Pnyx had been long forgotten. Over against this set the statement of another lexicographer, Suidas, s.v.


 certainly an allusion to the Enneacrunus, though the poet speaks of twelve instead of nine jets of water.


 Tarantinus, an author of uncertain date, tells of the Olympieum a story told by others of the Parthenon; he is not worthy of credence.
2. The Pythium. - The Pythium is the second sanctuary named by Thucydides $(2,15,4)$. Pausanias $(1,19,1)$ speaks of ar. image of Apollo near the great temple of Olympian Zeus (Mecà Sè ròv vaòv tồ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~$
 was a Pythium or sanctuary of Pythian Apollo in that quarter of Athens (see note l.c.).

But literary evidence of itself proves that there was another Pythium, naturally that referred to by Thucydides, somewhere on the Long Rocks at the northwest end of the Acropolis. Pausanias $(1,28,4)$ speaks of "a sanctuary of Apollo in a cave" on the Acropolis slope, and another writer applies to it the name of Pythium (cf. Philostr. Vit. Soph. 2, 1, 7, where it is stated that the route followed by the ship in the Panathenaic procession was from the Ceramicus to the Eleusinium, then round the Eleusinium and past the Pelargicum to the Pythium, where the ship was moored). As Pausanias (1,29,1) says the ship was kept near the Areopagus, this cannot well be the Pythium on the Ilissus. Cf. also Eur. Ion, 7 ff., 285 ff ., where the caves of the Long Rocks are made the scene of the nuptials of Apollo and Creusa.

The actual cave of Apollo has also been found and thoroughly cleared out, and numerous votive offerings with inscriptions have come to light which make the identification certain. The Olympieum probably lay some what east of the Pythium, but there is no archaeological evidence to prove it. It stands or falls with the Pythium. See Miss Harrison, Primitive Athens, pp. 67-82, for an extended description of the Pythium.
3. The Sanctuary of Ge. - This is the third sanctuary cited by Thucydides ( $2,15,3$ ). In $1,18,7$ Pausanias mentions the temenos of Ge Olympia within the peribolus of the great Olympieum ; and in $1,22,3$ he speaks of the shrine of Ge Kourotrophos and Demeter Chloe, in describing his approach to the Propylaea along the southern slope of the Acropolis. The sanctuary of Ge was probably at the southwest corner of the Acropolis, presumably somewhere along the winding road followed by Pausanias. It is doubtless to this latter sanctuary that Thucydides refers.
4. The Odeum (Paus. 1, 8, $6 ; 1,14,1$ ). -This is the first object of interest mentioned by Pausanias after leaving the statues of Harmodius and Aristogiton on the northwest slope of the Areopagus. Frazer (note l.c.), Dörpfeld in A. M. xvii (1892), 252-260, and Judeich (Topographie, 312) agree in concluding that " the theatre called Odeum" (Paus. 1, 8, 6) was identical with the theatre in the Ceramicus called the Agrippeum mentioned by Philostratus (Vit. Soph. 2, 5, 4; 8, 4). Dörpfeld thinks it occupied the
site of the old market orchestra, southwest of the Areopagus and north of

 crunus and adjacent structures along the Ilissus consider this a suburban Odeum, situated in Agrae. There are no definite data as to such a site. The two other well-known Odeums are the Odeum of Pericles near the theatre (Paus. 1, 20, 4) and the Odeum of Herodes Atticus on the southern slope of the Acropolis. "Near the Odeum," says Pausanias, " is a fountain called Enneacrunus" (1, 14, 1).
5. The Temples of Demeter and Persephone, and of Triptolemus. - " Above the fountain," continues Pausanias, "are temples; one of them is a temple of Demeter and Kore, in the other is an image of Triptolemus' (1, 14, $1-3)$. He then proceeds to tell the story of Triptolemus and says he purposed to describe all the objects "in the sanctuary at Athens called the Eleusinium," but was prevented by a vision in a dream.

All who see in Enneacrunus the Callirrhoe on the Ilissus distinguish the two temples from the Eleusinium, and locate them in Agrae where the $\mu \kappa \rho \alpha \grave{\mu v \sigma \tau} \dot{\eta} \rho \omega a$ were celebrated (see Milchh. S. Q. xxiv). Dr. Dörpfeld and Miss Harrison, on the contrary, believe that the two temples were comprised in the Eleusinium. Judeich, p. 257, locates the temples somewhere south of the Areopagus, but asserts they were not in the Eleusinium. The site of the Eleusinium is well attested as being south of the Areopagus and west of the Acropolis. Cf. Clem. Alex. Protrept. p. 13, who speaks of the Eleusinium " which was beneath the Acropolis"; Philostr. Vit. Soph. $2,1,5$, who in describing the Panathenaic procession says that "the ship, starting from the Ceramicus with a thousand oars, sailed up to the Eleusinium, and, having made the circuit of it, passed the Pelargicum." The natural inference is that the two temples were comprised in the Eleusinium.
6. Temple of Eucleia. - "Further on" ( $\epsilon \tau \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{A} \pi \pi \omega \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega)$, says Pausanias, after his account of the Eleusinium, "is a temple of Eucleia" $(1,14,5)$.

The goddess Eucleia, or Good Fame, is identified with Artemis Eucleia by Dr. Dörpfeld and Miss Harrison on the authority of Plutarch (Aristid. 20), who think this temple is identical with a shrine of Artemis Aristoboule dedicated by Themistocles and located "in Melite near to his own house (Plut. Them. 15, 22)." Others deny the identification and locate the temple of Eucleia on the left bank of the Ilissus. Hitzig-Bluemner (note l.c.) think the identification altogether uncertain, as in inscriptions Eucleia is joined with Eunomia (see S. Q. xxix). Judeich, pp. 355, 336, also regards
the identification as unprovable and improbable, but locates the temple somewhat distant from the Triptolemus temple, and certainly not far from that of Artemis Aristoboule.
7. The Dionysium in Limnis. - This is the last of the sanctuaries mentioned by Thucydides (2, 15), as being "outside" the Acropolis, but within the limits set for the primitive city.

We observe that up to this point in the discussion there have developed in different localities two Callirrhoes, two sanctuaries of Zeus, two of Apollo, two of Ge, two or more of the Eleusinian deities, two or more Odeums, and two Eucleias. Fortunately there is only one Dionysium in Limnis, and if we can determine the site of this we have the key to the whole topographical situation. True, there have been many sites assigned to it. The early topographers and the latest authority on the Dionysiac cult,-Paul Foucart (Le Culte de Dionysos en Attique, Paris, 1905), locate it in the Dionysus precinct containing the theatre, on the southwest slope of the Acropolis. Others located it in the Ilissus neighborhood or outside the city. Dr. Dörpfeld, on the contrary, is firmly convinced that he has excavated its site and determined the authenticity of it in the territory excavated by the German school, between the Areopagus, Pnyx, and Acropolis. Miss Harrison devotes pp. 83-100 of Primitive Athens to proving this identification and to describing the precinct.

The precinct in question is northwest of the ancient road laid bare by Dr. Dörpfeld, just south of the western end of the Areopagus. It is triangular in shape, being bounded by three streets, and is about 600 square yards in area. It is surrounded by a limestone wall which shows several styles of construction from the Cyclopean to the quadrangular. It consists of two parts, divided by a wall with a door, the southern section being the smaller. In the southern part is a small temple; in the middle of the northern part is a table-like altar, and in the northwest corner is a winepress. Above a considerable portion of the precinct are the foundations of a building of Roman date, which contained a large hall with two rows of columns, dividing it into a central nave and two aisles. Here was found an altar decorated with scenes from the worship of Dionysus, and the drum of a column on which is an inscription giving the statutes of a club of persons calling themselves Iobakchoi, and showing the name of the hall to be the Bakcheion. No inscriptions of an earlier date were found anywhere in the precinct, and no orchestra has come to light. The altar, the winepress, and the small temple of very early date are taken as sufficient evidence that this is the Dionysium in Limnis.

Dr. Dörpfeld and Miss Harrison present their arguments so cogently that the reader feels impelled to accept their conclusions without hesitation ; but when he reviews once more the literary evidence cited by them he finds that what applies strictly to the Dionysium in Limnis does not afford conclusive proof of this identification, any more than do the archaeological remains. If this precinct is not the Dionysinm in Limnis, what is it then? This question I am not prepared to answer, but I shall summarize the arguments to prove that the Dionysium in Limnis was embraced in the Dionysiac precinct on the southwest slope of the Acropolis, referring the reader to my paper in the Classical Review, xix (1905), 325-328, for a fuller statement.

1. The oft-quoted passage in Thucydides mentions four sanctuaries, three of which we have seen to be on the Acropolis slopes, namely, the Olympieum to the northwest, the Pythium west of ${ }^{\circ}$ it, the sanctuary of Ge on the southwest; then follows the Dionysium in Limnis in regular order from northwest to southeast, and here it is on the southeast slope. This order suggests that Thucydides was thinking of the site of the Dionysiac theatre, and not a locality some distance away southwest of the Areopagus. Did not Thucydides add the phrase $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v o ́ \tau o v ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, ~ " c h i e f l y ~ t o ~ t h e ~$ south," so as to include this site?


 (Ps.-Dem. 59, 76), and Pausanias tells us that tov̂ $\Delta \iota o v v^{\prime} o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ́ p \chi a i o ́-~$ тaтov ípóv was $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \widehat{̣}$ $\theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \tau \rho \Psi$. . Though Pausanias does not mention the Dionysium in Limnis by name, he doubtless had the Thucydides passage in mind, and the Pseudo-Demosthenes passage serves as a connecting link to justify this interpretation.
2. I hold with M. Foucart (p. 109), in regard to the famous chorus of the Frogs (218 ff.),


```
    тoîs lepoî̃九 Xút poıat
```


that the scene of the Frogs is the actual theatre itself, where the play was celebrated, with the neighborhood. The word $\lambda i \mu \nu a \iota$ probably denotes the sacred pools, round which Xanthias runs instead of crossing in a ferry boat.

The statement that the sanctuary of Dionysus in the Marshes was opened once only in each year on the 12th of the month Anthesterion, as
given in Ps.-Demosthenes l.c., is no conclusive objection to this interpretation, as the primitive shrine was probably closed, not the whole sacred precinct which contained also the orchestra and the temple of Dionysus Eleuthereus.

## C. Excavations

Excavations made in the bed of the Ilissus on the traditional site of Callirrhoe by the Greek Archaeological Society, in 1893, reveal artificial methods of embellishment which, Frazer and others believe, may have caused the water to issue from nine spouts in such a way as to justify the name Enneacrunus. But, as Judeich (p. 182) and Miss Harrison (p. 153) point out, these remains show conclusively that in classical times no considerable fountain could have existed there; and there are no traces of an artistic treatment and no evidence whatever that the work was of an early date.

Dr. Dörpfeld's excavations, however, have revealed the Pisistratean system of water-works and have given the unanswerable solution to the Enneacrunus problem. It is beside our purpose to describe in detail the artificial water supply of ancient Athens. ${ }^{1}$ Suffice it to say that in the Pnyx rock, as indicated on the plan facing p. 236, is the spring Callirrhoe. It has been reënforced by water from the district of the Ilissus, brought in a conduit laid by Pisistratus. In front of the ancient Callirrhoe once stood a fountain house called Enneacrunus, or Nine Spouts. Several stones have been found which belonged to this artificial fountain. That these remains belong to the Pisistratean epoch is indicated by the materials, the stamps, and the similarity of construction with other Pisistratean buildings and with the fountains of Megara and Corinth of similar date. The plan gives the general disposition of the place of the Enneacrunus, showing the spring Callirrhoe in the Pnyx rock, the large reservoir, immediately in front of it the draw-well, and to the right of the reservoir, and equally fed by it, the fountain house, Enneacrunus. In front of the fountain house is a great open space, which was at one time the heart and centre of the Agora.

Conclusion. - On the whole the balance of evidence seems to justify the following inferences:

1. Pausanias and Thucydides are in accord in locating the Enneacrunus in the neighborhood of the Acropolis, and Pausanias did no violence to the topographical order of his narrative.
${ }^{1}$ See especially Fr. Gräber, Die Enneakrunos, A.M. xxxi (1905), 1-64.
2. Of the sites mentioned by Pausanias and Thucydides in connection with Enneacrunus, there were primitive sanctuaries of Olympian Zeus, of Pythian Apollo, and of Ge, on the Acropolis slopes as well as along the Ilissus, and Thucydides doubtless referred to the former ; the Odeum was doubtless in the Agora just southwest of the Areopagus; there were sanctuaries of the Eleusinian deities both west of the Acropolis, south of the Areopagus, and in Agrae across the Ilissus, but the Eleusinium was in the former locality; if Eucleia is Artemis Eucleia, her sanctuary was in the Areopagus region, but if the shrine mentioned by Pausanias was of Eucleia merely, the site is uncertain; the Dionysium in Limnis is either where Dr. Dörpfeld locates it, south of the western end of the Areopagus, or more probably it is identical with the theatre precinct where Pausanias locates the most ancient sanctuary of Dionysus.
3. The excavations of Dr. Dörpfeld and the recent investigations into the water supply of ancient Athens, together with the testimony of ancient writers, afford conclusive evidence that the site of the Enneacrunus of Pisistratus has been identified at the foot of the east slope of the Pnyx hill.

## EXCURSUS IV. THE THESEUM

It has been already stated that the Royal Colonnade was doubtless situated at the eastern foot of the hill known as Colonus Agoraeus, on which the Doric temple commonly known as the Theseum now stands. Now

 temple of Hephaestus "above" the Agora and Royal Colonnade must have been on this hill. Add the testimony of Harpocration (s.v. Ko入 $\omega \nu$ étas) that the Hephaesteum and the Eurysaceum stood on the Colonus Agoraeus near the Agora, and that the Eurysaceum was in the quarter Melite (s.v. Evjpurakeiov), which we know from other sources lay to the west and southwest of the market-place.

Since the evidence is strong that the temple of Hephaestus was on the Colonus Agoraeus, it raises the interesting question whether the temple still standing there, known as the Theseum, is not actually the Hephaesteum.

This temple, the best-preserved architectural relic of the ancient world, has been the subject of an interesting controversy as to its identity. It has been by various writers at different times attributed respectively to Ares, Apollo, Heracles, Aphrodite, the Amazons, Theseus, and Hephaestus. But before entering upon this controversy let us briefly describe the temple.

The so-called Theseum is a peripteral hexastyle in antis. It stands upon a marble stylobate raised three steps from the ground, the lowest step being of Piraeus limestone. The building is 104 feet long, and 45 feet wide. To front and rear are six Doric columns, and at the sides are thirteen, the corner columns being twice counted.

The columns are 19 feet in height, varying in diameter from 3 feet 5 inches at the base to 2 feet 7 inches at the top; they are accordingly somewhat more slender than those of the Parthenon. The intercolumniation is $5 \frac{1}{4}$ feet, at the corners $4 \frac{1}{6}$ feet. Above the architrave runs a Doric frieze of triglyphs and metopes, encircling the whole building; only a few of these, however, are sculptured. Above the frieze is the usual cornice and pediment.

The cella, which is about 40 feet in length by 20 feet in breadth, has a fore-chamber (pronaos) at the east end and a back-chamber (opisthodomus) at the west end, formed by the prolongation of the side walls terminating in antae; at each end a pair of columns occupied the space between the antae.

Of the sixty-eight metopes only eighteen were embellished with sculptured reliefs, namely, the ten on the east front, and the four on the north and south sides respectively at the eastern end. The metopes of the east front represent the labors of Heracles. The scenes from left to right are as follows: (1) Heracles and the Nemean lion; (2) Heracles and the Lernaean hydra; (3) Heracles and the Cerynaean hind ; (4) Heracles and the Erymanthian boar ; (5) Heracles and the horses of Diomedes ; (6) Heracles and Cerberus; (7) Heracles and Hippolyta, queen of the Amazons; (8) Heracles and Eurytion ; (9) Heracles and Geryon ; (10) Heracles and one of the Hesperides. The eight reliefs on the side walls, which are better preserved, celebrate the achievements of Theseus. Those on the south side, beginning from the east, are : (1) Theseus and the Minotaur ; (2) Theseus and the bull of Marathon; (3) Theseus and the robber Sinis; (4) Theseus and Procrustes. Those on the north, beginning from the east, are : (1) Theseus and the robber Periphetes; (2) Theseus and the Arcadian Cercyon; (3) Theseus and Sciron; (4) Theseus and the Crommyonian sow.

There is also a sculptured frieze at each end of the cella, over the inner columns, the western frieze extending merely from anta to anta, while the eastern frieze extends beyond the antae to meet the epistyle. The west frieze is about 25 feet long; the east frieze is about 37 feet long. The subject of the former is the battle between the Centaurs and Lapiths; of the latter, a battle fought in the presence of six seated deities divided into two groups of three each. The subject is uncertain.

The date of the temple and its sculptures is agreed to be about the middle of the fifth century в.c.; but whether it falls soon before, or soon after, or contemporaneous with, the Parthenon, is disputed. Dörpfeld and other architects would place it later, because of its more advanced tendencies to Ionicism in architectural details. The sculptures, furthermore, favor the later date, as for example the resemblances between the west frieze of this temple and the metopes of the Parthenon. Similarly certain Attic vase-paintings suggest the later date, as the metopes are frequently imitated on Attic vases, but never of an earlier date than 430 b.c., whereas the Parthenon dates from $447-432$ b.c. It has been conjectured from the style of the metopes that the sculptures were the work of Myron or of pupils of Myron; but the names of the sculptors are not known.

Frazer thus summarizes the arguments for and against the view that this Doric temple is actually the Theseum, described by Pausanias (1, 17,


In favor of its being the Theseum are, (1) the tradition which for some centuries at least has designated the temple as the Theseum; (2) the evidence of the sculptured metopes, representing the deeds of Theseus, and of the west frieze, representing the contests of Centaurs and Lapiths, in which Theseus took part ; (3) the fact that the inside walls are covered with stucco, which suggests that they were once embellished with paintings, as we know from Pausanias to have been true of the Theseum.

In regard to (1), the anonymous author of a Greek tract on the topography of Athens, of the fifteenth century, preserved in the Paris library, was the first writer in modern times to call the temple Theseum. Henceforth the temple bore this name without question until the middle of the nineteenth century, when Ross proposed to identify it with the temple of Ares (Paus. 1, 8, 2), a name earlier suggested by the traveler Cyriacus of Ancona.

The arguments against its being the Theseum are, (1) Theseus was not a god but a hero. The heroum of the latter was always sharply distinguished from the naos of the former. The terms used by Pausanias ( $1,17,2$ and 6 ) for the Theseum and other memorials of Theseus better suit a heroic shrine. Besides, this temple is a regular temple facing east with three steps, whereas the heroum has two steps and faces west. (2) This temple, as we have seen, is of the age of Pericles, while the Theseum was built in the age of Cimon and seems to have been begun not later than 493 b.c. (see $1,17,6$, note). (3) The evidence as to the site of the Theseum derived from Aristotle, Plutarch, and Pausanias (note l.c.) is in favor of
placing it to the east of the Agora, and north of the Acropolis. (4) The argument based on the fact that eight of the metopes and at least one of the friezes represented the exploits of Theseus is met by showing that the subject of metopes and friezes had no necessary relation to the deities of the temples, as e.g. the labors of Heracles on the metopes of the temple of Zeus at Olympia, and the Centaurs on the Parthenon metopes.

On the whole the preponderance of evidence is against identifying the temple with the Theseum.

If not, then, the Theseum, to what god was the temple dedicated? Various have been the answers given : (1) Ross thought it was the temple of Ares (see 1, 8, 4, note). (2) Wachsmuth and Curtius identified the temple with the famous sanctuary of Heracles, Averter of Evil, in Melite (cf. Schol. Ar. Ran. 501). But Pausanias makes no mention of a temple of Heracles. (3) Köhler, Loeschke, and Milchhoefer make it a temple of Apollo the Paternal. But that temple, as we have seen (see $1,3,4$, note), was in the Agora. (4) Lange would regard it as the sanctuary of Aphrodite Urania (Paus. 1, 14, 7, note), and (5) Dr. Dyer conjectured it might have been the sanctuary of the Amazons (see Plut. Theseus, 27). (6) Finally, the proposal first made by Pervanoglu, to identify the so-called Theseum with the temple of Hephaestus described by Pausanias (1,14, 6), has been accepted by Lolling, Dörpfeld, and Miss Harrison.

Arguments in favor of the temple being a Hephaesteum are as follows: (1) It fits the topographical requirements. We know from Pausanias that the temple of Hephaestus stood on high ground, above the market-place and the Royal Colonnade, and from other sources that together with the Eurysaceum it stood on the hill Colonus Agoraeus. The hill on which the so-called Theseum stands has been identified as the Market hill. The only objects mentioned as being on this hill are the naos of Hephaestus, the hieron of Aphrodite Urania, and the Eurysaceum. As this temple is a naos, this is strong evidence that it was the Hephaesteum. (2) There was a natural fitness in having the temple of Hephaestus overlook the potter's quarter. (3) An inscription of $440-416$ в.c. speaks of the revival or institution of the worship of Hephaestus and Athena, and the setting up of an altar or an image to Hephaestus. This would harmonize with the date approximately assigned to this temple. (4) In answer to the objection that in none of the sculptured metopes nor in the frieze is there any reference to Hephaestus, it may be said that, as we have seen, these sculptures appear to have often had little or no relation to the god of the temple, while the pediment sculptures, which generally had a direct reference to the temple
deity, have entirely disappeared; Bruno Sauer connects them with the Hephaestus legend.

The balance of probabilities, therefore, seems in favor of identifying as the temple of Hephaestus the temple popularly known as the Theseum, and we shall provisionally accept this designation.

## EXCURSUS V. THE OLYMPIEUM

Sixteen imposing Corinthian coluinns sixty feet in height, situated on a broad plateau to the southeast of the Acropolis, form one of the most conspicuous features in the landscape of Athens. These columns form two groups : eastward are thirteen surmounted by an architrave; separated from these by a gap of 100 feet are three others, two standing, one prostrate. During the Middle Ages the name given these remains of antiquity was the palace of IIadrian ; among the modern Greeks the ruin is popularly known as Staes Kolonnaes (eis taîs кoגóvvaus, "at the columns").

The grounds for identifying these massive ruins with the Olympieum are fortunately beyond doubt. (1) The great size of the columns and of the foundation of the structure comports with the statements of Livy ( $41,20,8$, unum in terris inchoatum pro magnitudine dei) and of Aristotle (Pol. 5,11 ), who compares them with the works of the Cypselidae in Corinth, the pyramids of Egypt, and the public buildings of Polycrates of Samos. (2) Vitruvius says that the temple of Olympian Zeus was dipteral of the Corinthian order ( 7 , praef. 15, 17) and octostyle (3, 1, 8), as is the case here. (3) Pausanias states that the peribolus was full of statues of Hadrian; and among the ruins have been found many bases with dedicatory inscriptions to this emperor (C.I.A. III, 479-482, 484, 486, 487, 491, 494). (4) The four sides of the peribolus are 668 m . in length, which agrees roughly with Pausanias' statement $(1,18,6)$ that the whole inclosure was four stadia in circuit. And, finally, (5) Vitruvius states that the architect selected by Antiochus was named Cossutius, and the base of a statue has been found with the inscription : $\Delta$ éккоs Koббoúrıos Потлíov ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{P} \omega \mu \mathrm{aios}$ (C.I.A. III, 561).

The site was hallowed from the earliest time, for here, as says Pausanias, was the primitive sanctuary of Zeus founded by Deucalion in the neighborhood of the cleft through which the water of the flood disappeared. This primitive sanctuary probably gave way in early times to a temple in which was kept the bronze statue of Zeus mentioned by Pausanias. But the work of building the massive temple we are considering
belongs to three epochs separated by long intervals: (1) under Pisistratus and his sons; (2) under Antiochus Epiphanes of Syria; (3) under the Roman Emperor Hadrian. .

About 530 в.c. the tyrant Pisistratus began on this site the erection of a temple of such massive proportions as to rival the temples of Hera at Samos and of Artemis at Ephesus. He employed four architects, Antistates, Callaeschrus, Antimachides, and Pormus (Vitruv. 7, praef. 15). The original style employed was Doric, as is evident from its early date and its colossal size. Aristotle charges (Pol. 5, 11, 8) that the building of the temple was a device of the tyrant to keep the minds of the people diverted from revolutionary projects. The work was stopped at the expulsion of the Pisistratidae in 510 s.c., and it is impossible to determine how far it had progressed.

The interval between the expulsion of the tyrants and the reign of Antiochus IV, Epiphanes, of Syria (510-175 b.c.) is a blank in the history of the Olympieum. During the acme of Athenian greatness the temple was disregarded, and we have no mention of it whatever in classical literature. At length, about 174 b.c., Antiochus determined to continue the work of building the temple at his own expense. Vitruvius (l.c.) gives the particulars. A Roman Cossutius was the architect who planned and superintended the construction of the temple, cella, columns, epistyle, and ornamentation; he chose the Corinthian order and surrounded it with a double row of columns. The death of Antiochus put an end to the work, which must have been very far advanced. From the evidence of the earlier Greek taste seen in the carving of the capitals and the curve of the abacus, the extant columns belong to this period, and we may conclude that the entire peristyle was set up by Antiochus.

Much, however, remained to be done - certainly the roofing, the finishing of the interior, the sculptural embellishment of the whole. Yet almost three centuries passed by, leaving the half-finished temple substantially unchanged. Strabo speaks of it (9, p. 396) as half-finished; Plutarch (Solon, 32) compares it to Plato's Critias as an unfinished work; and Lacian (Icarom. 24) represents Zeus as impatiently asking whether the Athenians ever meant to complete his temple. Sulla in 86 b.c. carried off some columns, probably from the cella, for use in building the temple of Capitoline Jupiter in Rome (Pliny, N. H. 36, 45).

The temple was finally completed by the Emperor Hadrian at his own expense (Philostr. Vit. Soph. 1, 25, 6 ; Dio Cass. 69, 16 ; Schol. Lucian l.c.) and was dedicated by him in person during his second visit in Athens in

130 or 131 a.d. By command of the Emperor, the sophist Polemo, the most popular orator of the day, delivered the inaugural address. The temple was dedicated to the honor and worship of Hadrian as it was of Zeus. Pausanias saw the temple in its full beauty, and it is unfortunate that he gives so brief a description of it.

The later history of the temple is very obscure, nor do we know the cause of its destruction. When Cyriacus of Ancona visited Athens about 1450 A.D., only 21 columns were standing with their architraves (Wachsmuth, Die Stadt Athen, I, 127). These had been reduced to 17 in the seventeenth century, and about 1760 the Turkish governor pulled down one of these to make lime for building a mosque. Of the surviving sixteen, the prostrate column was thrown down by a hurricane in 1852.

The temple rested on' a platform of solid masonry, strengthened with buttresses on the south side. This platform is 676 feet long by 426 feet broad. The stylobate of the temple itself measured 354 feet in length by 135 feet in breadth. The temple was octostyle (Vitruv. 3, 2, 8), dipteral. The peristyle comprised more than 100 Corinthian columns, with double rows of 20 each on the northern and southern sides, and triple rows of 8 each at the east and west ends. The columns were 56 feet 7 inches in height, and 5 feet 7 inches in diameter at the base, with 24 flutings. The total height of the front is estimated to have been 91 feet. The existing columns are of Pentelic marble. The thirteen surmounted by the architrave are at the southeastern angle; the remaining three, one of which has fallen, are of the interior row of the southern side not far from the southwest corner, and are at a distance of about 100 feet from the thirteen mentioned.

The excavations of Mr. Penrose laid bare walls and pavement and a number of unfluted drums of large columns of common stone. One of these drums has a diameter of not less than 7 feet 6 inches. These are attributed to the temple begun by Pisistratus, of which the cella was estimated to be 116 feet long and 50 feet wide. The orientation differed from that of the later temple, which was exactly east and west. A rough wall of still earlier date, of hard limestone, was attributed by Mr. Penrose to the primitive temple ascribed to Deucalion.

## EXCURSUS VI. THE THEATRE OF DIONYSUS

On the southeastern slope of the Acropolis, in the precinct sacred to the wine-god, is the ancient theatre of Dionysus - the cradle of the dramatic art of Hellas. The remains are not extensive, consisting merely of the orchestra, a portion of the stone seats and retaining-walls of the auditorium, and the front of the late Roman stage and the foundations of the stage buildings, but what is left is sufficient to enable us to determine with considerable accuracy the historical development and the construction of the best-known of all Greek theatres. For our knowledge of the theatre we are most largely indebted to Dr. Dörpfeld.

Of all ancient theatres, the Dionysiac theatre at Athens has had the most continuous history, going back almost to the very beginning of drama, and continuing in use until late Roman times. We shall, therefore, first notice the most important stages in its development, so that in studying its architectural remains we may be prepared to attribute to the different periods what properly belongs to each.

In the sixth century b.c., at the dawn of Athenian drama, there was in the sacred precinct on the southeastern slope of the Acropolis a circular dancing-place, or orchestra, consisting of beaten earth surrounded by a ring of stones, used for the chorus of the wine-god. Within the circle was an altar on the platform of which stood the coryphaeus or leader of the chorus. All arrangements for spectators or performers were of a purely provisional character.

In the following century, when dramatic art reached its acme under Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, and Aristophanes, the theatre also underwent great development and reached the form which obtained in its main features during its subsequent history. According to a statement made by Suidas (s.v. Mpativas) the first permanent theatre was erected in consequence of an accident which occurred in Ol. 70 (500-497 b.c.). Aeschylus, Pratinas, and Choerilus were contending for the tragic prize, when the wooden benches (iкpla) on which the spectators were seated collapsed. This led the Athenians to build a more substantial theatre.

Dr. Dörpfeld is of the opinion that this earliest theatron consisted of a massive retaining-wall of stone and earth to support wooden seats, as we have no evidence of the existence of stone seats in any fifth-century theatre. In digging down into the foundations of the present auditorium it has been found that there are two layers: the upper one, as shown by the fragments of pottery buried in it, of the fourth century, and the
lower, by the same evidence, of the fifth. In place of the provisional arrangements for the actors, in the early part of the century a wooden stage building was erected-an innovation attributed by Dr. Dörpfeld to Aeschylus. This consisted merely of a quadrangular chamber, whose façade represented a palace or a temple. It is manifest that the theatre of the great period of Attic drama was a much less imposing structure than is usually assumed.

In its third stage of development the theatre of Dionysus, from being a simple structure with wooden seats and wooden skené, became a magnificent edifice with stone seats and an imposing stage building of the same material. We have many references in Greek literature pointing to the fact that about the middle of the fourth century or later a new theatre of unusual splendor was constructed. This building was completed under the administration of the finance minister and orator Lycurgus. (Paus. 1, 29, 16 ; Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. pp. 841 c, 852 в ; C.I.A.II, 240 ; Hyperides, ed. Blass, Frag. 121). This must have occurred before 325 b.c., the year of the death of Lycurgus. Dr. Dörpfeld shows on technical grounds that in the main the existing theatre is that of Lycurgus. Most of its walls and foundations, as shown by the material used and the character of the work, belong to this epoch. The Piraeus limestone and Hymettus and Pentelic marble in use are combined in a manner customary in buildings of this period. The technique of some of the work corresponds to that of the Choragic Monument of Thrasyllus, whose date is known to be 321 b.c. The evidence gathered from all sources indicates that the theatre was begun about the year 350 , and completed not later than 326 в.c.

After the fourth century the literary record is very imperfect, and our knowledge of the development of the theatre. rests largely on technical grounds. In the time of Lycurgus and earlier, stage scenery was represented by movable proscenia, i.e. scenery painted on canvas on wooden panels stretched between posts. In Hellenistic times, however, when the New Comedy prevailed, a stone proscenium was built, i.e. a permanent scene or background, adorned with columns about ten or twelve feet high, in which the scene was varied by changing the pinakes, or panels of wood, that were placed between the stone columns.

From certain walls of the foundation, the fragments of a façade, and an inscription extant on a piece of the architrave, it is evident that an extensive reconstruction of the stage building and orchestra took place in the first century A.d., at the command of the Roman Emperor, Nero. A stage was built with its front adorned with reliefs after the manner of


Fig. 2. The Theatre of Dionysus

Asia Minor and Roman theatres, the orchestra was paved, and other minor changes were made.

Finally, about two centuries later, a certain archon Phaedrus lowered and moved forward the stage of Nero, cutting down its façade as shown by the extant reliefs, and conmmemorating the fact by an inscription (C.I.A. III, 239) to be seen on the highest of the five steps leading from the orchestra to the top of the stage, translated as follows :

Phaedrus, Zoilus' son, in life-giving Attica ruler, Built in thine honor this beautiful stage, Thou god of the orgy.

Here ends the ancient history of the theatre. For centuries all record of it ceases. Buried under the deep accumulation of soil, the theatre of Dionysus disappeared so completely from view that seventeenth-century travelers were entirely in the dark as to its site. Even as late as 1748, Stuart speaks of the Odeum of Herodes Atticus as "the theatre of Bacchus." Robert Chandler, in 1765, was the first to suspect the true site. Leake, by calling attention to a coin in the Payne-Knight collection in the British Museum, removed all doubt as to its identity, for the coin shows the east front of the Parthenon above the theatre. In 1862 excavations were begun by the German architect Strack, who exposed to view large portions of the auditorium. Taking up his work, the Greek Archaeological Society cleared the whole sacred precinct. Further excavations, as of the western retaining-wall, were made in 1877. Finally, in 1886, 1889, and 1895, Dr. Dörpfeld completed the work of excavation by laying bare the foundations of the building in its various epochs.

We shall now briefly describe the theatre, considering first the actual remains and then its three natural divisions - the auditorium, the orchestra, and the stage buildings. Observe Dr. Dörpfeld's plan, reproduced in Fig. 2, p. 261.

The precinct of Dionysus is bounded on the north by the Acropolis rock; on the west by the precinct of Asclepius; on the south by the modern road; on the east the boundary is not definitely determined. Within the precinct are the foundations of two temples. The older is near the stage buildings of the theatre and limited the extent of the colonnade at the rear ; the remains show that it dates from before the Persian War. The later temple, to the south of this, is somewhat larger. Both consisted merely of naos and pronaos. The later temple was probably erected at the close of the fourth century (Plut. Nicias, 3).

The actual remains of the theatre consist of a confusing mass of foundations and walls of various periods. Of the sixth century is the section of a wall of hard limestone, forming part of the circular boundary of the original orchestra, somewhat to the south of the later orchestra. Of the fifth century is a portion of a straight wall, which was probably part of the supporting wall of the earlier auditorium. The great bulk of the foundations and walls belong to the Lycurgus theatre erected, as we have seen, in the fourth century. The remains of the stone proscenium are of Hellenistic times. Worthy of note, also, are the Roman foundations under Nero and what survives of the stage erected under Phaedrus.

The auditorium was built on the slope of the Acropolis, which served as an elevation for the tiers of seats. Yet artificial substructions were necessary. These retaining-walls consisted of two stout walls in parallel lines, with cross-walls at intervals, the intervening space being filled in with dirt. These walls are of considerable strength and thickness, the outer being of Piraeus limestone, the inner of conglomerate. The two wings of the auditorium are terminated by two walls of unequal length, the eastern being about 111 feet, the western only 88 feet. The unsymmetrical circumference of the auditorium is due to the conformation of the ground. Side entrances or paraskenia between the south walls of the auditorium gave admittance to spectators and performers.

The inside boundary is a semicircle, with its two sides prolonged. The distance between the inside corners is 72 feet. The interior consisted of a series of stone seats, with marble chairs in the front row, rising tier above tier to the bounding walls of the theatre. All that remain are from twenty to thirty rows at the bottom and portions of a few rows at the top. The curve of the seats did not correspond to the curve of the orchestra. Fifty-eight of the sixty-seven marble seats originally in the front row remain. Behind the line of marble seats, after an interval of about three feet, began the first of the ordinary tiers of seats, which continued in the same style to the limits of the auditorium. The seats were about fifteen inches in height; lines cut in the stone indicate the space devoted to each person. Fourteen passages, running in divergent lines like the spokes of a wheel from the orchestra to the outside boundary, two being along the bounding walls, divided the auditorium into thirteen sections called kerkides. In addition to the vertical aisles, the auditorium was divided into three parts by two curved longitudinal passages called diazomata. Only the upper diazoma is now recognizable; it is about fifteen
feet wide. Dr. Dörpfeld calculates that the theatre would comfortably accommodate about 17,000 spectators.

The circular orchestra is not only the mathematical but also the ideal centre of the Greek theatre. The present orchestra occupies the identical site of the orchestra of Lycurgus, but it appears as it was after considerable changes were made in the time of Nero, who limited its extent to the south by erecting a stage the front of which was on a line connecting the two corners of the auditorium. A marble pavement was put on the orchestra, which was previously of solid earth. The gutter bounding the orchestra, intended to drain off the water from the auditorium, dates from Lycurgus. The pavement consists of slabs of Pentelic and Hymettus marble, variegated with strips of a reddish marble. In the centre the marble is arranged in a large rhomboidal figure, with a circular depression in the centre, intended to receive the altar of Dionysus. A marble balustrade surrounded the orchestra, and the gutter was covered over with slabs of marble. The width of the orchestra is about $78 \frac{1}{2}$ feet; and its depth from the stagefront of Phaedrus to the front row of spectators is about $58 \frac{1}{2}$ feet.

The stage buildings constitute the third and last division of the Greek theatre. The term for these was skené; originally the tent or booth in which the single actor of the Thespian period prepared for the performance, the word continued in use to express the large and elaborate stage buildings of later periods.

The skene of Lycurgus had as the principal room a large rectangular hall, the roof of which was perhaps borne by interior columns, with a total length of about 152 feet, and depth of about 21 feet. At each end were two projecting wings facing north, 23 feet by $16 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, called paraskenia. The space between the wings was about 66 feet. The central part and the wings were adorned with a façade of Doric columns, of which there are remains. The total height of the columns, architrave, triglyph frieze, and cornice was about 13 feet. A provisional proscenium was put up between the skene and the orchestra. In the Lycurgus theatre there was no trace of a logeion. The orchestra drawn as a complete circle just touched the front line of the paraskenia. For about three centuries the stage buildings of Lycurgus remained unchanged. At length in Hellenistic times a stone proscenium was erected, the foundations of which can be traced; its top formed a podium or platform about 13 feet high and 9 feet deep. Also the paraskenia were drawn in a few feet.

The foundations of the skene and proscenium of Nero's reconstruction can be traced on the plan, as well as the paraskenia to right and left. He
also built a logeion extending forward from the skene to the line indicated on the plan. Of this the existing sculptured marble blocks formed the façade. These have been cut down about five inches, so that the stage of Nero was about five feet, the usual height of a Roman logeion. As stated, this stage was in the third or fourth century moved forward about eight yards and lowered by Phaedrus, so as to stretch across the orchestra between the inner corners of the two wings of the auditorium. The western half of the front of this stage, adorned with four groups of figures in high relief, is preserved.

## EXCLRSL:S VII. THE ACROPOLIS

The Athenian Plain is triangular in shape, extending in a southwesterly direction from Mt. Pentelicus to the sea. Mt. Parnes and its spur Aegaleus form the north and northwest side of the triangle, Pentelicus the apex, Hymettus the south and southeast side, and the Saronic Gulf the base. Down the centre of the plain there stretches a range of hills, now called Tourko Vouni, forming the watershed of the Cephisus and the Ilissus, and terminating in the lofty peak of Lycabettus ( 900 feet). Nearly a mile to the southwest, and separated from Lycabettus by a broad valley, lies a precipitous rock, about 512 feet above the sea and 250 feet above the surrounding plain. This rock is the Acropolis of Athens.

Geologically considered, the rock consists of a coarse semi-crystalline limestone with which red schist is mixed. Its form is very irregular and its surface jagged and broken. The surface of the rock is by no means a flat table-land surrounded by precipitous sides. In its long axis from west to east there is from the Propylaea to the Parthenon a rise of nearly forty feet, so that the capitals of the columns of the one are about on a line with the bases of the columns of the other. The conformation of the surface is largely artificial. The seemingly level surface from north to south is due to the numerous fillings-in that have been made from time to time. The length from west to east is about 328 yards, the width from north to south about 148 yards.

Grottoes and caverns and projecting cliffs abound on three precipitous sides of the rock, while the fourth descends in a terraced slope. The north side especially contains prominent cliffs and deep hollows. Starting from the northeast corner and coming west there is a remarkable line of outlying rocks containing numerous small grottoes used in antiquity as niches for shrines and votive offerings. Further west is a long cavern, with underground stels from the Erechtheum above, which has been identified as the

Sanctuary of Aglaurus. Toward the northwest are the Long Cliffs, called Maкраi. These form the scene of the early legends embodied in the Ion of Euripides, and embrace the grotto of Pan, the grotto of Apollo, and the ancient spring Clepsydra.

At the eastern side, the rock runs out in two bold projections like natural bastions; the space between has been in great part artificially filled up. The largest of all the caves is to be found on this side; how it was utilized has not been definitely determined. The southern side, precipitous at the east end, slopes gradually westward forming three terraces. First are found the sacred precinct of Dionysus and the theatre, with the choregic monument of Thrasyllus above on a projecting rock. Westward, on the lowest terrace, are the Odeum of Herodes Atticus and the Colonnade of Eumenes; on the middle terrace is the precinct of Asclepius; and still higher is a small terrace with the shrines of Ge, Demeter, and perhaps other deities. The west side slopes gradually toward the Areopagus, and forms the natural approach to the Acropolis.

The history of the Acropolis falls naturally into eight periods :
A. Primitive Athens. - Relics of the Stone Age indicate that the Acropolis was the abode of man from an inconceivably remote period. Mycenaean remains are extensive; the Acropolis takes rank as a Mycenaean citadel along with Tiryns and Mycenae, and as Thucydides ${ }^{1}$ states, " what is now the citadel was the city." Cecrops is the first mythical king, who is supposed to have migrated from Egypt and to have established himself on the rock with his retainers. Erechtheus is the next king of prominence, who dwelt in his prehistoric palace, wherein was the shrine of Athena. The worship of Zeus, Athena, and Poseidon was already established. Finally came the Ionians, Aegeus and his son Theseus; the latter consolidated the twelve Attic townships into his famous synoikismos, and the Acropolis became the centre of the political life of Attica. ${ }^{1}$
B. The Epoch of Pisistratus. - With King Codrus (1068 b.c.) the historical period of Athenian history is supposed to begin, but we hear almost nothing of the Acropolis until the time of Pisistratus. The old pediment reliefs in the Acropolis Museum prove conclusively that long before his time there existed on the Acropolis temples of Athena and other deities. The tyranny of Pisistratus and his sons is a most momentous period in the history of the Acropolis. Here they took up their residence, and strengthened the fortifications. The finds of archaic sculptures, and of the
${ }^{1}$ Thucydides, ii, 15, discussed in Excursus III. Cf. Miss Harrison, Primitive Athens as described by Thucydides, Cambridge, 1906.
columns and pediment sculptures of the Old Athena Temple, embellished by Pisistratus, indicate the attention paid to art under this enlightened tyranny. Sculptors and architects were summoned from a distance to assist the native artists in their work. This epoch naturally closes with the sack by the Persians in 480 b.c., when temples were burnt, votive sculptures were thrown down and broken, and general havoc was wrought on the Acropolis.
C. The Periclean Age. - After the victory of Salamis and the recognition of Athens as the foremost state of Hellas, the Athenians undertook to rebuild their ruined city in a manner adequate to their increasing importance. Cimon and Themistocles began the movement to make the Acropolis a fit dwelling-place for the goddess Athena. The fortifications of the citadel were extended and strengthened; the surface was leveled up by filling in the hollow spaces with the débris of the Persian sack. A new portal or entrance-way was begun and the colossal bronze Athena of Phidias was set up. Then followed the golden age of Athens under Pericles ( $461-429$ в.c.), who wished the Acropolis to become the concrete expression of the greatness of the Athenian empire. Phidias was his chief adviser in carrying out his plans. The results were the building of (1) the Parthenon (447-438 B.c.), by the architects Ictinus and Callicrates ; (2) the Propylaea, with Mnesicles as architect (437-432 b.c.); (3) the temple of Athena Nike, planned 450 b.c. but probably not built until after the Propylaea; (4) the Erechtheum - doubtless planned by Pericles, as his building operations were interrupted by the Peloponnesian War, but not erected until 409-395 в.c.
D. The Acropolis in Hellenistic Times. - From the death of Pericles ( 429 в.c.) to the battle of Chaeronea ( 338 в.c.) the Acropolis underwent no material change. From that date its history is involved in the history of the foreign patrons and foes of Athens. From the close of the third century the Acropolis profited greatly by the gifts of foreign benefactors. King Attalus I of Pergamum (241-197 в.c.) made many dedicatory gifts, especially the groups commemorating his victory over the Gauls; Antiochus Epiphanes of Syria (175-164 b.c.), who began rebuilding the Olympieum, hung a Gorgon's head as an apotropaion on the south wall; and Eumenes II (197-159 в.c.) of Pergamum erected the colonnade bearing his name, between the two theatres on the southern slope.
E. The Acropolis under the Romans and the Byzantines. - Rome, recognizing the intellectual preëminence of Athens, took pride in adorning the city. A circular temple of Rome and Augustus was built to the east of the

Parthenon about the beginning of the Christian era. M. Vipsanius Agrippa was honored with an equestrian statue to the left of the approach to the Propylaea, the pedestal of which is still standing. The marble steps leading up to the Acropolis probably date from this time. Hadrian (117-138 A.d.), the most generous of Athenian patrons, adorned the theatre with statues, and completed the Olympieum, but does not seem to have devoted especial attention to the Acropolis. The acceptance of Christianity by the Roman emperors and their changed attitude toward paganism contributed largely to the mutilation of the Acropolis. Theodosius II (408-450) is supposed to have removed the gold and ivory image of Athena ; in 435 he issued a decree commanding heathen temples to be torn down or converted into churches. The Parthenon, in consequence of this policy, became in the latter part of the fifth or the early part of the sixth century the church of St. Sophia, and extensive changes were made in the interior. The name was later changed to the church of the Mother of God. The Erechtheum suffered a similar fate. Of the fortunes of Athens between the sixth and twelfth centuries, very little is known.
F. The Acropolis under the Franks and Florentines. - On the conquest of Constantinople by the Crusaders in 1204, Boniface, Marquis of Montferrat, obtained the sovereignty of Hellas, with the title of King of Thessalonica. The following year he appeared in Athens with his victorious Burgundians and Lombards, and his vassal, Otho de la Roche, was installed as Duke of Athens. The Greek churches on the Acropolis became Latin, but we know nothing of other changes on the Acropolis made by Otho and his descendants, who held the city from 1205 to 1311 ; nor under their successors, the usurping Catalans, who were in power for the next twenty years ; nor during the Sicilian domination, when the city was governed by regents of Frederick of Aragon and his successors.

In 1387 Athens fell into the hands of the Florentine Nerio Acciajuoli, Lord of Corinth. Nerio took up his residence in the Propylaea, which, under him or his successor Antonio, was transformed into a castle. The six Doric columns of the west portico were joined by a wall, with one entrance, and the four side doors of the portal were walled up, thus forming a large vestibule. The Pinacotheca was turned into executive offices, and another story was built above the entablature. At the same time the huge tower was built on the southwest wing from blocks of this wing and from neighboring buildings - a tower that long remained one of the most picturesque features in the Acropolis. This period was, in consequence, not favorable to the preservation of monuments.
G. The Acropolis under the Turks. - In 1456 Franco, last duke of Athens, after two years' heroic defense, surrendered the Acropolis to Omar, general of Mohammed II, who had conquered Constantinople in 1453. The Propylaea became the residence of Dasdar Aga, the Turkish governor. The Sultan Mohammed, who himself visited Athens in 1459, at first treated Athens with great moderation, even letting the Parthenon remain a Christian church, but after an insurrection against him he ruled with great severity and in 1460 had the Parthenon converted into a mosque. The Turks made but few changes in the building, merely removing the sacred image of the Virgin, whitewashing the walls, on which were pictures of saints, and building a minaret in the southwest corner. For nearly two centuries we hear almost nothing of the Acropolis. At length, in 1656, lightning struck a heap of powder, stored by Isuf Aga the commander in the east court of the Propylaea in preparation for cannonading a Christian church on the morrow. A frightful explosion followed, killing Isuf, and demolishing a large portion of the Propylaea. The architrave was shattered, the rich ceiling fell, columns were thrown down, and the portal was reduced almost to its present condition.

In 1674 the Marquis de Nointel, French Ambassador at Constantinople, had drawings made of the pediment sculptures and frieze of the Parthenon, which are usually attributed to the artist, Jacques Carrey. About 1676 Spon, the antiquarian, and Wheler, the naturalist, visited Athens, and the accounts of their journey, appearing in 1678 and 1682 , are important sources of information about the Acropolis at this period. In 1686 drawings of the Parthenon were made by French officers under Gravier d'Ortières.

In 1687 the Venetian commander, Francesco Morosini, laid siege to the Acropolis, placing cannon on the Areopagus, the Museum hill, and the Pnyx. A Turkish deserter gave information that the Parthenon was being used by the Turks as a powder magazine. The guns were aimed at the Parthenon : and on Friday, the 26th of September, 1687, at half past seven, the Parthenon of Pericles was rent in twain. For two days and nights a fearful conflagration continued. On October 3 the Turkish garrison capitulated, but the Acropolis was reoccupied in April, 1688, by the Turks, who were not again dislodged from their possession of the citadel until 1822, when they were compelled to surrender to the Greek insurgents. The Greek garrison on the Acropolis was forced in 1827 to capitulate to the Turks, who did not finally depart from it until 1833, the year in which Prince Otho of Bavaria was proclaimed King of Greece.

A few important archaeological events occurred during this interval. In 1750 Stuart, the painter, and Revett, painter and architect, visited Athens, under the auspices of the Society of the Dilettanti, and in 1762 appeared the first volume of their "Antiquities of Athens," which marks the beginning of the scientific study of Athenian monuments. In 1765 the second expedition of the Society of the Dilettanti was sent out. In $1790^{\circ}$ appeared the second volume of the "Antiquities of Athens." In 1801 Lord Elgin, British Ambassador to the Sublime Porte, removed to London almost all the frieze, a number of metopes, and nearly all the extant pediment sculptures of the Parthenon, a caryatid and column of the Erechtheum, and various smaller marbles, which were finally placed in the British Museum and are now universally known as " the Elgin Marbles."
H. The Acropolis and the New Grcek Kingdom. - In 1835, upon the removal of the Greek government from Nauplia to Athens, the Acropolis was delivered over to King Otho, with appropriate ceremonies, and forever ceased to be a citadel. The following dates are important for archaeological work since done : -
1833. First excavations, by private subscription.
1835. Ludwig-Ross, Conservator of Antiquities, removed the fortifications, rebuilt the Nike temple, and cleared the west front of the Propylaea.
1836. Pittakis, Ross' successor, completed the clearing of the Propylaea, and laid bare the foundations of the Erechtheum.
1853. The Beule Gate and marble stairway were cleared.
1862. Excavations by a Prussian Expedition consisting of Bötticher, Curtius, and Strack.
1885. Excavations of the Greek Archaeological Society.

1899-1905. Partial restoration of the Parthenon and the Erechtheum.

## EXCURSUS VIII. THE PROPYLAEA ${ }^{1}$

The Propylaea, the great portal of the Acropolis, was built by the architect Mnesicles on the foundations of an earlier gateway; ${ }^{2}$ it was begun in the archonship of Euthymenes (437-436 в.c.), and was never completed, as the work was interrupted by the Peloponnesian War. The sum expended on it was said to be 2012 talents, or something over $\$ 2,000,000$ (see IIarpocr. and Suid. s. v. $\pi$ poorúdaıa ; Plut. Pericles, 13 ; Diod. 12, 40 ; cf. Thuc. 2, 13). It was always regarded, along with the Parthenon, as
${ }^{1}$ See Dörpfeld's restoration of the ground plan of the Propylaea, given in Fig. 3, p. 273.
${ }^{2}$ See Weller, C. H., "The Pre-Periclean Propylaea on the Acropolis of Athens," A. J. A. viii (1904), 33-70.
one of the glories of Athens (Dem. 22, 13 ; 23, 207 ; Plut. de glor. Ath. 7, 8 ; Aeschin. 2, 105 ; Dio Chrys. Or. 2, vol. I, 27, ed. Dindorf, etc.). Fragments of inscriptions giving accounts of moneys expended are extant (C.I.A. I, Nos. 314, 315 ; IV, No. 315 a, b, c ; Jahn-Michaelis, p. 39).

The approach to the Propylaea is through an ancient gate between two quadrangular towers. This gate is known as the Beule Gate, because it was in 1853 discovered and excavated by the French archaeologist Beulé, who freed it from the Turkish bastions that previously concealed it. Dr. Dörpfeld has shown that materials for the gate were taken from a choregic monument of Nicias, dating from the archonship of Neaechmus, 320-319 в.c. He thinks the monument was removed from its original site at the time of the building of the Odeum of Herodes Atticus, between 160 and 177 A.d., and that the gate was most probably built soon after. Passing through the gate, we observe the remains of a great marble staircase 72 feet in width. The staircase and the towers facing the gate date from the first half of the first century after Christ. The staircase probably replaced a winding approach going back to primitive times. On the left is the pedestal of the statue of Agrippa ; on the right is the huge bastion, on which rests the temple of Athena Nike.

To understand the plan of the Propylaea let us imagine first of all a cross-wall running north and south between two parallel walls, which it meets at right angles. The cross-wall is $\overline{5} 9$ feet in length, and is pierced by five gateways, the central of which is 24 feet 2 inches high by 13 feet 8 inches wide; the two on either side of this are 17 feet 8 inches high by $9 \frac{1}{2}$ feet wide; and the two extreme gateways are 11 feet 3 inches high by 4 feet 9 inches wide. Through the middle gateway ran the road for processions; the four side gateways were approached by a flight of five steps, four of marble, the fifth of black Eleusinian stone.

At their western and eastern extremities the cross-walls have placed before them porticoes of six Doric columns. The outer or western portico is very deep, measuring 59 feet in width by 49 feet in depth. Besides the six Doric columns along the front, we have at right angles to them two rows of three Ionic columns each, flanking the central passage through the portico to the middle gateway, and supporting originally the marble roof ornamented with golden stars, the wonder of ancient travelers. The roof is gone, and all the Ionic columns have lost their capitals. The inner portico facing east is of the same width, but is very shallow, being only 19 feet deep. Five of the six Doric columns fronting it retain their capitals, and two are united by an architrave block.

This is the main portion of the structure. But the whole breadth of rock here is 178 feet, whereas what we have already described takes up only about 60 feet. Dr. Dörpfeld has reconstructed the ground plan of Mnesicles to cover the field, though only a portion of the subordinate sections of the Propylaea was completed.

Adjoining the main portico at right angles to it north and south, two wings were planned, only one of which, however, was completed. The northwest wing consists of a chamber nearly square, being 35 feet 3 inches wide by 29 feet 5 inches deep, with a portico on its southern side, 13 feet deep, fronted by three Doric columns between antae.

Above the columns is an architrave with a plain frieze of triglyphs and metopes. The main chamber was lighted by a door 14 feet high by $9 \frac{1}{3}$ feet wide and by two small windows. This chamber was the ancient Pinacotheca or picture gallery.

The southwest wing, as we have it, consists of merely a portico facing north with no rear chamber. The front consisted of three Doric columns between antae, corresponding exactly to the front of the northwest portico. Yet the rear wall stops not opposite the northwest anta, but the third column, thus leaving the anta stranded. This is evidence that the architect has made a change in his plans, and Dr. Dörpfeld has endeavored to recover the original design by a study of the architectural details, especially the antae. His conclusion is that Mnesicles contemplated for the southwest wing a structure of the same dimensions as the opposite wing, but with this difference : the chamber with its portico was to be entirely open to the west facing the Nike temple, and instead of a wall as in the northwest wing, four columns between two antae should face west. The difference of plan was due to the fact that the Pinacotheca abutted on a precipice, while the southwest wing could serve as a colonnade before the Nike temple.

Besides the two western wings Dr. Dörpfeld has shown from a study of architectural details that the original plan provided also for two eastern wings. Thus, the anta at the northeast corner of the east portico is double, thus calling for a row of columns running north, as well as the extant row running south. The eastern wall of the northwest wing juts beyond the rest of the building. If continued to the Acropolis wall it would furnish the western wall of the northeast colonnade.

Similar arguments prove that a colonnade of like dimensions was projected as the southeast wing of the Propylaea. But these great ideas were never carried out, most likely on account of the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War, and the consequent lack of funds.


## EXCURSUS IX. THE TEMPLE OF ATHENA NIKE

The temple which Pausanias $(1,22,4 ; 3,15,7 ; 5,26,6)$ ascribes to Wingless Victory is more appropriately styled the temple of Athena Nike, that is, Athena in the character of Victory (Harpocr. and Suid. s.v. Níкך 'A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$; Soph. Philoct. 134 ; Eustath. on Hom. Il. $\Phi$; 410 ; C.I.A. I, p. 88 f., No. 189 a ; II, Nos. 163, 471). . Victory was regularly personified with wings in Greek art. As Athena is always represented wingless it is natural that here too, though under a special type, she should be wingless.

The temple has had an interesting modern history. It was seen and described by Wheler in 1676. It was pulled down by the Turks, about 1687, and the material was used in making a battery on the site. In 1835 the temple was discovered by Ross, Schaubert, and Hansen, who rebuilt it as it now stands. The roof is almost gone, and the gables are wanting. Yet the temple is fairly well preserved.

The temple rests on a massive bastion 26 feet high to the south of the staircase. The material is Pentelic marble. The temple is of the Ionic order, amphiprostyle tetrastyle. It rests on a base of three steps, the stylobate being 27 feet 2 inches long from east to west by 18 feet $3 \frac{1}{2}$ inches broad from north to south. The height of the columns including base and capital is 13 feet 4 inches; the diameter, 1 foot 10 inches; the shaft of each column is of a single block of marble, with 24 flutes. The height of the entablature is 3 feet $8 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. The frieze, 86 feet in length and 1 foot $5 \frac{1}{2}$ inches high, sculptured in high relief, runs all round the temple. The cella is 16 feet long; the entrance was between two pillars connected with the antae by a balustrade.

The date of the temple has been long disputed : some archaeologists attributed it to the Cimonian period, others to the Age of Pericles, others to the middle of the Peloponnesian War. An inscription discovered a few years ago by Cavvadias, and dating probably about 450 b.c., calls for the construction of a gate, a temple, and an altar of marble, according to the specifications of the architect Callicrates. Both Dörpfeld and Cavvadias think that the temple referred to can be no other than that of Nike. They hold that this temple was actually built soon after the middle of the century. The style of the sculptures and architectural refinements strongly contradicts this view, as they point rather to the period after the Parthenon and the Propylaea. It is likely that the decree of 450 b.c. was not immediately carried out and that the temple was erected after the Propylaea had
been begun, if not completed. See 'Eф. 'A $\rho \boldsymbol{\prime}$. 1897, 174 ff.; A. M. XXII (1897), 226 ff.; Judeich, 200 ff.

The Ionic frieze was sculptured in high relief. The scene portrayed on the east front was an assembly of gods, with Athena in the midst ; on the other three sides are scenes of battle, Greeks fighting with Persians on the north and south sides, Greeks against Greeks on the west side. A portion of the frieze was carried off by Lord Elgin, and is in the British Museum ; it has been replaced by a terra-cotta replica. Within the temple, says Pausanias $(3,15,7)$, there was an ancient wooden image representing Athena wingless, with a pomegranate in her right hand, and a helmet in her left. Round the three precipitous sides of the temple along the edge of the bastion ran a breast-high parapet of marble slabs, with reliefs on the outer surface. A number of these slabs are preserved in the Acropolis Museum. One represents a winged Victory kneeling upon an ox, about to plunge a knife into its body; another, two Victories leading a cow; a third, a Victory tying her sandal. The reliefs are renowned especially for the graceful proportions of the figures, and the delicate treatment of the drapery.

EXCURSUS X. THE PARTHENON
The Parthenon is situated on the highest part of the Acropolis, about half way between its eastern and western limits, but much nearer the southern than the northern wall. It has suffered much in the passing centuries. There remain the stylobate complete ; the double rows of columns at the two ends, and much of the colonnade on the northern and southern sides, with the exception of the central portions; the entablature at the eastern and western ends ; most of the west pediment and a portion of the east pediment; and the walls of the west cella and portico,-with only portions of the rest of the walls.

The foundations, which are very deep at the southeast corner, are the foundations of an earlier temple never erected, which have been extended to meet the change of form adopted for the new temple. This substructure is 250 feet long by 105 feet broad, while the stylobate of the Parthenon is 228 feet long by 101 feet broad, its proportions being as 4 to 9 . Dr. Dörpfeld at first ascribed this earlier construction to Cimon (A. M. XVII, 157 ff .), but at length after a closer study of the foundations he has carried back the origin of the building to pre-Persian times, basing his theory on a study of the marks of fire on the stones. These led him to the conclusion that the scaffolding was standing when the Persian sack of the Acropolis took
place, and he now ascribes the inauguration of the undertaking to the new democracy founded by Cleisthenes shortly before the Persian War. This theory well accords with the extension and embellishment of the Old Temple of Athena. See A.M. XXVII (1902), 382 ff . The Periclean Parthenon took over the foundations of the earlier building, but adapted them to its change of form and dimensions.

The Parthenon was built to be a concrete expression of the glory and power of Athens incident to the rise in its fortunes as a result of its victories in the Persian Wars. Pericles was the father of the idea, and Phidias was his counselor. Inscriptions show that the present Parthenon was begun in 447 в.c. See A.M. XVII (1892), 158 ff. ; B.C.H. XIII (1889), 174 ff . It was so far completed that the gold and ivory statue of Athena was dedicated at the Panathenaic festival in 438 b.c. (Schol. Ar. Pax, 605). The architects were Ictinus and Callicrates, but the general supervision was exercised by Phidias, who made the gold and ivory statue (Plut. Pericles, 13 ; Strabo, 9, pp. 395, 396 ; Paus. 8, 41, 9).

Although in inscriptions the name Parthenon was restricted to the west chamber, it became in time the popular designation of the whole temple. Demosthenes was the first who is known to have used it thus. See Dem. 22, 76. Cf. [Dicaearchus] Descriptio Graeciae, 1 (Geogr. Gr. Min., ed. Müller, 1, p. 98) ; Rhet. Gr., ed. Walz. 7, p. 4 ; Strabo, 9, pp. 395, 396 ; Plut. Pericles, 13 ; Demetrius, 23 ; Philostratus, Vit. Apollon. 2, 10.

The Parthenon is of the Doric order, octostyle peripteral. Three steps run all round the building. Upon the stylobate rises the temple, with eight columns to the front and rear and seventeen on the sides, the first known example of this arrangement. The average height of the columns is $34_{\frac{1}{4}}$ feet; their lower diameter, 6 feet 3 inches; the upper, 4 feet 10 inches. The flutes of the columns are 20 in number. The capitals of the columns consisted of the cushion-shaped echinus, and the abacus or plinth.

The architrave consisted of a series of three blocks of marble placed beside each other from the centre of one column to that of the next, about $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in height. The triglyph frieze rose above this to a like height, the metopes of which were adorned with sculptures in high relief. Above the triglyph frieze at the east and west ends rose the pediments, the inclosing lines of which were at an angle of $13 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ with the horizonal cornice. The top and bottom members of the pediment project, framing the tyinpanum, or field of the pediment, which recedes 3 feet from the inclosing cornice. The tympanum is 93 feet long, and $11_{\frac{1}{2}}$ feet high in the centre.


Fig. 4. Foundations of the Parthenon

The temple proper, as distinguished from the peristyle, formed a handsome amphiprostyle temple of the Doric order, 194 feet long and 71 feet wide, with 6 columns at each end, 33 feet in height. All round the top of its outer walls, and above the architrave over these columns, ran a frieze, or sculptured belt, nearly 3 feet 4 inches high.

The temple interior consisted of four parts, namely, the pronaos or eastern portico ; the naos or cella, being the eastern chamber 96 feet long and 63 feet wide; the western chamber, called Parthenon in the restricted sense ; and the western portico, probably called the opisthodomos. The naos was also known as the Hekatomperlos from the fact that its length, including the thickness of the partition wall ( $5 \frac{1}{2}$ feet), is exactly equal to 100 ancient Attic feet.

The cella was divided longitudinally into three aisles by two rows of Doric columns. In the central aisle, on a spot marked by a quadrangular space of Piraeus limestone, towards the west end of the chamber, stood the chryselephantine statue of Athena. There was no door between the cella and the western chamber. The great door at the eastern entrance admitting to the cella was about 16 feet wide and 33 feet high, and afforded sufficient light for the chamber.

The architectural features of the exterior of the temple invited sculptural embellishment in three parts of the building, namely the metopes, the pediments, and the frieze; and when it was completed no other building was comparable to it in the extent and variety of its sculptures.

The metopes are the flat slabs of marble between the triglyphs running round the building above the architrave. In the Parthenon all the ninetytwo metopes were adorned with sculptures in high relief, representing usually single combats. The subject on the metopes of the east front is generally taken to be contests of Gods and Giants, on the west of Greeks and Amazons. The metopes on the south side had suffered comparatively little when Carrey drew them in 1674, and fifteen of the best of these are among the Elgin marbles. The metopes toward each end represented Lapiths and Centaurs, engaged in the struggle that ensued at the marriage feast of Pirithous, while the metopes in the middle of the series contained figures of stately women. The metopes on the north side had the same subject, but with the order of composition inverted.

The pediments were adorned with sculptures in the round. Pausanias tells us that the scene represented on the eastern end was the birth of Athena, on the western the contest of Athena and Poseidon for the supremacy of Attica. The principle of composition in each case was a
great central group, flanked on each side by secondary characters. The west pediment group, though now the greater wreck, is better known to us through the drawings ascribed to Carrey. The two contending deities were conceived as present on the Acropolis beside the actual olive tree and pool which they had created, and their charioteers and chariots are also present. The groups of interested spectators in the two wings have been variously interpreted, either as deified followers of Athena and Poseidon respectively, or as local heroes, or as personifications of the mountains and coast of Attica. Of this group only one torso remains, usually known as the river-god Cephisus. The two mutilated figures still on the pediment are supposed to be Cecrops and one of his daughters.

Of the east pediment we have no drawing to show what the great central group, now missing, was like. The great void in the centre, doubtless, was occupied originally by the deities regarded as present at the birth of the goddess Athena from the head of her father Zews. The two central figures are usually represented as Zeus seated, with Athena standing beside him, full grown and full armed. The arrangement of the two angle grouns is known from Carrey's drawing, and fortunately they are all preserved among the Elgin marbles. The scene is located on Mt. Olympus, and the extreme figures are Helios rising from the sea in the left angle and Selene descending behind the hills in the right. The reclining male figure next to Helios, popularly known as Theseus, is now generally regarded as the personification of Mt. Olympus. The three draped women in the left angle are generally identified as Horae, or as two Horae and Iris, the messenger goddess, and the three draped women in the right angle as the three Fates, appropriately present at a birth, or as Hestia, Ge and Thalassa (Waldstein), or as the three peculiarly Attic personifications of morning dew, Aglaurus, Herse, and Pandrosus (Murray).

The frieze consisted of a band in low relief running along the walls of the temple and over the inner rows of six columns of the east and west ends, just beneath the roof of the peristyle. The total length was 522 feet 10 inches, of which 240 feet 6 inches are among the Elgin marbles. The western frieze is still in situ. The height of the frieze was 3 feet 4 inches, and the average depth of the relief is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. The subject portrayed was the great Panathenaic procession. The west frieze represented the stage of preparation; the north and south portions that of progress; and the east frieze the culmination of the procession. The slab just over the entrance to the temple represents the delivery of the sacred peplus to
the high priest or chief magistrate, and on each side of this is a group of slabs representing the Olympic deities present on the Acropolis to witness the ceremony.

Winckelmann's characterization-"noble naïveté and placid grandeur" aptly describes the art of the Parthenon sculptures. All the external decorations of the temple were intended to give honor to the goddess Athena, sublimely represented by the colossal gold and ivory image within the cella.

Pausanias describes the image of Athena Parthenos in great detail. From him' we learn that the goddess stood upright, clad in a tunic reaching to the feet; that on her breast was the head of Medusa and on her head a helmet adorned with gryphons and a sphinx ; that she held in one hand a Victory four cubits high, and in the other a spear, while at her feet was set a shield, and beside her spear a snake; and that the birth of Pandora was represented on the pedestal. Pliny (N. H. 36, 18) adds some important particulars: "He wrought on the convex side of the shield the Battle of the Amazons, on the concave the Battle of the Gods and Giants, on the sandals the battle of the Lapiths and Centaurs. . . . On the basis the subject carved is what they call 'the birth of Pandora,' and the gods present at the birth are twenty in number." From other passages and inscriptions (cf. Overbeck, Schriftquellen, pp. 645 ff .) we learn that the height of the image was twenty-six cubits, that the face, feet, and hands were of ivory, and the pupils of precious stones. In addition to these literary sources the following works of art add to our knowledge of the image, namely : the Varvakeion and Lenormant statuettes in the National Museum at Athens; the Strangford shield in the British Museum ; the Hermitage medallion at St. Petersburg, and various Athenian coins.

## EXCURSUS XI. THE ERECHTHEUM

The temple generally known as the Erechtheum is situated on the northern side of the Acropolis, not far from the wall, in a slight depression about half way between the east and west ends.

As we observe from the study of the ground plan, the form of the Erechtheum is unique. The main structure is a quadrangular edifice $65 \frac{1}{2}$ feet long and 37 feet wide, resting on a basis of three steps. This main building has three vestibules ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau$ ácels), on the east, north, and south, forming entrances to the temple. As the temple was on a slope, the stereobate of the north and west sides is about 9 feet lower than that of the south
and east sides. At the eastern end we have a portico lined with six Ionic columns; at the northwest corner is a portico, with four lonic columns in front, and one on each side behind the corner column; and at the southwest corner is a small porch with the roof supported by six Korai or Caryatides. The eastern portico, being fronted by six Ionic columns, gives the building the appearance of an Ionic hexastyle temple. At present the northernmost column is missing, having been carried off by Lord Elgin.


Fig. 5. Frechtheum and Old Temple of Athena

The Ionic columns of the east portico are about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ feet in diameter, and 22 feet high. The base consists of two convex moldings (tori), separated by a trochilus or hollow molding. The upper torus is provided with 4 horizontal flutings. The shaft has 24 flutes separated by narrow fillets. As to the capital, the neck has a beaded molding and a frieze of palmettes; above this is an egg-and-tongue molding, and a plain band supporting the echinus or central cushion of the capital, which is adorned with
flutes and beads; the volutes are strongly marked with a double channel, and above this is a narrow abacus, enriched with an egg-and-tongue molding. The architrave consists of three horizontal members, as is usual in Ionic buildings, the second projecting a little beyond the first, and the third beyond the second. Above this is the frieze, about 2 feet in height, which ran completely round the building. The background is of black Eleusinian marble, to which were fastened figures sculptured in white marble. Owing to the mutilated condition of the fragments, the subject of the frieze has not been definitely determined. Stevenson, in A.J. A. X (1906), 47-71 [pl. vi-ix], has shown that the east wall was provided - with windows, contrary to the usage of Greek temples.

The northwest portico is in the depression facing the north wall of the Acropolis. It is approached from the east by a flight of twelve steps, leading down to a paved area. The porch is bordered by six Ionic columns, four on the front, and one on each side between the corner column and the anta of the wall. The columns are larger and more beautiful even than those of the east front, exhibiting much more ornamental carving. On them rested the architrave, the frieze, and the cornice. The beautiful doorway has been frequently imitated. It narrows slightly as it approaches the top. Noteworthy are the heavy door-jambs with their enriched moldings and carved rosettes; the lintel of a similar ornamental nature with an additional molding on the top; the cornice with a richly carved band of ornament along its face; two carved brackets or consoles, one of which is now missing ; and finally, above two courses of plain marble, a band of richly carved honeysuckle ornament and enriched molding forming a continuation of the capitals of the antae, immediately below the heavybeamed and coffered ceiling.

Along the southern wall, at the southwest corner, is a third portico, much smaller than the other two. The roof is supported by six figures of maidens somewhat larger than life, standing on a parapet $8 \frac{1}{2}$ feet high, which incloses the porch. Inscriptions call these figures simply korai, " maidens," and the portico is very properly styled " the portico of the maidens." However, the term caryatid has come to be regularly applied to female figures serving as supports in architecture (cf. Vitruv. 1, 1, 5). The figures are arranged four in front, and two at the sides behind each corner figure. Two of the figures have been restored; one is a terra-cotta copy of the original carried off by Lord Elgin; the other three are the original figures in situ. The arms and hands of all six are missing. The figures form an admirable substitute for columns. The folds of the drapery
correspond to the flutings of a column; the rich masses of hair give an architectural roundness of outline similar to the echinus, so that the maidens seem fully equal to the burden they have to bear.

At the western end there is not an opisthodomos, as is usual in Greek temples, but the façade consists of a parapet of considerable height, on which rest four engaged columns, with rectangular windows in the intercolumniations. A small door in the wall admits to the western hall of the Erechtheum.

In the interior of the building we have the foundations of a cross-wall running from north to south just east of the great doorway opening to the north porch. Further, there are indications of the existence of the foundations of a cross-wall, or, more probably, a row of columns, a little more than half way between the first cross-wall and the west end of the temple. Thus the building was divided into three parts which may be conveniently called the east cella, the west cella, and the west hall. The east cella was entered from the east, the west cella and hall from the north portico. There was also a door in the cross-wall, and a row of steps leading down into the west cella from the east cella.

Under the north porch is a small crypt, entered from the interior of the building through a small door in the foundations of the north wall. The floor of the crypt is the native rock, and upon this are some irregular fissures which are supposed to be the marks shown in antiquity as those of Poseidon's trident (Paus. 1, 26, 5 ; Apollod. 3, 14, 1 ; Strabo, 9, p. 396). Some think the crypt may possibly have been the abode of the sacred serpent (Ar. Lysistr. 758; Hdt. 8, 41 ; Plut. Themistocles, 10).

In the west wall is a huge block of marble, 5 feet in thickness, one end of which rests on the same foundations as the caryatid portico. Beneath the middle of this block is a vacant space, later filled in with rough masonry of mediaeval date. The purpose of the block was manifestly to support the weight of the southwest corner of the Erechtheum, so as to keep intact some object below it. The presumption is that here was the Cecropium - probably a primitive vaulted tomb, mentioned in inscriptions (C.I.A. I, 322, 2).

Under the west hall are remains of a cistern, which was probably the Oádacoa formed by Poseidon when he struck the rock with his trident (Hdt. 8, 55).

West of the Erechtheum we have indications of the boundaries of a sacred precinct, running westward about 100 feet. This inclosure was doubtless the Pandrosium, or precinct of Pandrosus, mentioned by Pausanias (cf. C.I.A. I, 322, ll. 44, 45). In this precinct was the sacred
olive-tree of Athena, which sprang up in her contest with Poseidon, and, though burnt by the Persians, was found to have sprouted a cubit's length on the following day. Cf. Hdt. 8, 55 ; Philochorus, frag. 146 (Dion. Hal. de Din. 3) ; Apollod. 3, 14, 1, 2.

Pausanias is our chief authority as to the uses of the building and the relative position of the various sacred objects which it contained. In spite of the arguments of Dr. Dörpfeld and of Miss Harrison, it seems certain that the Old Athena Temple did not exist in Pausanias's time and that the whole of the text from 26,6 to 27,4 is a description of the building now known as the Erechtheum.
 Pausanias referred merely to the west cella and hall, not to the whole building. The word 'Epé $\chi$ Өcıov occurs' elsewhere only in Ps.-Plut. vit. x Or. p. 843 E , where it refers apparently to the chamber dedicated to Erechtheus. This is the view of Michaelis and Furtwängler. See also Schubart (Philol. 15, 385), who discusses Pausanias's usage of the word oíкпиа. The designation 'Epé $\chi$ Өciov came to be used, however, for the whole building, just as did the term Parthenon for the greater temple.

Pausanias's description falls, therefore, into two parts, (1) the Erechtheum $(1,26,5)$ or west cella and hall, (2) the naos of Athena Polias or the east cella (1, 26, 6-1, 27, 1). Adjacent was the sanctuary of Pandrosus (1, 27, 2). Now Pausanias speaks of the Erechtheum as double. In the west cella were doubtless (1) the altars to Poseidon-Erechtheus, to Butes, and to Hephaestus, and (2) the paintings of the Butadae; in the west hall was the salt well of Poseidon, or "the sea of Erechtheus" as it was also called (Apollod. 3, 14, 1 ; Hdt. 8, 55 ; Paus. 8, 10, 4), and in the crypt beneath were shown the marks of Poseidon's trident (cf. Strabo, 9, p. 396). Thence passing up the steps through the central door he entered the east cella, which was known as the naos of Athena Polias, where he saw chief of all (1) the old Athena agalma, (2) the lamp of Callimachus, and (3) various votive offerings.

Such was the construction of the Erechtheum as it was left unfinished by its architect, and as it exists to-day. Its plan has puzzled archaeologists and architects of every period, for it is obviously anomalous. As in the case of the Propylaea, so in the case of the Erechtheum, it has been Dr. Dörpfeld's ${ }^{1}$ good fortune to reconstruct the complete design as it was probably conceived in the mind of its architect.
${ }^{1}$ See Dörpfeld, "Der ursprüngliche Plan des Erechtheion," A. M. xxix (1904), 101 ff . and Taf. 6, reproduced above, p. 281.

Dr. Dörpfeld holds that the temple was intended to consist of two cellas each fronted by a pronaos. The east cella is styled on the plan "AthenaPolias Temple," the west cella "opisthodomos." Between the east and west cellas is a building consisting of three chambers, bearing the designation " Poseidon-Erechtheus Temple." The middle chamber of this central portion is approached by two porticoes - the well-known northwest porch and the caryatid porch to the south. Thus the temple is given a symmetrical though somewhat complex form.

The complexity of form was occasioned by the fact that the temple was designed to replace both the Old Athena Temple and the old temple of Erechtheus with its manifold uses. Dr. Dörpfeld believes he has found traces of the old Poseidon-Erechtheus temple running diagonally under the western part of the new Erechtheum. The remains of the Old Athena Temple just to the south are well known. In supplanting the earlier temples by a common sanctuary Pericles planned that the east cella of the Old Athena Temple should be replaced by the east cella of the combined structure, and the opisthodomos of the old temple by the opisthodomos of the new. The old Erechtheum was reproduced in the building with three chambers, between the two parts of the structure, that took the place of the Old Athena Temple. The two porches to the north and south formed entrances to the Erechtheum proper, and at the same time gave suitable recognition to the mark of Poseidon's trident and the grave of Cecrops.

The sublime conception of the architect was not to be carried out. The new temple was doubtless begun before the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War. When it was found to be impossible to carry out the plan in its entirety a compromise was effected. The east cella was completed; two of the three chambers of the middle building were finished with the one to the west slightly reduced in size, and the western wall with its windows between engaged columns was given the form with which we are familiar.

Such is the theory of Dr. Dörpfeld in regard to the building of the Erechtheum. For historical evidence on this subject we are chiefly indebted to the famous Chandler inscription (C.I.A. I, 322), the date of which is 409 b.c. This inscription tells of the appointment of a commission to examine into the state of the building in order to ascertain what was still necessary for its completion. It shows that the work was already far advanced, and in all probability the temple was completed during the following year. The temple described is spoken of as that "in which is the ancient image," referring primarily to the east cella.

Xenophon (Hell. 1, 6, 1) states that the ancient temple on the Acropolis was set on fire, the date being 406 b.c. This probably referred to the Erechtheum which had replaced the old temple. The damage was not repaired immediately, as it appears to have been still incomplete in 395 в.c. (C.I.A. II, No. 829), but it must have been finished by 376 b.c. (C.I.A. II, No. 672). In Christian times the Erechtheum was turned into a church, with the necessary changes in its internal arrangements. The Turks used it as a dwelling-house, and to make an extra room the columns of the north portico were walled up. The building underwent great damage during the siege of the Acropolis by the Turks in 1827. It was repaired to some extent in 1838 and 1846, but in 1852 a storm blew down the engaged columns and the wall between them on the west end.

Within the past few years extensive restorations have been made on the Erechtheum, in order to preserve intact what has survived to us from ancient times.

## EXCURSUS XII. THE OLD ATHENA TEMPLE

In 1885-1886, during the excavations conducted by the Greek Archaeological Society, in the rectangular space long regarded as a sacred precinct just south of the Erechtheum, the foundations of a large ancient temple were discovered and excavated. Dr. Dörpfeld, who superintended the excavations, soon identified these remains with the sanctuary of Athena that had been burnt by the Persians when they sacked the Acropolis in 480 b.c., and styled it the "Old Athena Temple," which has become its popular designation. Fortunately from time to time architrave blocks, drums of columns, broken statues, and other architectural and sculptural fragments, many of which were built into the north wall, have come to light, and have been identified as belonging to this temple. As a result of these discoveries, a rather complete reconstruction of the building in all essential details has been made by Dr. Dörpfeld and his associates. The first ground plan of the temple appeared in the Antike Denkmäler of 1886. The results of the study of the architectural remains and of the fragments of sculpture preserved in the Acropolis Museum were given in the Athenische Mittheilungen of 1886 and succeeding years; and a complete account of this pre-Persian Doric temple, with elaborate illustrations, is given in Wiegand-Schrader-Dörpfeld, Poros-Architektur der Akropolis, Liepzig 1904. In this excursus we sketch merely the results of nearly a score of years of study as presented by the authors of this work.

The surface of the Acropolis where the temple was located was not naturally level, but sloped from southeast to northwest. Hence the site had to be artificially leveled. At the southeast corner the stylobate lay directly on the rock; on the northwest, however, foundation walls were built to the height of about ten feet; along the sides the height of the foundation walls steadily decreases from the northwest to the south and east, and their preservation is in proportion to their height. From a study of the ground plan ${ }^{1}$ determined by the actual remains, we observe that there is a rectangular foundation wall surrounding the whole temple, on which rested the peristyle. Within this is a somewhat smaller rectangle which is divided by cross-walls into several compartments. At the east we have a rather narrow portico leading into the cella of the temple which is nearly square ( $33 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft} . \times 33 \mathrm{ft}$.); at the west, behind the portico, there is a somewhat larger chamber ( 38.3 ft . $\times 33 \mathrm{ft}$.) which contains two smaller rooms to the east, lying north and south of each other. The partition wall between the cella and the west chamber was without a door, suggesting that the former was for religious, the latter for secular purposes. From a study of the remains it is evident that we have here a temple about 100 Attic feet in length and 41 feet in breadth, around which was built a colonnade of the Doric order, with six columns at each end and twelve at each side, making it a hexastyle peripteral temple. Owing to its length the sanctuary was known as the Hekatompedon.

The foundation walls of the temple are not all of the same material. The foundations of the colonnade, including the stylobate, are of limestone from Kara at the foot of Mount Hymettus; those of the temple proper, of the bluish limestone of the Acropolis. The remains of columns, architrave blocks, and triglyphs are of poros; the metopes and pediment blocks are of a white coarse-grained marble. There are similar differences in technique between the colonnade and the building it surrounds. Both the material and the workmanship show that the naos was an early temple dating certainly not later than the seventh century, and that this primitive sanctuary of Athena was enriched with a colonnade and its marble embellishments during the supremacy of Pisistratus.

Thanks to the discovery of Athenian sculptures hidden away in the débris of the Acropolis after the Persian Wars, we can speak with definiteness of the plastic adornment not only of the enlarged temple of Pisistratus but also of the simpler amphiprostyle temple that existed long before his time. We shall take up first sculptures of the pediments of the prePisistratean cella.
${ }^{1}$ See Fig. 5, p. 281.

In the Acropolis Museum are several groups of highly colored poros pediment sculptures that undoubtedly belonged to primitive temples on the Acropolis. Certain of these are attributed by Wiegand and Schrader on good grounds to this earlier temple. The design of the western pediment fell into two parts. In one angle Heracles was represented as wrestling with the huge serpent Triton; the right-hand portion of the pediment was occupied by the strange figure with three human heads and bodies uniting in one snaky coil, extending to the end of the pediment, whose correct mythological name is supposed to be "Typhon." Yet he was no protagonist, only an interested spectator. The centre of the pediment was doubtless occupied by accessories, as the stem of a tree on which hung the bows and arrows and superfluous raiment of Heracles.

The eastern pediment was even more gorgeous in its embellishment. It may be briefly described as follows: Athena was seated in the apex; to her right was a seated and crowned figure which survives, and which must be regarded as a king or a god. The balancing figure to the left of the goddess is gone; the extant figure is usually called Zeus, but it was probably a subordinate god or a hero. Possibly Athena was represented as seated between Poseidon and Erechtheus. In each angle there was a great snake, the one blue and orange, the other a vivid emerald green, which were in all probability the two guardian snakes of the Acropolis, sometimes identified with Cecrops the snake king and his daughter Pandrosus.

When the colonnade was provided by Pisistratus, these rude poros sculptures were replaced by more imposing works in marble, and of these several figures of the group that were in the west pediment are in the Acropolis Museum. These consist of a colossal statue of Athena, and three figures of giants, besides other fragments, showing that the scene portrayed was the Battle of the Gods and Giants. Schrader concludes that the composition originally consisted of eight figures, of two of which we have no fragments whatever preserved. In the centre Athena bends over a fallen giant with the plume of his helmet grasped in her left hand. The two corners of the triangle were each filled by a giant, leaning forward with body supported on one knee and by one hand. As to the intervening parts between the centre and the extremities of the pediment, Schrader supplies to the right and left of Athena two groups consisting of a god standing and a giant fallen on one knee. The gods, probably Zeus and Heracles, rush from the centre against their adversaries who recoil toward the extremities. These eight figures would fairly occupy the space of the pediment.

Herodotus, 8, 53-55 records the burning and mutilation of the Old Athena Temple by the Persians. A sixth-century inscription (C.I.A. IV, pp. 137 ff .) speaks of a temple known as the Hekatompedon, and contains a provision that the chambers shall be opened by the treasurers. Dr. Dörpfeld holds that the reference here is to the compartments of the western end of this temple, which in his opinion were used as a treasury, while the naos contained the wooden image of Athena that fell from heaven. After the Persian Wars the poros and marble blocks of the colonnade were used in repairing the north wall, but the temple itself was restored and was the principal sanctuary on the Acropolis until the completion of the Parthenon in 438 b.c. We have already considered Dr. Dörpfeld's ground plan of the great marble temple which Pericles intended should replace the two poros temples of Athena and Erechtheus and embrace the holy "signs" and the grave of Cecrops. It is not known when the Old Athena Temple ceased to exist. Dr. Dörpfeld holds that the temple without the peristyle was restored shortly after the Persian War, serving as the principal temple on the Acropolis until the completion of the Parthenon, and that it continued to exist until the Roman or Byzantine period.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN NOTES, INTRODUCTION, AND APPENDIX 

## PROPER NAMES AND TITLES

Aesch. $=$ Aeschylus
Aeschin. = Aeschines
A. Jb. $=$ Jahrbuch des Archäologischen Instituts
A.M. $=$ Athenische Mittheilungen

Anacr. = Anacreon's genuine fragments
Anacreont. $=$ Anacreontica (spurious)
Anth. P. = Anthologia Palatina
Anth. Plan. $=$ Anthologia Planudea
Antiph. $=$ Antiphanes
Apoll. Dysc. $=$ Apollonius Dyscolus
Apollod. $=$ Apollodorus
App. $=$ Appianus
Ap. Rh. = Apollonius of Rhodes
Ar. $=$ Aristophanes
Arat. = Aratus
Arcad. $=$ Arcadius
Archil. $=$ Archilochus
Arist. = Aristoteles
Aristid. $=$ Aristides
Arr. $=$ Arrianus
Ath. $=$ Athenaeus
Att. $=$ Attic
Ausg. Aufs. = Ausgewählte Aufsätze
Babr. $=$ Babrius
Bekk. Anec. $=$ Bekker's Anecdota Graeca
Berl. Philol. Woch. = Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift
Biog. Gr. = Biographi Graeci
Call. $=$ Callimachus
C.I.A. $=$ Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarum
C.I.G. $=$ Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum
Clem. Al. = Clement of Alexandria
Dem. $=$ Demosthenes
Dem. Phal. = Demetrius Phalereus
Dio C. $=$ Dio Cassius
Diod. = Diodorus
Diog. L. $=$ Diogenes Laërtius
Dion. H. = Dionysius of Halicarnassus
Droysen $=$ Droysen's Geschichte des Hellenismus
E.M. or Etym. Magn. = Etymologicum Magnum
Eng. = English
Ep. $=$ Epic
Eur. $=$ Euripides
Eust. $=$ Eustathius
G. = Goodwin's Greek Grammar

GMT. = Goodwin's Moods and Tenses
Gr. = Greek
H. = Hadley's Greek Grammar

Harp. $=$ Harpocratio
Hdn. $=$ Herodianus
Hdt. $=$ Herodotus
Heliod. = Heliodorus
Hephaest. = Hephaestio
Hes. $=$ Hesiodus
Hesych. = Hesychius
h. Hom. $=$ Homeric hymns

Hipp. $=$ Hippocrates
H.N. = Pliny, Historia Naturalis

Hom. = Homerus
Il. $=$ Homer's Iliad ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \Gamma$, etc. , are used in referring to the different books)
Isocr. = Isocrates
Jb. f. Ph. = Jahrbücher für Philologie
J.H.S. = Journal of Hellenic Studies

Lat. = Latin
Long. = Longus
Longin. = Longinus
Luc. = Lucianus
$\mathbf{L X X}=$ Septuagint
Lys. = Lysias
L. \& S. = Liddell and Scott's Lexicon

Menand. = Menander
Od. = Homer's Odyssey ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, etc., are used in referring to the different books)
Paus. $=$ Pausanias
Plat. = Plato
Plut. = Plutarch
Poet. Scen. Gr. = Poetae Scenici Graeci
Poll. = Pollux
Polyb. = Polybius
Rh. Mus. = Rheinisches Museum für Philologie

Roscher $=$ Ausführliches Lexikon der griech. und röm. Mythologie, edited by W. Roscher
Schol. = scholiast
Simon. = Simonides
Soph. = Sophocles
S.Q. = Schriftquellen zur Topographie von Athen, by Milchhoefer, in Die Stadtgeschichte von Athen, by E. Curtius, pp. 1-cxxiv
Steph. Byz. = Stephanus of Byzantium
Stesich. = Stesichorus
Stob. = Stobaeus
Suid. = Suidas
Theoc. $=$ Theocritus
Theoph. = Theophrastus
Thuc. $=$ Thucydides
Tyrt. = Tyrtaeus
Verg. = Vergilius
Vitruv. = Vitruvius
Xen. $=$ Xenophon
Xenoph. = Xenophanes
In abbreviating the names of Greek authors and of their works, Liddell and Scott's List has been generally followed.

## OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

| App. = Appendix | imv. = imperative |
| :--- | :--- |
| ch. or chap., chaps. = chapter, chap- | intr. = intransitive, intransitively |
| ters (when numerals follow) | $\kappa \tau \lambda .=\kappa a l \tau d$ doı $\alpha$ |
| etc. = and so forth | l.c. = loco citato |
| f., ff. = following (after numerical | p., pp. = page, pages |
| statements) | Rem. = remark |
| gen. = genitive | sc. = scilicet |
| ibid. = in the same place | s.v. = sub voce |
| id. = the same | viz. = namely |
| i.e. = that is | v.l. = varia lectio |

$\S, \S \S=$ section, sections

## INDEX

This Index names only the principal places and temples. See the Topographical Outline for detailed references to all the monuments cited by Pausanias. The numbers give chapter and section of the text of Pausanias, under which is the note desired. Reference is made also to the Excursuses.

Academy, 30 1-2
Acropolis, 22 4-28 3; Exc. VII
Aglaurus, Precinct of, 182
Agora of Athens, $31-183$; Exc. II
Agrae, 196
Aphrodite in the Gardens, Temple of,
192
Aphrodite Urania, Temple of, 147
Apollo, Cave of, 284
Apollo, the Paternal, Temple of, 84
Areopagus, 28 5-7
Ares, Shrine of, 84
Aristogiton, Harmodius and, 85
Artemis Agrotera, Temple of, 196
Artemis Brauronia, Sanctuary of, 287
Asclepius, Sanctuary of, 214
Athena Ergane, Temple of, 243
Athena Nike, Temple of, 225 ; Exc. IX
Attalus, Digression on, 6 1-81
Buleuterium, 35

Clepsydra, 284
Colias, Cape, 15
Colonus Hippius, 304
Cynosarges, 193
Demes of Attica, 31-33
Demeter, Temple of, 24
Demeter Chloe, Sanctuary of, 223
Demeter and Kore, Tensple of, 14 1-4

Dionysium in Limnis, Exc. III
Dionysus, Temples of, 203
Dionysus, Theatre of, 20 3, 21 1-2;
Exc. VI
Dioscuri, Shrine of, 181
Dipylum, 24 ; Exc. I
Eleusinium, 143
Eleusis, 88 6-7
Enneacrunus, 14 1; Esc. III
Eponymi, Statues of, 55
Erechtheum, 26 5-27 1; Exc. XI
Eridanus, 195
Eucleia, Temple of, 145 ; Exc. III
Galatae, Digression on, 4 1-6
Gardens, The, 192
Ge Kourotrophos, Sanctuary of, 223
Hadrian, Buildings of, 189
Harbors and Fortifications, 12 ; Exc. I
Harmodius and Aristogiton, 85
Hephaestus, Temple of, 146
Hermes Agoraeus, 151
Hymettus, 321
Ilissus, 195
Ilithyia, Temple of, 185
Laurium, 11
Law Courts, Athenian, 28 8-11

Long Walls, 21 ; Exc. I
Lyceum, 193
Lysimachus, Digression on, 9 5-10

Megara, 39 4-44 10
Metroum, 35
Munychia, 14

Nike, Temple of Athena, 225 ; Exc. IX

Odeum of Pericles, 204
Odeum, Theatre called, 86
Old Athena Temple, Exc. XII
Olympieum, 186 ; Exc. V

Painted Colonnade, 15 1-4; Exc. II
Parnes, 32 1-2
Pan, Cave of, 284
Pandrosus, Temple of, 272
Parthenon, 24 5-7 ; Exc. $X$
Patroclus, Island of, 1 1; 351
Pentelicus, 821.
Phalerum, 14
Picture Gallery, 22 6-7
Piraeus, $12-3$
Propylaea, 224 ; Exc. VIII
Prytaneum, 183

Ptolemies, Digression on, 9 1-3
Ptolemy, Digression on, 56-81
Ptolemy, Gymnasium of, 172
Pyrrhus, Digression on, 11-13
Pythium, Exc. III

Royal Colonnade, 31

Sacred Way, 36 3-387
Salamis, Island of, 35 1-362
Seleucus, Digression on, 16 1-3
Serapeum, 184
Stadium, 196
Sulla, Digression on, 204-7
Sunium, 1.1

Themis, Temple of, 22 1-2
Theseum, Exc. IV
Theseus, Sanctuary of, 17 2-6
Tholus, 35
Tombs, Street of, 29 3-30
Tripods, Street of, 201
Triptolemus, 14 1-4

Walls, Long, 2 1-3; Exc. I

Zeus Eleutherius, Colonnade of, 82

| $\because$ | f2.: | : | 1.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

A man had 12 sons. Each of them had 30 sons, leach on one side, white on the others. They perish euccerfully, jet are immortal. What are they ? - Gear, montes, days.
........
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[^0]:    The George Washington University

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also $5,12,4$ with $1,21,3 ; 2,30,2$, and $3,15,7$, with $1,22,4 ; 6,20$, 14 with $1,24,3 ; 10,21,5$ with $1,3,2$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thus e.g. 2, 19, $8 ; 21,4 ; 23,6 ; 32,3$ show that the First Book was written before the Second, etc. See Frazer, Pausanias, I, Introduction, xvii n. 5.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. 1, 21, 3; 24, 8 ; 2, 22, 3 ; 5, 13, 7 ; 6, 22, 1; 7, 24, 13 ; 8, 2, 7 ; 17, 3.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Frazer, I, Introduction, lxix. The reader will greatly profit by close study of this excellent critique.

    2 "De Pausaniae Stilo Asiano," Gesamm. Kl. Schr. IV, 208-212.

[^4]:    81. On Pyrrhus's Peloponnesian expedition and his death ( 272 в.c.) cf. Plut. Pyrrhus, 26-34; Justin, 25, 4, 6-5,

    1, etc. Also Droysen, III, 1, 209-219.
     Il. $\mathrm{X}, 359 \mathrm{ff}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other important passages bearing on Callirrhoe are as follows:
    
    

